

Aberystwyth University

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Prediction using Neuro-Fuzzy Systems

Yong, Yoke Leng; Lee, Yunli; Gu, Xiaowei; Angelov, Plamen Parvanov; Ngo, David Chek Ling; Yourdshahi, Elnaz Shafipour

Published in:

Procedia Computer Science

DOI:

[10.1016/j.procs.2018.10.523](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2018.10.523)

Publication date:

2018

Citation for published version (APA):

Yong, Y. L., Lee, Y., Gu, X., Angelov, P. P., Ngo, D. C. L., & Yourdshahi, E. S. (2018). Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Prediction using Neuro-Fuzzy Systems. *Procedia Computer Science*, 144, 232-238. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2018.10.523>

Document License

CC BY-NC-ND

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Aberystwyth Research Portal (the Institutional Repository) are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Aberystwyth Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Aberystwyth Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

tel: +44 1970 62 2400
email: is@aber.ac.uk



INNS Conference on Big Data and Deep Learning 2018

Foreign currency exchange rate prediction using neuro-fuzzy systems

Yoke Leng Yong^{a,*}, Yunli Lee^{a,*}, Xiaowei Gu^b, Plamen P Angelov^{b,c}, David Chek Ling Ngo^{a,d}, Elnaz Shafipour^b

^a*Sunway University, Selangor, Malaysia*

^b*School of Computing and Communications, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK*

^c*Honorary Professor, Technical University, Sofia, Bulgaria*

^d*Wawasan Open University, Penang, Malaysia*

Abstract

The complex nature of the foreign exchange (FOREX) market along with the increased interest towards the currency exchange market has prompted extensive research from various academic disciplines. With the inclusion of more in-depth analysis and forecasting methods, traders will be able to make an informed decision when trading. Therefore, an approach incorporating the use of historical data along with computational intelligence for analysis and forecasting is proposed in this paper. Firstly, the Gaussian Mixture Model method is applied for data partitioning on historical observations. While the antecedent part of the neuro-fuzzy system of AnYa type is initialised by the partitioning result, the consequent part is trained using the fuzzily weighted RLS algorithm based on the same data. Numerical examples based on the real currency exchange data demonstrated that the proposed approach trained with historical data produce promising results when used to forecast the future foreign exchange rates over a long-term period. Although implemented in an offline environment, it could potentially be utilised in real-time application in the future.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)
Selection and peer-review under responsibility of the INNS Conference on Big Data and Deep Learning 2018.

Keywords: Gaussian Mixture Model, Neuro-Fuzzy, FOREX forecasting

1. Introduction

The foreign exchange (FOREX) market is often perceived to be extremely complex and volatile. As the FOREX market is constantly affected by various external factors that must be taken into consideration, it is often not easy to obtain reliable currency exchange price forecasting. In addition, there are also elements of subjectivity involved in analysing and interpreting the results obtained. Although advances in FOREX market research provided additional

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +6-037-491-8622 ; fax: +6-035-635-8630.
E-mail address: 14071856@imail.sunway.edu.my, yunlil@sunway.edu.my

insights for the traders, the analysis and forecasting methods used are still subjected to heuristics and prior experience. Hence, numerous analysis methods have been introduced to aid traders in gaining the upper-hand by making an informed decision while trading. An influx of traders from different trading background along with the high daily trading volume within the FOREX market has contributed to the paradigm shift within the trading community. Therefore, extensive research incorporating methods from various academic disciplines such as computational finance and econometrics have been undertaken by various researchers to obtain accurate FOREX forecasting.

Currently, the widely-used analysis methods can be divided into three major categories, namely Fundamental Analysis, Sentiment Analysis and Technical Analysis. However, previous surveys reveal that most of the traders are still subscribed to some form of technical analysis methods when making trading decisions [9, 10].

Compared to other analysis methods, technical analysis emphasizes heavily on the use of FOREX historical exchange rate for analysis and forecasting. This is partly due to two of the most important assumptions behind the core concept of technical analysis, 1) the FOREX currency exchange prices often fluctuate in trends, and 2) the trends developed tend to repeat over time. In fact, various studies have been conducted on prediction of FOREX rates using machine learning techniques such as Artificial Neural Network (ANN) [15, 6, 16], Genetic Algorithm (GA) [12, 14], and Neuro Fuzzy Computing geared towards ANFIS implementation [7, 5].

In this paper, a new approach named Gaussian Mixture Model Initialized Neuro-Fuzzy (GMMINF) is proposed for foreign currency exchange rate prediction. The proposed approach firstly involves using the Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) method for historical foreign exchange data partitioning/clustering. Subsequently, a neuro-fuzzy system of AnYa type [2] are initialized with the partitioning results obtained by the GMM method. The consequent parameters of the neuro-fuzzy system will be further trained using the same historical data via fuzzily weighted RLS algorithm [1]. Numerical examples demonstrate that after the offline training process, the proposed GMMINF predictor can provide accurate/stable prediction results on the future foreign currency exchange rate after offline training with the historical exchange rate.

The main procedure of the proposed approach is provided in Section 2. Section 3 provides the relevant information on the implementation of the proposed algorithm such as the dataset used, benchmarking methods, comparative algorithms as well as the results obtained. Lastly, Section 4 concludes the study of the proposed implementation in forecasting the FOREX market.

2. Gaussian Mixture Model Initialized Neuro-Fuzzy Predictor

In this section, the proposed Gaussian Mixture Model Initialized Neuro-Fuzzy (GMMINF) predictor is described. The offline training process of the proposed approach consists of two stages. In the first stage, the Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) clustering algorithm is used to group the historical data into several clusters and build up the presumed Gaussian Mixture Model. In the second stage, the peaks of the gaussian distributions are used as the antecedent part of the neuro-fuzzy system, and the fuzzily weighted RLS method [1] is used to identify the consequent parts in an iterative, offline manner. After the training process, the GMMINF predictor can conduct prediction in real time on the validation data.

First, let us denote the collection of the historical FOREX data samples serving as the inputs of the proposed predictor as $\{x\}_K = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_K\}$ and the corresponding desired outputs as $\{y\}_K = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_K\}$, where K denotes the current time instance; $x_i = [x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \dots, x_{i,M}]^T$ denotes the data sample observed as the i^{th} time instance, $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$; M is the dimensionality of the data space. The two-stage training process of the proposed GMMINF predictor is described as follows.

2.1. Training Stage 1: Clustering with GMM

In this stage, we identify the patterns within the historical FOREX data collected using the GMM method. The GMM method is a widely-used clustering algorithm that can group the data into clusters with the centres as the peaks of the Gaussian mixture distribution presumed by the GMM approach. The distribution of each observation is specified by a probability density function through a finite mixture model of components as represented in Equation (1) [11].

$$f(x_i|\varphi) = \sum_{k=1}^N \pi_k f_k(x_i; \theta_k) \quad (1)$$

where φ are the parameters of the mixture model, N is the number of mixture components, $(\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_N)$ are the mixing weight probabilities and $f_k(x_i; \theta_k)$ is the k^{th} component density for observation x_i with parameter vector θ_k . As per the original implementation of the Gaussian Mixture Model, the φ parameters are obtained using expectation maximisation (EM) algorithm. By using the GMM method, numerous clusters are identified from $\{x\}_K$ with the corresponding centres, average scale products and supports denoted by μ_i, X_i and S_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N, N$ is the number of clusters), respectively, and the proposed approach can enter the second stage.

2.2. Training Stage 2: Neuro-Fuzzy System Identification

Within this stage, firstly, the neuro-fuzzy system of AnYa type [2] is initialized by using centres of the clusters, which correspond to the peaks of the Gaussian mixture model in the following form:

$$Rule_i : IF (x \sim \mu_i) \quad THEN (y_i = \bar{x}^T a_i) \tag{2}$$

where $\bar{x}^T = [1, x^T]$; $a_i = [a_{i,0}, a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,M}]^T$, which is the consequent parameter vector of the i^{th} fuzzy rule.

The consequent parameters a_i and the covariance matrixes C_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N$) of neuro-fuzzy system are firstly initialized as:

$$a_i^{(0)} = 0_{(M+1) \times 1}; \quad C_i^{(0)} = I_{(M+1) \times (M+1)} \tag{3}$$

where $0_{(M+1) \times 1}$ is a $(M + 1) \times 1$ dimensional zero vector; $0_{(M+1) \times 1} = \left[\overbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}^{M+1} \right]^T$; $I_{(M+1) \times (M+1)}$ is a $(M + 1) \times (M + 1)$ dimensional identity matrix.

Then, the fuzzily weighted RLS method is used to update the consequent parameter $a_i^{(l)}$ ($l = 1, l$, is the index of the current consequent parameter-updating loop) of each rule iteratively with x_k and y_k from $k = 1$ to $k = K$ [1]:

$$C_i^{(l)} \leftarrow C_i^{(l)} - \frac{\lambda_{k,i} C_i^{(l)} \bar{x}_k \bar{x}_k^T C_i^{(l)}}{1 + \lambda_{k,i} \bar{x}_k^T C_i^{(l)} \bar{x}_k} \tag{4}$$

$$a_i^{(l)} \leftarrow a_i^{(l)} + \lambda_{k,i} C_i^{(l)} \bar{x}_k (y_k - \bar{x}_k^T a_i^{(l)}) \tag{5}$$

where $\lambda_{k,i}$ is the activation level of x_k to the i^{th} fuzzy rule expressed as follows [3]:

$$\lambda_{k,i} = D_i(x_k) \left/ \sum_{j=1}^N D_j(x_k) \right. \tag{6}$$

and $D_j(x_k)$ is the local density of x_k at the j^{th} cluster [4]:

$$D_j(x_k) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{S_j^2 \|x_k - \mu_j\|^2}{(S_j + 1)(S_j X_j + \|x_k\|^2) - \|x_k + S_j \mu_j\|^2}} \tag{7}$$

After each consequent parameter-updating loop is finished, the root mean-square error (RMSE) of the estimated outputs of the fuzzy logic predictor is calculated as follows:

$$E^{(l)} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{k=1}^K (y_k - \hat{y}_k)^2}{K}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{k=1}^K (y_k - \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_{k,j} \bar{x}_k^T a_j^{(l)})^2}{K}} \tag{8}$$

where \hat{y}_k is the output of the predictor and there is $\hat{y}_k = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_{k,j} \bar{x}_k^T a_j^{(l)}$.

If $E^{(l-1)} - E^{(l)} \leq \gamma_o$, the consequent parameters of the neuro-fuzzy system have converged to the locally optimal solutions and the loop stops ($a_i \leftarrow a_i^{(l)}, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$). Otherwise, another consequent parameter-updating loop begins ($l \leftarrow l + 1$). In this paper, we use $\gamma_o = 10^{-6}$.

Once the consequent parameters have been identified, the GMMINF predictor is able to conduct real time prediction on the new data. The validation process is described in the following subsection.

2.3. Validation Stage

During the validation stage, for each newly observed data sample, x , the output of the GMMINF predictor is calculated as follows:

$$\hat{y} = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{D_j(x)}{\sum_{k=1}^N D_k(x)} \bar{x}^T a_j \quad (9)$$

3. Proposed Implementation

3.1. Dataset Description

Despite the wide variety of currency pair traded around the world, we only focus on some of the most traded currencies pairs. In this paper, we consider three currency exchange pairs, namely AUD/USD, EUR/USD, and GBP/USD, which are also known as the major currency pairs. The historical currency exchange price of the three major currency pairs from the 2 January 2002 30 December 2016 are obtained from HistData.com [8]. Figure 1 below depicts the daily EUR/USD data used in obtaining the results.




Fig. 1. EUR/USD Dataset (2 January 2002 30 December 2016).

The full dataset consists of the following information:

1. Date;
2. Time;
3. Open Price;
4. High Price;
5. Low Price;
6. Close Price.

The data collected is further divided into training and testing dataset with a 70:30 percent ratio for training and testing purposes. Only four attributes, Open, High, Low and Close Prices, are used in the experiments. We use the Open, High, Low and Close Prices of the current step to predict the Low and Close Prices one-step ahead.

3.2. Benchmarking Criteria

To examine the performance of the proposed algorithm against the actual testing data (ground truth), we need to select some suitable performance measures for the proposed implementation. Although plethora of benchmarking criteria are available for use, there are certain benchmarking metrics utilized more often by researchers. In this paper, Root Mean Squared error (RMSE), Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE), and Non-Dimensional Error Index (NDEI) as indicated in Equations (8), (10) - (11) are chosen to evaluate the forecasting performance due to their popularity among academic researchers.

$$NDEI = RMSE/\sigma(Y) \quad (10)$$

$$MAPE = \frac{100}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{|Y_i - \hat{Y}_i|}{Y_i} \right) \quad (11)$$

where Y is the actual test data, \hat{Y} is the predicted data and n is the number of element within the test dataset.

3.3. Comparative Algorithms

The autonomous learning multi-model (ALMMo) predictor is a newly introduced autonomous learning neuro-fuzzy system for streaming data [3], which can self-organize and self-evolve its multi-model architecture in a non-parametric, non-iterative, online way. It has been demonstrated that the ALMMo neuro-fuzzy system can provide highly accurate performance in the high frequency trading problems. Therefore, in this paper, we also involve the ALMMo neuro-fuzzy system for prediction [3].

The detailed learning process of the ALMMo neuro-fuzzy system has been given in [3]. The source codes (in both the Matlab and Python versions) of the ALMMo neuro-fuzzy system are downloadable from: <http://empiricaldataanalytics.org/downloads.html>.

In this paper, we use two versions of the ALMMo neuro-fuzzy system for comparison, namely, ALMMo_Evolving and ALMMo_Offline. The ALMMo_Evolving will continue to self-evolve its system structure and parameters based on the validation data after the online sample-by-sample training process. The ALMMo_Offline will stop learning during the validation stage after the online training process.

3.4. Results

The clustering results is obtained using the GMM method with the number of mixture components (N) is set to, and Gaussian Model is set to VII, which represents a spherical unconstrained model as implemented in the R software for statistical computing [11, 13].

EUR-USD: 18 clusters

AUD-USD: 21 clusters

GBP-USD: 18 clusters

The clustering result of EUR/USD is shown in Figure 2 with different colours representing distinctive clusters. Further inspection on the testing dataset reveals that the initial price recorded for the data is the same for both the Low and Close Prices. Therefore, both the Low and Close Prices could be used as ground truth in obtaining the three (3) performance benchmark selected. Table 1 gives the forecasting results on the Close Price, and forecasting results on the Low Price are listed in Table 2 whereby the Rule # refers to the number of fuzzy rules after the training.

The forecasting results reveal that the best predictions are provided by the ALMMo_Evolving predictor and GMMINF predictor followed by the ALMMo_Offline predictor. Although the results obtained by the ALMMo_Evolving and the GMMINF predictors are extremely close, the GMMINF predictor performs slightly better when benchmarked against both the Close and Low Prices for the EUR/USD currency pair. On the other hand, the ALMMo_Evolving algorithm delivers a better result when benchmarked against the Low Price for AUD/USD and GBP/USD. The ALMMo_Evolving algorithm follows a similar pattern and performs better when benchmarked against the Close



Fig. 2. EUR/USD GMM Clustering.

Table 1. Forecast results benchmarked against Close Price.

hh	Algorithm	Rule#	RMSE	NDEI	MAPE
EUR/USD	GMMINF	18	0.0060	0.0520	0.3573
	ALMMo_Evolving	46	0.0060	0.0521	0.3579
	ALMMo_Offline	51	0.0061	0.0527	0.3654
AUD/USD	GMMINF	21	0.0050	0.0480	0.4463
	ALMMo_Evolving	55	0.0050	0.0480	0.4463
	ALMMo_Offline	60	0.0051	0.0489	0.4602
GBP/USD	GMMINF	18	0.0078	0.0654	0.3481
	ALMMo_Evolving	64	0.0078	0.0653	0.3471
	ALMMo_Offline	43	0.0079	0.0662	0.3563

Table 2. Forecast results benchmarked against Low Price.

hh	Algorithm	Rule#	RMSE	NDEI	MAPE
EUR/USD	GMMINF	18	0.0060	0.0521	0.3585
	ALMMo_Evolving	46	0.0060	0.0522	0.3590
	ALMMo_Offline	51	0.0061	0.0528	0.3666
AUD/USD	GMMINF	21	0.0050	0.0480	0.4466
	ALMMo_Evolving	55	0.0050	0.0480	0.4464
	ALMMo_Offline	60	0.0051	0.0489	0.4601
GBP/USD	GMMINF	18	0.0078	0.0655	0.3485
	ALMMo_Evolving	64	0.0078	0.0654	0.3472
	ALMMo_Offline	43	0.0080	0.0664	0.3567

Price for GBP/USD. Therefore, overall optimistic results prove that the combination of GMM data space partitioning and ALMMo neuro-fuzzy forecasting system have the potential to be explored further in forecasting FOREX rates.

We also must admit that the foreign currency exchange rates are much smoother and more stable compared with the stock trading prices/high frequency trading problems. As a result, the proposed GMMINF predictor can provide a very good prediction results after the offline training without any modification on the model itself. The proposed predictor

requires a full retraining if the pattern of the foreign exchange rates change dramatically in the future. In contrast, the ALMMo predictor can self-evolve all the time in both training and validation stages. Therefore, as future work, we will seek for new solutions to update the system structure and parameters of the proposed GMMINF predictor in real time.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed a new approach named Gaussian Mixture Model Initialized Neuro-Fuzzy (GMMINF) for foreign currency exchange rate prediction. The experimental forecast results using GMMINF predictor for the main three currencies benchmarked against Close and Low prices are promising and exhibit the potential of the proposed approach in real applications. As future work, we will apply the proposed approach to more different problems and further investigate its performance. The implementation of an online updating mechanism will also be further investigated.

References

- [1] Angelov, P., Filev, D., 2004. An approach to online identification of takagi-sugeno fuzzy models. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, Part B (Cybernetics)* 34, 484–498. doi:10.1109/tsmcb.2003.817053.
- [2] Angelov, P., Yager, R., 2012. A new type of simplified fuzzy rule-based system. *International Journal of General Systems* 41, 163–185. doi:10.1080/03081079.2011.634807.
- [3] Angelov, P.P., Gu, X., Principe, J., 2017a. Autonomous learning multi-model systems from data streams. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems* doi:10.1109/TFUZZ.2017.2769039.
- [4] Angelov, P.P., Gu, X., Principe, J.C., 2017b. A generalized methodology for data analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics* doi:10.1109/TCYB.2017.2753880.
- [5] Bagheri, A., Peyhani, H.M., Akbari, M., 2014. Financial forecasting using ANFIS networks with quantum-behaved particle swarm optimization. *Expert Systems with Applications* 41, 6235–6250. doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2014.04.003.
- [6] Emam, A., 2008. Optimal artificial neural network topology for foreign exchange forecasting, in: *Proceedings of the 46th Annual Southeast Regional Conference on XX - ACM-SE 46*, ACM Press. pp. 63–68. doi:10.1145/1593105.1593121.
- [7] Fahimifard, S.M., Homayounifar, M., Sabouhi, M., Moghaddamnia, A.R., 2009. Comparison of ANFIS, ANN, GARCH and ARIMA Techniques to Exchange Rate Forecasting. *Journal of Applied Sciences* 9, 3641–3651. URL: <http://www.docsdive.com/pdfs/ansinet/jas/2009/3641-3651.pdf>, doi:10.3923/jas.2009.3641.3651.
- [8] HistData, n.d. Free FOREX Historical Data. URL: <http://www.histdata.com/download-free-forex-data/?/metatrader/1-minute-bar-quotes/>. retrieved January 4, 2018, from <http://www.histdata.com/download-free-forex-data/?/metatrader/1-minute-bar-quotes/>.
- [9] Neely, C.J., Weller, P.A., 2011. Technical analysis in the foreign exchange market. Technical Report 2011001B. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. URL: http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1734836.
- [10] Schulmeister, S., 2008. Components of the profitability of technical currency trading. *Applied Financial Economics* 18, 917–930. doi:10.1080/09603100701335416.
- [11] Scrucca, L., Fop, M., Murphy, T.B., Raftery, A.E., 2016. mclust 5: Clustering, classification and density estimation using gaussian finite mixture models. *The R Journal* 8, 289–317.
- [12] Slany, K., 2009. Towards the Automatic Evolutionary Prediction of the FOREX Market Behaviour, in: *2009 International Conference on Adaptive and Intelligent Systems*, IEEE. pp. 141–145. doi:10.1109/ICAIS.2009.31.
- [13] Team, R.C., n.d. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. URL: <https://www.R-project.org/>. retrieved January 4, 2018, from <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- [14] Theofilatos, K., Likothanassis, S., Karathanasopoulos, A., 2012. Modeling and trading the EUR/USD exchange rate using machine learning techniques. *Engineering, Technology and Applied Science Research* 2, 269–272.
- [15] Yao, J., Tan, C.L., 2000. A case study on using neural networks to perform technical forecasting of forex. *Neurocomputing* 34, 79–98. doi:10.1016/S0925-2312(00)00300-3.
- [16] Zafeiriou, T., Kalles, D., 2013. Short-term trend prediction of foreign exchange rates with a Neural-Network based ensemble of financial technical indicators. *International Journal on Artificial Intelligence Tools* 22, 1350016. doi:10.1142/S0218213013500164.