

Aberystwyth University

Characteristic Functions for Ergodic Tuples

Dey, Santanu; Gohm, Rolf

Published in:
Integral Equations and Operator Theory

DOI:
[10.1007/s00020-007-1480-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00020-007-1480-6)

Publication date:
2007

Citation for published version (APA):
Dey, S., & Gohm, R. (2007). Characteristic Functions for Ergodic Tuples. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, 58(1), 43-63. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00020-007-1480-6>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Aberystwyth Research Portal (the Institutional Repository) are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Aberystwyth Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Aberystwyth Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

tel: +44 1970 62 2400
email: is@aber.ac.uk

Characteristic Functions for Ergodic Tuples

Santanu Dey and Rolf Gohm

Abstract. Motivated by a result on weak Markov dilations, we define a notion of characteristic function for ergodic and coisometric row contractions with a one-dimensional invariant subspace for the adjoints. This extends a definition given by G. Popescu. We prove that our characteristic function is a complete unitary invariant for such tuples and show how it can be computed.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000).

Primary 47A20, 47A13; Secondary 46L53, 46L05.

Keywords. completely positive, dilation, conjugacy, ergodic, coisometric, row contraction, characteristic function, Cuntz algebra.

0. Introduction

If $Z = \sum_{i=1}^d A_i \cdot A_i^*$ is a normal, unital, ergodic, completely positive map on $B(\mathcal{H})$, the bounded linear operators on a complex separable Hilbert space, and if there is a (necessarily unique) invariant vector state for Z , then we also say that $\underline{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_d)$ is a coisometric, ergodic row contraction with a one-dimensional invariant subspace for the adjoints. Precise definitions are given below. This is the main setting to be investigated in this paper.

In Section 1 we give a concise review of a result on the dilations of Z obtained by R. Gohm in [Go04] in a chapter called ‘Cocycles and Coboundaries’. There exists a conjugacy between a homomorphic dilation of Z and a tensor shift, and we emphasize an explicit infinite product formula that can be obtained for the intertwining unitary. [Go04] may also be consulted for connections of this topic to a scattering theory for noncommutative Markov chains by B. Kümmerer and H. Maassen (cf. [KM00]) and more general for the relevance of this setting in applications.

In this work we are concerned with its relevance in operator theory and correspondingly in Section 2 we shift our attention to the row contraction $\underline{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_d)$. Our starting point has been the observation that the intertwining unitary mentioned above has many similarities with the notion of characteristic

function occurring in the theory of functional models of contractions, as initiated by B.Sz.-Nagy and C.Foias (cf. [NF70, FF90]). In fact, the center of our work is the commuting diagram 3.3 in Section 3, which connects the results in [Go04] mentioned above with the theory of minimal isometric dilations of row contractions by G.Popescu (cf. [Po89a]) and shows that the intertwining unitary determines a multi-analytic inner function, in the sense introduced by G.Popescu in [Po89c, Po95]. We call this inner function the *extended characteristic function* of the tuple \underline{A} , see Definition 3.3.

Section 4 is concerned with an explicit computation of this inner function. In Section 5 we show that it is an extension of the characteristic function of the $*$ -stable part $\overset{\circ}{\underline{A}}$ of \underline{A} , the latter in the sense of Popescu's generalization of the Sz.-Nagy-Foias theory to row contractions (cf. [Po89b]). This explains why we call our inner function an *extended* characteristic function. The row contraction $\overset{\circ}{\underline{A}}$ is a one-dimensional extension of the $*$ -stable row contraction \underline{A} , and in our analysis we separate the new part of the characteristic function from the part already given by Popescu.

G.Popescu has shown in [Po89b] that for completely non-coisometric tuples, in particular for $*$ -stable ones, his characteristic function is a complete invariant for unitary equivalence. In Section 6 we prove that our extended characteristic function does the same for the tuples \underline{A} described above. In this sense it is *characteristic*. This is remarkable because the strength of Popescu's definition lies in the completely non-coisometric situation while we always deal with a coisometric tuple \underline{A} . The extended characteristic function also does not depend on the choice of the decomposition $\sum_{i=1}^d A_i \cdot A_i^*$ of the completely positive map Z and hence also characterizes Z up to conjugacy. We think that together with its nice properties established earlier this clearly indicates that the extended characteristic function is a valuable tool for classifying and investigating such tuples respectively such completely positive maps.

Section 7 contains a worked example for the constructions in this paper.

1. Weak Markov dilations and conjugacy

In this section we give a brief and condensed review of results in [Go04], Chapter 2, which will be used in the following and which, as described in the introduction, motivated the investigations documented in this paper. We also introduce notation.

A theory of *weak Markov dilations* has been developed in [BP94]. For a (single) normal unital completely positive map $Z : B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$, where $B(\mathcal{H})$ consists of the bounded linear operators on a (complex, separable) Hilbert space, it asks for a normal unital $*$ -endomorphism $\hat{J} : B(\hat{\mathcal{H}}) \rightarrow B(\hat{\mathcal{H}})$, where $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is a Hilbert space containing \mathcal{H} , such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$

$$Z^n(x) = p_{\mathcal{H}} \hat{J}^n(x p_{\mathcal{H}}) |_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Here $p_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the orthogonal projection onto \mathcal{H} . There are many ways to construct \hat{J} . In [Go04], 2.3, we gave a construction analogous to the idea of ‘coupling to a shift’ used in [Kü85] for describing quantum Markov processes. This gives rise to a number of interesting problems which remain hidden in other constructions.

We proceed in two steps. First note that there is a Kraus decomposition $Z(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i x a_i^*$ with $(a_i)_{i=1}^d \subset B(\mathcal{H})$. Here $d = \infty$ is allowed in which case the sum should be interpreted as a limit in the strong operator topology. Let \mathcal{P} be a d -dimensional Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_d\}$, further \mathcal{K} another Hilbert space with a distinguished unit vector $\Omega_{\mathcal{K}} \in \mathcal{K}$. We identify \mathcal{H} with $\mathcal{H} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{K}} \subset \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and again denote by $p_{\mathcal{H}}$ the orthogonal projection onto \mathcal{H} . For \mathcal{K} large enough there exists an isometry

$$u : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad p_{\mathcal{H}} u(h \otimes \epsilon_i) = a_i(h),$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, or equivalently,

$$u^*(h \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}) = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i^*(h) \otimes \epsilon_i.$$

Explicitly, one may take $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ (resp. infinite-dimensional) and identify

$$\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K} \simeq (\mathcal{H} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}) \oplus \bigoplus_1^d \mathcal{H} \simeq \mathcal{H} \oplus \bigoplus_1^d \mathcal{H}.$$

Then, using isometries $u_1, \dots, u_d : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \oplus \bigoplus_1^d \mathcal{H}$ with orthogonal ranges and such that $a_i = p_{\mathcal{H}} u_i$ for all i (for example, such isometries are explicitly constructed in Popescu’s formula for isometric dilations, cf. [Po89a] or equation 3.2 in Section 3), we can define

$$u(h \otimes \epsilon_i) := u_i(h)$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ and check that u has the desired properties. Now we define a $*$ -homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} J : B(\mathcal{H}) &\rightarrow B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}), \\ x &\mapsto u(x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{P}}) u^*. \end{aligned}$$

It satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\mathcal{H}} J(x)(h \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}) &= p_{\mathcal{H}} u(x \otimes \mathbf{1}) u^*(h \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}) \\ &= p_{\mathcal{H}} u(x \otimes \mathbf{1}) \left(\sum_{i=1}^d a_i^*(h) \otimes \epsilon_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i x a_i^*(h) = Z(x)(h), \end{aligned}$$

which means that J is a kind of first order dilation for Z .

For the second step we write $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} := \bigotimes_1^\infty \mathcal{K}$ for an infinite tensor product of Hilbert spaces along the sequence $(\Omega_{\mathcal{K}})$ of unit vectors in the copies of \mathcal{K} . We have a distinguished unit vector $\Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}}$ and a (kind of) tensor shift

$$R : B(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{P} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{K}}), \quad \tilde{y} \mapsto \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \tilde{y}.$$

Finally $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} := \mathcal{H} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ and we define a normal $*$ -endomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J} : B(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}) &\rightarrow B(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}), \\ B(\mathcal{H}) \otimes B(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}) \ni x \otimes \tilde{y} &\mapsto J(x) \otimes \tilde{y} \in B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \otimes B(\tilde{\mathcal{K}}). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used von Neumann tensor products and (on the right hand side) a shift identification $\mathcal{K} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{K}} \simeq \tilde{\mathcal{K}}$. We can also write \tilde{J} in the form

$$\tilde{J}(\cdot) = u (Id_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes R)(\cdot) u^*,$$

where u is identified with $u \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}}$. The natural embedding $\mathcal{H} \simeq \mathcal{H} \otimes \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ leads to the restriction $\hat{J} := \tilde{J}|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}$ with $\hat{\mathcal{H}} := \overline{\text{span}}_{n \geq 0} \tilde{J}^n(p_{\mathcal{H}})(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})$, which can be checked to be a normal unital $*$ -endomorphism satisfying all the properties of a weak Markov dilation for Z described above. See [Go04], 2.3.

A Kraus decomposition of \hat{J} can be written as

$$\hat{J}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d t_i x t_i^*,$$

where $t_i \in B(\hat{\mathcal{H}})$ is obtained by linear extension of $\mathcal{H} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{K}} \ni h \otimes \tilde{k} \mapsto u_i(h) \otimes \tilde{k} = u(h \otimes \epsilon_i) \otimes \tilde{k} \in (\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{K}} \simeq \mathcal{H} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{K}}$. Because \hat{J} is a normal unital $*$ -endomorphism the $(t_i)_{i=1}^d$ generate a representation of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_d on $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ which we called a *coupling representation* in [Go04], 2.4. Note that the tuple (t_1, \dots, t_d) is an isometric dilation of the tuple (a_1, \dots, a_d) , i.e., the t_i are isometries with orthogonal ranges and $p_{\mathcal{H}} t_i^n |_{\mathcal{H}} = a_i^n$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The following *multi-index notation* will be used frequently in this work. Let Λ denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$. For operator tuples (a_1, \dots, a_d) , given $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ in Λ^m , a_α will stand for the operator $a_{\alpha_1} a_{\alpha_2} \dots a_{\alpha_m}$, $|\alpha| := m$. Further $\tilde{\Lambda} := \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \Lambda^n$, where $\Lambda^0 := \{0\}$ and a_0 is the identity operator. If we write a_α^* this always means $(a_\alpha)^* = a_{\alpha_m}^* \dots a_{\alpha_1}^*$.

Back to our isometric dilation, it can be checked that

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{t_\alpha h : h \in \mathcal{H}, \alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}\} = \hat{\mathcal{H}},$$

which means that we have a *minimal isometric dilation*, cf. [Po89a] or the beginning of Section 3. For more details on the construction above see [Go04], 2.3 and 2.4.

Assume now that there is an invariant vector state for $Z : B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ given by a unit vector $\Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \in \mathcal{H}$. Equivalent: There is a unit vector $\Omega_{\mathcal{P}} = \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\omega}_i \epsilon_i \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $u(\Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{P}}) = \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}$. Also equivalent: For $i = 1, \dots, d$ we have

$a_i^* \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \bar{\omega}_i \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}$. Here $\omega_i \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^d |\omega_i|^2 = 1$ and we used complex conjugation to get nice formulas later. See [Go04], A.5.1, for a proof of the equivalences.

On $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} := \bigotimes_1^\infty \mathcal{P}$ along the unit vectors $(\Omega_{\mathcal{P}})$ in the copies of \mathcal{P} we have a tensor shift

$$S : B(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) \rightarrow B(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}), \quad \tilde{y} \mapsto \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \tilde{y}.$$

Its Kraus decomposition is $S(\tilde{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^d s_i \tilde{y} s_i^*$ with $s_i \in B(\tilde{\mathcal{P}})$ and $s_i(\tilde{k}) = \epsilon_i \otimes \tilde{k}$ for $\tilde{k} \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ and $i = 1, \dots, d$. In [Go04], 2.5, we obtained an interesting description of the situation when the dilation \hat{J} is conjugate to the shift endomorphism S . This result will be further analyzed in this paper. We give a version suitable for our present needs but the reader should have no problems to obtain a proof of the following from [Go04], 2.5.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $Z : B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ be a normal unital completely positive map with an invariant vector state $\langle \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}, \cdot \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \rangle$. Notation as introduced above, $d \geq 2$. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a) *Z is ergodic, i.e., the fixed point space of Z consists of multiples of the identity.*
- (b) *The vector state $\langle \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}, \cdot \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \rangle$ is absorbing for Z , i.e., if $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $\phi(Z^n(x)) \rightarrow \langle \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}, x \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \rangle$ for all normal states ϕ and all $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$. (In particular, the invariant vector state is unique.)*
- (c) *\hat{J} and S are conjugate, i.e., there exists a unitary $\mathbf{w} : \hat{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ such that*

$$\hat{J}(\hat{x}) = \mathbf{w}^* S(\mathbf{w} \hat{x} \mathbf{w}^*) \mathbf{w}.$$

- (d) *The \mathcal{O}_d -representations corresponding to \hat{J} and S are unitarily equivalent, i.e.,*

$$\mathbf{w} t_i = s_i \mathbf{w} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, d.$$

An explicit formula can be given for an intertwining unitary as occurring in (c) and (d). If any of the assertions above is valid then the following limit exists strongly,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{w}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{0n}^* \dots u_{01}^* : \mathcal{H} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{P}},$$

where we used a leg notation, i.e., $u_{0n} = (Id_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes R)^{n-1}(u)$. In other words u_{0n} is u acting on \mathcal{H} and on the n -th copy of \mathcal{P} . Further $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$ is a partial isometry with initial space $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ and final space $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \simeq \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \subset \mathcal{H} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ and we can define \mathbf{w} as the corresponding restriction of $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$.

To illustrate the product formula for \mathbf{w} , which will be our main interest in this work, we use it to derive (d).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w} t_i(h \otimes \tilde{k}) &= \mathbf{w} [u(h \otimes \epsilon_i) \otimes \tilde{k}] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{0n}^* \dots u_{01}^* u_{01}(h \otimes \epsilon_i \otimes \tilde{k}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{0n}^* \dots u_{02}^*(h \otimes \epsilon_i \otimes \tilde{k}) = s_i \mathbf{w}(h \otimes \tilde{k}). \end{aligned}$$

Let us finally note that Theorem 1.1 is related to the conjugacy results in [Pow88] and [BJP96]. Compare also Proposition 2.4.

2. Ergodic coisometric row contractions

In the previous section we considered a map $Z : B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ given by $Z(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d A_i x A_i^*$, where $(A_i)_{i=1}^d \subset B(\mathcal{H})$. We can think of $(A_i)_{i=1}^d$ as a d -tuple $\underline{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_d)$ or (with the same notation) as a linear map

$$\underline{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_d) : \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}.$$

(Concentrating now on the tuple we have changed to capital letters A . We will sometimes return to lower case letters a when we want to emphasize that we are in the (tensor product) setting of Section 1.) We have the following dictionary.

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\mathbf{1}) \leq \mathbf{1} &\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^d A_i A_i^* \leq \mathbf{1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \underline{A} \text{ is a contraction} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1} &\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^d A_i A_i^* = \mathbf{1} \\ (Z \text{ is called unital}) &\quad (\underline{A} \text{ is called coisometric}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}, \cdot \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \rangle = \langle \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}, Z(\cdot) \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \rangle &\Leftrightarrow A_i^* \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \bar{\omega}_i \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}, \omega_i \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{i=1}^d |\omega_i|^2 = 1 \\ (\text{invariant vector state}) &\quad (\text{common eigenvector for adjoints}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Z \text{ ergodic} &\Rightarrow \{A_i, A_i^*\}' = \mathbb{C} \mathbf{1} \\ (\text{trivial fixed point space}) &\quad (\text{trivial commutant}) \end{aligned}$$

The converse of the implication at the end of the dictionary is not valid. This is related to the fact that the fixed point space of a completely positive map is not always an algebra. Compare the detailed discussion of this phenomenon in [BJKW00].

By a slight abuse of language we call the tuple (or row contraction) $\underline{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_d)$ *ergodic* if the corresponding map Z is ergodic. With this terminology we can interpret Theorem 1.1 as a result about ergodic coisometric row contractions \underline{A} with a common eigenvector $\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ for the adjoints A_i^* . This will be examined starting with Section 3. To represent these objects more explicitly let us write $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}} := \mathcal{H} \ominus \mathbb{C} \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}$. With respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C} \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \oplus \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}$ we get 2×2 -block matrices

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_i & 0 \\ |\ell_i\rangle & \overset{\circ}{A}_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_i^* = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\omega}_i & \langle \ell_i | \\ 0 & \overset{\circ}{A}_i^* \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.1)$$

Here $\mathring{A}_i \in B(\mathring{\mathcal{H}})$ and $\ell_i \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}$. For the off-diagonal terms we used a Dirac notation that should be clear without further comments.

Note that the case $d = 1$ is rather uninteresting in this setting because if A is a coisometry with block matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ |\ell\rangle & \mathring{A} \end{pmatrix}$ then because

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = AA^* = \begin{pmatrix} |\omega|^2 & \omega \langle \ell| \\ \overline{\omega} |\ell\rangle & |\ell\rangle \langle \ell| + \mathring{A} \mathring{A}^* \end{pmatrix}$$

we always have $\ell = 0$. But for $d \geq 2$ there are many interesting examples arising from unital ergodic completely positive maps with invariant vector states. See Section 1 and also Section 7 for an explicit example. We always assume $d \geq 2$.

Proposition 2.1. *A coisometric row contraction $\underline{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_d)$ is ergodic with common eigenvector $\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ for the adjoints A_1^*, \dots, A_d^* if and only if $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}$ is invariant for A_1, \dots, A_d and the restricted row contraction $(\mathring{A}_1, \dots, \mathring{A}_d)$ on $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}$ is *-stable, i.e., for all $h \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \|\mathring{A}_\alpha^* h\|^2 = 0.$$

Here we used the multi-index notation introduced in Section 1. Note that *-stable tuples are also called pure, we prefer the terminology from [FF90].

Proof. It is clear that $\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a common eigenvector for the adjoints if and only if $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}$ is invariant for A_1, \dots, A_d . Let $Z(\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^d A_i \cdot A_i^*$ be the associated completely positive map. With $q := \mathbf{1} - |\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}\rangle \langle \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}|$, the orthogonal projection onto $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}$, and by using $q A_i q = A_i q \simeq \mathring{A}_i$ for all i , we get

$$Z^n(q) = \sum_{|\alpha|=n} A_\alpha q A_\alpha^* = \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \mathring{A}_\alpha \mathring{A}_\alpha^*$$

and thus for all $h \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}$

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=n} \|\mathring{A}_\alpha^* h\|^2 = \langle h, Z^n(q) h \rangle.$$

Now it is well known that ergodicity of Z is equivalent to $Z^n(q) \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the weak operator topology. See [GKL06], Prop. 3.2. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.2. Given a coisometric row contraction $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ we also have the isometry $u : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ from Section 1. We introduce the linear map $a : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$, $k \mapsto a_k$ defined by

$$a_k^*(h) \otimes k := (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes |k\rangle \langle k|) u^*(h \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}).$$

Compare [Go04], A.3.3. In particular $a_i = a_{\epsilon_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$, where $\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_d\}$ is the orthonormal basis of \mathcal{P} used in the definition of u . Arveson's metric operator

spaces, cf. [Ar03], give a conceptual foundation for basis transformations in the operator space linearly spanned by the a_i . Similarly, in our formalism a unitary in $B(\mathcal{P})$ transforms $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ into another tuple $\underline{a}' = (a'_1, \dots, a'_d)$. If $\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a common eigenvector for the adjoints a_i^* then $\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ is also a common eigenvector for the adjoints $(a'_i)^*$ but of course the eigenvalues are transformed to another tuple $\omega' = (\omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_d)$. We should consider the tuples \underline{a} and \underline{a}' to be essentially the same. This also means that the complex numbers ω_i are not particularly important and they should not play a role in classification. They just reflect a certain choice of orthonormal basis in the relevant metric operator space. Independent of basis transformations is the vector $\Omega_{\mathcal{P}} = \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\omega}_i \epsilon_i \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfying $u(\Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{P}}) = \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}$ (see Section 1) and the operator $a_{\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}} = \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\omega}_i a_i$.

For later use we show

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\underline{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_d)$ be an ergodic coisometric row contraction such that $A_i^* \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \bar{\omega}_i \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ for all i , further $A_{\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}} := \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{\omega}_i A_i$. Then for $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the strong operator topology*

$$(A_{\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}}^*)^n \rightarrow |\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}\rangle\langle\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}|.$$

Proof. We use the setting of Section 1 to be able to apply Theorem 1.1. From $u^*(h \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}) = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i^*(h) \otimes \epsilon_i$ we obtain

$$u^*(h \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}) = a_{\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}}^*(h) \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{P}} \oplus h'$$

with $h' \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{P}}^\perp$. Assume that $h \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}$. Because u^* is isometric on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}$ we conclude that

$$u^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}) = \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{P}} \perp u^*(h \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}) \quad (2.2)$$

and thus also $a_{\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}}^*(h) \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}$. In other words,

$$a_{\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}}^*(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Let q_n be the orthogonal projection from $\mathcal{H} \otimes \bigotimes_1^n \mathcal{P}$ onto $\Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \bigotimes_1^n \mathcal{P}$. From Theorem 1.1 it follows that

$$(\mathbf{1} - q_n) u_{0n}^* \dots u_{01}^*(h \otimes \bigotimes_1^n \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

On the other hand, by iterating the formula from the beginning,

$$u_{0n}^* \dots u_{01}^*(h \otimes \bigotimes_1^n \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}) = ((a_{\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}}^*)^n(h) \otimes \bigotimes_1^n \Omega_{\mathcal{P}}) \oplus h'$$

with $h' \in \mathcal{H} \otimes (\bigotimes_1^n \Omega_{\mathcal{P}})^\perp$. It follows that also

$$(\mathbf{1} - q_n)((a_{\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}}^*)^n(h) \otimes \bigotimes_1^n \Omega_{\mathcal{P}}) \rightarrow 0.$$

But from $a_{\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}}^*(\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}) \subset \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}$ we have $q_n((a_{\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}}^*)^n(h) \otimes \bigotimes_1^n \Omega_{\mathcal{P}}) = 0$ for all n . We conclude that $(a_{\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}}^*)^n(h) \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Further

$$a_{\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}}^* \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{i=1}^d \omega_i a_i^* \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{i=1}^d \omega_i \bar{\omega}_i \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \Omega_{\mathcal{H}},$$

and the proposition is proved. \square

The following proposition summarizes some well known properties of minimal isometric dilations and associated Cuntz algebra representations.

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose \underline{A} is a coisometric tuple on \mathcal{H} and \underline{V} is its minimal isometric dilation. Assume $\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a distinguished unit vector in \mathcal{H} and $\underline{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$, $\sum_i |\omega_i|^2 = 1$. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. \underline{A} is ergodic and $A_i^* \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \bar{\omega}_i \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ for all i .
2. \underline{V} is ergodic and $V_i^* \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \bar{\omega}_i \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ for all i .
3. $V_i^* \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \bar{\omega}_i \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ and \underline{V} generates the GNS-representation of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_d = C^*\{g_1, \dots, g_d\}$ (g_i its abstract generators) with respect to the Cuntz state which maps

$$g_\alpha g_\beta^* \mapsto \omega_\alpha \bar{\omega}_\beta, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \tilde{\Lambda}.$$

Cuntz states are pure and the corresponding GNS-representations are irreducible.

This Proposition clearly follows from Theorem 5.1 of [BJKW00], Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 4.1 of [BJP96]. Note that in Theorem 1.1(d) we already saw a concrete version of the corresponding Cuntz algebra representation.

3. A new characteristic function

First we recall some more details of the theory of minimal isometric dilations for row contractions (cf. [Po89a]) and introduce further notation.

The full Fock space over \mathbb{C}^d ($d \geq 2$) denoted by $\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d)$ is

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) := \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}^d \oplus (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes 2} \oplus \dots \oplus (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes m} \oplus \dots$$

$1 \oplus 0 \oplus \dots$ is called the vacuum vector. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^d . Recall that we include $d = \infty$ in which case \mathbb{C}^d stands for a complex separable Hilbert space of infinite dimension. For $\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}$, e_α will denote the vector $e_{\alpha_1} \otimes e_{\alpha_2} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\alpha_m}$ in the full Fock space $\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d)$ and e_0 will denote the vacuum vector. Then the (left) creation operators L_i on $\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d)$ are defined by

$$L_i x = e_i \otimes x$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $x \in \Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d)$. The row contraction $\underline{L} = (L_1, \dots, L_d)$ consists of isometries with orthogonal ranges.

Let $\underline{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_d)$ be a row contraction on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Treating \underline{T} as a row operator from $\bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{H}$ to \mathcal{H} , define $D_* := (\mathbf{1} - \underline{T}\underline{T}^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and $D := (\mathbf{1} - \underline{T}^*\underline{T})^{\frac{1}{2}} : \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{H}$. This implies that

$$D_* = (\mathbf{1} - \sum_{i=1}^d T_i T_i^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad D = (\delta_{ij} \mathbf{1} - T_i^* T_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}_{d \times d}. \quad (3.1)$$

Observe that $\underline{T}D^2 = D_*^2 \underline{T}$ and hence $\underline{T}D = D_* \underline{T}$. Let $\mathcal{D} := \text{Range } D$ and $\mathcal{D}_* := \text{Range } D_*$. Popescu in [Po89a] gave the following explicit presentation of the minimal isometric dilation of \underline{T} by \underline{V} on $\mathcal{H} \oplus (\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D})$,

$$V_i(h \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} e_\alpha \otimes d_\alpha) = T_i h \oplus [e_0 \otimes D_i h + e_i \otimes \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}} e_\alpha \otimes d_\alpha] \quad (3.2)$$

for $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $d_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}$. Here $D_i h := D(0, \dots, 0, h, 0, \dots, 0)$ and h is embedded at the i^{th} component.

In other words, the V_i are isometries with orthogonal ranges such that $T_i^* = V_i^*|_{\mathcal{H}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$ and the spaces $V_\alpha \mathcal{H}$ with $\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}$ together span the Hilbert space on which the V_i are defined. It is an important fact, which we shall use repeatedly, that such minimal isometric dilations are unique up to unitary equivalence (cf. [Po89a]).

Now, as in Section 2, let $\underline{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_d)$, $A_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, be an ergodic coisometric tuple with $A_i^* \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \bar{\omega}_i \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ for some unit vector $\Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \in \mathcal{H}$ and some $\underline{\omega} \in \mathbb{C}^d$, $\sum_i |\omega_i|^2 = 1$. Let $\underline{V} = (V_1, \dots, V_d)$ be the minimal isometric dilation of \underline{A} given by Popescu's construction (see equation 3.2) on $\mathcal{H} \oplus (\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_A)$. Because $A_i^* = V_i^*|_{\mathcal{H}}$ we also have $V_i^* \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \bar{\omega}_i \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ and because \underline{V} generates an irreducible \mathcal{O}_d -representation (Proposition 2.4), we see that \underline{V} is also a minimal isometric dilation of $\underline{\omega} : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. In fact, we can think of $\underline{\omega}$ as the most elementary example of a tuple with all the properties stated for \underline{A} . Let $\tilde{\underline{V}} = (\tilde{V}_1, \dots, \tilde{V}_d)$ be the minimal isometric dilation of $\underline{\omega}$ given by Popescu's construction on $\mathbb{C} \oplus (\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_\omega)$.

Because \underline{A} is coisometric it follows from equation 3.1 that D is in fact a projection and hence $D = (\delta_{ij} \mathbf{1} - A_i^* A_j)_{d \times d}$. We infer that $D(A_1^*, \dots, A_d^*)^T = 0$, where T stands for transpose. Applied to $\underline{\omega}$ instead of \underline{A} this shows that $D_\omega = (\mathbf{1} - |\underline{\omega}\rangle\langle \underline{\omega}|)$ and

$$\mathcal{D}_\omega \oplus \mathbb{C}(\bar{\omega}_1, \dots, \bar{\omega}_d)^T = \mathbb{C}^d,$$

where $\underline{\omega} = (\bar{\omega}_1, \dots, \bar{\omega}_d)$.

Remark 3.1. Because $\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ is cyclic for $\{V_\alpha, \alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}\}$ we have

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{A_\alpha \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} : \alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}\} = \overline{\text{span}}\{p_{\mathcal{H}} V_\alpha \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} : \alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}\} = \mathcal{H}.$$

Using the notation from equation 2.1 this further implies that

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{\hat{A}_\alpha l_i : \alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}, 1 \leq i \leq d\} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}.$$

As minimal isometric dilations of the tuple $\underline{\omega}$ are unique up to unitary equivalence, there exists a unitary

$$W : \mathcal{H} \oplus (\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus (\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_\omega),$$

such that $WV_i = \tilde{V}_i W$ for all i .

After showing the existence of W we now proceed to compute W explicitly. For \underline{A} , by using Popescu's construction, we have its minimal isometric dilation \underline{V} on $\mathcal{H} \oplus (\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_A)$. Another way of constructing a minimal isometric dilation \underline{t} of \underline{a} was demonstrated in Section 1 on the space $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ (obtained by restricting to the minimal subspace of $\mathcal{H} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ with respect to \underline{t}). Identifying \underline{A} and \underline{a} on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} there is a unitary $\Gamma_A : \hat{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \oplus (\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_A)$ which is the identity on \mathcal{H} and satisfies $V_i \Gamma_A = \Gamma_A t_i$.

By Theorem 1.1(d) the tuple \underline{s} on $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ arising from the tensor shift is unitarily equivalent to \underline{t} (resp. \underline{V}), explicitly $\mathbf{w} t_i = s_i \mathbf{w}$ for all i . An alternative viewpoint on the existence of \mathbf{w} is to note that \underline{s} is a minimal isometric dilation of $\underline{\omega}$. In fact, $s_i^* \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} = \langle \epsilon_i, \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} \rangle \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} = \bar{\omega}_i \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}}$ for all i . Hence there is also a unitary $\Gamma_\omega : \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus (\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_\omega)$ with $\Gamma_\omega \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} = 1 \in \mathbb{C}$ which satisfies $\tilde{V}_i \Gamma_\omega = \Gamma_\omega s_i$.

Remark 3.2. It is possible to describe Γ_ω in an explicit way and in doing so to construct an interesting and natural (unitary) identification of $\bigotimes_1^\infty \mathbb{C}^d$ and $\mathbb{C} \oplus (\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d-1})$. In fact, recall (from Section 1) that $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} = \bigotimes_1^\infty \mathcal{P}$ and the space \mathcal{P} is nothing but a d -dimensional Hilbert space. Hence we can identify

$$\mathbb{C}^d \simeq \mathcal{P} = \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{P}} \oplus \mathbb{C} \Omega_{\mathcal{P}} \simeq \mathcal{D}_\omega \oplus \mathbb{C} \bar{\omega}^T \simeq \mathbb{C}^{d-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}$$

In this identification the orthonormal basis $(\epsilon_i)_{i=1}^d$ of \mathcal{P} goes to the canonical basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^d$ of \mathbb{C}^d , in particular the vector $\Omega_{\mathcal{P}} = \sum_i \bar{\omega}_i \epsilon_i$ goes to $\bar{\omega}^T = (\bar{\omega}_1, \dots, \bar{\omega}_d)^T$ and we have $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{P}} \simeq \mathcal{D}_\omega$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\omega : \quad \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} &\mapsto 1 \in \mathbb{C}, \\ k \otimes \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} &\mapsto e_0 \otimes k \\ \epsilon_\alpha \otimes k \otimes \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} &\mapsto e_\alpha \otimes k, \end{aligned}$$

where $k \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{P}}$, $\alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}$, $\epsilon_\alpha = \epsilon_{\alpha_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \epsilon_{\alpha_n} \in \bigotimes_1^n \mathcal{P}$ (the first n copies of \mathcal{P} in the infinite tensor product $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$), $e_\alpha = e_{\alpha_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\alpha_n} \in \Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d)$ as usual. It is easily checked that Γ_ω given in this way indeed satisfies the equation $\tilde{V}_i \Gamma_\omega = \Gamma_\omega s_i$ (for all i), which may thus be seen as the abstract characterization of this unitary map (together with $\Gamma_\omega \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}} = 1$).

Summarizing, for $i = 1, \dots, d$

$$V_i \Gamma_A = \Gamma_A t_i, \quad \mathbf{w} t_i = s_i \mathbf{w}, \quad \tilde{V}_i \Gamma_\omega = \Gamma_\omega s_i$$

and we have the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{\mathcal{H}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{w}} & \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \\
\Gamma_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \Gamma_\omega \\
\mathcal{H} \oplus (\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_A) & \xrightarrow{W} & \mathbb{C} \oplus (\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_\omega).
\end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

From the diagram we get

$$W = \Gamma_\omega \mathbf{w} \Gamma_A^{-1}.$$

Combined with the equations above this yields $WV_i = \tilde{V}_i W$ and we see that W is nothing but the dilations-intertwining map which we have already introduced earlier. Hence \mathbf{w} and W are essentially the same thing and for the study of certain problems it may be helpful to switch from one picture to the other.

In the following we analyze W to arrive at an interpretation as a new kind of characteristic function. First we have an isometric embedding

$$\hat{C} := W|_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus (\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_\omega). \tag{3.4}$$

Note that $\hat{C} \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = W \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = 1 \in \mathbb{C}$. The remaining part is an isometry

$$M_{\hat{\Theta}} := W|_{\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_A} : \Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_A \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_\omega. \tag{3.5}$$

From equation 3.2 we get for all i

$$V_i |_{\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_A} = (L_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}_A}),$$

$$\tilde{V}_i |_{\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_\omega} = (L_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}_\omega}),$$

and we conclude that

$$M_{\hat{\Theta}}(L_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}_A}) = (L_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}_\omega})M_{\hat{\Theta}}, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq d. \tag{3.6}$$

In other words, $M_{\hat{\Theta}}$ is a multi-analytic inner function in the sense of [Po89c, Po95]. It is determined by its symbol

$$\hat{\theta} := W|_{e_0 \otimes \mathcal{D}_A} : \mathcal{D}_A \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_\omega, \tag{3.7}$$

where we have identified $e_0 \otimes \mathcal{D}_A$ and \mathcal{D}_A . In other words, we think of the symbol $\hat{\theta}$ as an isometric embedding of \mathcal{D}_A into $\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_\omega$.

Definition 3.3. We call $M_{\hat{\Theta}}$ (or $\hat{\theta}$) the extended characteristic function of the row contraction \underline{A} ,

See Sections 5 and 6 for more explanation and justification of this terminology.

4. Explicit computation of the extended characteristic function

To express the extended characteristic function more explicitly in terms of the tuple \underline{A} we start by defining

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{D}_* : \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H} \ominus \mathbb{C}\Omega_{\mathcal{H}} &\rightarrow \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{P} \ominus \mathbb{C}\Omega_{\mathcal{P}} \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\omega}, \\ h &\mapsto (\langle \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} | \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{P}}) u^*(h \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where $u : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is the isometry introduced in Section 1. That indeed the range of \hat{D}_* is contained in $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{P}}$ follows from equation 2.2, i.e., $u^*(h \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}) \perp \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{P}}$ for $h \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}$. With notations from equation 2.1 we can get a more concrete formula.

Lemma 4.1. *For all $h \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}$ we have $\hat{D}_*(h) = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \ell_i, h \rangle \epsilon_i$.*

Proof. $(\langle \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} | \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{P}}) u^*(h \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}) = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}, a_i^* h \rangle \otimes \epsilon_i = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \ell_i, h \rangle \epsilon_i$. \square

Proposition 4.2. *The map $\hat{C} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus (\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{\omega})$ from equation 3.4 is given explicitly by $\hat{C}\Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$ and for $h \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}$ by*

$$\hat{C}h = \sum_{\alpha \in \bar{\Lambda}} e_{\alpha} \otimes \hat{D}_* \hat{A}_{\alpha}^* h.$$

Proof. As $W\Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$ also $\hat{C}\Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$. Assume $h \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u_{01}(h \otimes \Omega_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}) &= \sum_i a_i^* h \otimes \epsilon_i \otimes \Omega_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}} \\ &= \sum_i \langle \ell_i, h \rangle \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \epsilon_i \otimes \Omega_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}} + \sum_i \overset{\circ}{a}_i^* h \otimes \epsilon_i \otimes \Omega_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Because $u^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}) = \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{P}}$ we obtain (with Lemma 4.1) for the first part

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{0n}^* \cdots u_{02}^* \left(\sum_i \langle \ell_i, h \rangle \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \epsilon_i \otimes \Omega_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}} \right) \\ &= \sum_i \langle \ell_i, h \rangle \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \epsilon_i \otimes \Omega_{\bar{\mathcal{P}}} = \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \hat{D}_* h \otimes \Omega_{\bar{\mathcal{P}}} \simeq \hat{D}_* h \otimes \Omega_{\bar{\mathcal{P}}} \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{P}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the product formula from Theorem 1.1 and iterating the argument above we get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{C}(h) &= Wh = \Gamma_{\omega} \mathbf{w} \Gamma_A^{-1}(h) \\ &= \Gamma_{\omega} (\hat{D}_* h \otimes \Omega_{\bar{\mathcal{P}}}) + \Gamma_{\omega} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{0n}^* \cdots u_{02}^* \sum_i \overset{\circ}{a}_i^* h \otimes \epsilon_i \otimes \Omega_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}} \\ &= e_0 \otimes \hat{D}_* h + \Gamma_{\omega} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{0n}^* \cdots u_{03}^* \sum_{j,i} (\langle \ell_j, \overset{\circ}{a}_i^* h \rangle \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} + \overset{\circ}{a}_j^* \overset{\circ}{a}_i^* h) \otimes \epsilon_i \otimes \epsilon_j \otimes \Omega_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}} \\ &= e_0 \otimes \hat{D}_* h + \sum_{i=1}^d e_i \otimes \hat{D}_* \overset{\circ}{a}_i^* h + \Gamma_{\omega} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{0n}^* \cdots u_{03}^* \sum_{j,i} \overset{\circ}{a}_j^* \overset{\circ}{a}_i^* h \otimes \epsilon_i \otimes \epsilon_j \otimes \Omega_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \dots$$

$$= \sum_{|\alpha| < m} e_\alpha \otimes \hat{D}_* \hat{a}_\alpha^* h + \Gamma_\omega \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{0n}^* \cdots u_{0,m+1}^* \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \hat{a}_\alpha^* h \otimes \epsilon_\alpha \otimes \Omega_{\hat{\mathcal{K}}}.$$

From Proposition 2.1 we have $\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|\hat{a}_\alpha^* h\|^2 \rightarrow 0$ for $m \rightarrow \infty$ and we conclude that the last term converges to 0. It follows that the series converges and this proves Proposition 4.2. \square

Remark 4.3. Another way to prove Proposition 4.2 for $h \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}$ consists in repeatedly applying the formula

$$u^*(h \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}) = a_{\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}}^* h \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{P}} + h', \quad h' \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathring{\mathcal{P}}$$

to the $u_{0n}^*(h \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{K}})$ and then using $(a_{\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}}^*)^n h \rightarrow 0$, see Proposition 2.3. This gives some insight how the infinite product in Theorem 1.1 transforms into the infinite sum in Proposition 4.2.

Now we present an explicit computation of the extended characteristic function. One way of writing \mathcal{D}_A is

$$\mathcal{D}_A = \overline{\text{span}}\{(V_i - A_i)h : i \in \Lambda, h \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Let $d_h^i := (V_i - A_i)h$. Then

$$\hat{\theta} d_h^i = W(V_i - A_i)h = \tilde{V}_i \hat{C}h - \hat{C}A_i h.$$

Case I: Take $h = \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}$.

$$\tilde{V}_i \hat{C} \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \tilde{V}_i \mathbf{1} = \omega_i \oplus [e_0 \otimes (\mathbf{1} - |\underline{\omega}\rangle \langle \underline{\omega}|) \epsilon_i],$$

$$\hat{C}A_i \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \omega_i \oplus \sum_{\alpha} e_\alpha \otimes \hat{D}_* \hat{A}_\alpha^* l_i$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta} d_{\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}}^i &= e_0 \otimes [(\mathbf{1} - |\underline{\omega}\rangle \langle \underline{\omega}|) \epsilon_i - \hat{D}_* l_i] - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} e_\alpha \otimes \hat{D}_* \hat{A}_\alpha^* l_i \\ &= e_0 \otimes [\epsilon_i - \sum_j \bar{\omega}_j \omega_i \epsilon_j - \sum_j \langle l_j, l_i \rangle \epsilon_j] - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} e_\alpha \otimes \sum_j \langle l_j, \hat{A}_\alpha^* l_i \rangle \epsilon_j \\ &= e_0 \otimes [\epsilon_i - \sum_j (\bar{\omega}_j \omega_i + \langle l_j, l_i \rangle) \epsilon_j] - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} e_\alpha \otimes \sum_j \langle \hat{A}_\alpha l_j, l_i \rangle \epsilon_j \\ &= e_0 \otimes [\epsilon_i - \sum_j \langle A_j \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}, A_i \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \rangle \epsilon_j] - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} e_\alpha \otimes \sum_j \langle \hat{A}_\alpha l_j, l_i \rangle \epsilon_j. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Case II: Now let $h \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}$. With $i \in \Lambda$

$$\tilde{V}_i \hat{C}h = (L_i \otimes \mathbf{1}) \hat{C}h = \sum_{\alpha} e_i \otimes e_\alpha \otimes \hat{D}_* \hat{A}_\alpha^* h,$$

$$\hat{C}A_i h = \sum_{\beta} e_\beta \otimes \hat{D}_* \hat{A}_\beta^* \hat{A}_i h.$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\theta} d_h^i &= \sum_{\alpha} e_i \otimes e_{\alpha} \otimes \hat{D}_* \hat{A}_{\alpha}^* h - \sum_{\beta} e_{\beta} \otimes \hat{D}_* \hat{A}_{\beta}^* \hat{A}_i h \\
&= -e_0 \otimes \hat{D}_* \hat{A}_i h + e_i \otimes \sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \otimes \hat{D}_* \hat{A}_{\alpha}^* (\mathbf{1} - \hat{A}_i^* \hat{A}_i) h + \sum_{j \neq i} e_j \otimes \sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \otimes \hat{D}_* \hat{A}_{\alpha}^* (-\hat{A}_j^* \hat{A}_i) h \\
&= -e_0 \otimes \hat{D}_* \hat{A}_i h + \sum_{j=1}^d e_j \otimes \sum_{\alpha \in \bar{\Lambda}} e_{\alpha} \otimes \hat{D}_* \hat{A}_{\alpha}^* (\delta_{ji} \mathbf{1} - \hat{A}_j^* \hat{A}_i) h. \tag{4.3}
\end{aligned}$$

5. Case II is the characteristic function of $\overset{\circ}{A}$

In this section we show that case II in the previous section can be identified with the characteristic function of the $*$ -stable tuple $\overset{\circ}{A}$, in the sense introduced by Popescu in [Po89b]. This is the reason why we have called $\hat{\theta}$ an *extended* characteristic function. All information about \underline{A} beyond $\overset{\circ}{A}$ must be contained in case I.

First recall the theory of characteristic functions for row contractions, as developed by G. Popescu in [Po89b], generalizing the theory of B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foias (cf. [NF70]) for single contractions. We only need the results about a $*$ -stable tuple $\overset{\circ}{A} = (\overset{\circ}{A}_1, \dots, \overset{\circ}{A}_d)$ on $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}$. In this case, with $\overset{\circ}{D}_* = (\mathbf{1} - \overset{\circ}{A} \overset{\circ}{A}^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} : \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_*$ its range, the map

$$\begin{aligned}
\overset{\circ}{C} : \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}} &\rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_* \tag{5.1} \\
h &\mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in \bar{\Lambda}} e_{\alpha} \otimes \overset{\circ}{D}_* \overset{\circ}{A}_{\alpha}^* h
\end{aligned}$$

is an isometry (Popescu's Poisson kernel). If, as usual, $\overset{\circ}{D} = (\mathbf{1} - \overset{\circ}{A}^* \overset{\circ}{A})^{\frac{1}{2}} : \bigoplus_1^d \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_1^d \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}$, with $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}$ its range, and if P_j is the projection onto the j -th component, then the characteristic function θ_A° of $\overset{\circ}{A}$ can be defined as

$$\theta_A^{\circ} : \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_* \tag{5.2}$$

$$f \mapsto -e_0 \otimes \sum_{j=1}^d \overset{\circ}{A}_j P_j f + \sum_{j=1}^d e_j \otimes \sum_{\alpha \in \bar{\Lambda}} e_{\alpha} \otimes \overset{\circ}{D}_* \overset{\circ}{A}_{\alpha}^* P_j \overset{\circ}{D} f.$$

See [Po89b] for details, in particular for the important result that θ_A° characterizes the $*$ -stable tuple $\overset{\circ}{A}$ up to unitary equivalence.

Now consider again the tuple \underline{A} of the previous section, with extended characteristic function $\hat{\theta}$. From equation 2.1

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_i & 0 \\ |\ell_i\rangle & \mathring{A}_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_i^* = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\omega}_i & \langle \ell_i | \\ 0 & \mathring{A}_i^* \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence

$$A_i A_i^* = \begin{pmatrix} |\bar{\omega}_i|^2 & \langle \bar{\omega}_i \ell_i | \\ |\bar{\omega}_i \ell_i\rangle & |\ell_i\rangle \langle \ell_i| + \mathring{A}_i \mathring{A}_i^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that $D_*^2 = \mathbf{1} - \sum_i A_i A_i^*$ which is 0 as \underline{A} is coisometric. Thus $\sum_i \bar{\omega}_i \ell_i = 0$ and $\mathbf{1} - \sum_i \mathring{A}_i \mathring{A}_i^* = \sum_i |\ell_i\rangle \langle \ell_i|$. The first equation means that $A_{\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}}^*(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathring{\mathcal{H}}$ and that

$$\langle \hat{D}_* h, \Omega_{\mathcal{P}} \rangle = \langle \sum_i \langle \ell_i, h \rangle \epsilon_i, \sum_j \bar{\omega}_j \epsilon_j \rangle = \langle \sum_i \bar{\omega}_i \ell_i, h \rangle = 0,$$

which we already know (see 4.1).

The second equation yields

$$\mathring{D}_*^2 = \mathbf{1} - \sum_i \mathring{A}_i \mathring{A}_i^* = \sum_i |\ell_i\rangle \langle \ell_i|.$$

Lemma 5.1. *There exists an isometry $\gamma : \mathring{D}_* \rightarrow \mathring{\mathcal{P}} \simeq \mathcal{D}_\omega$ defined for $h \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}$ as*

$$\mathring{D}_* h \mapsto \sum_i \langle \ell_i, h \rangle \epsilon_i = \hat{D}_* h.$$

Proof. Take $h \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}$. By Lemma 4.1 we have $\hat{D}_*(h) = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \ell_i, h \rangle \epsilon_i$. Now we can compute

$$\|\hat{D}_* h\|^2 = \langle \sum_i \langle \ell_i, h \rangle \epsilon_i, \sum_j \langle \ell_j, h \rangle \epsilon_j \rangle = \sum_i \langle h, \ell_i \rangle \langle \ell_i, h \rangle = \langle h, \mathring{D}_*^2 h \rangle = \|\mathring{D}_* h\|^2.$$

Hence $\gamma : \mathring{D}_* h \mapsto \hat{D}_* h$ is isometric. \square

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\underline{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_d)$, $A_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, be an ergodic coisometric tuple with $A_i^* \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \bar{\omega}_i \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ for some unit vector $\Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \in \mathcal{H}$ and some $\underline{\omega} \in \mathbb{C}^d$, $\sum_i |\omega_i|^2 = 1$. Let $\hat{\theta}$ be the extended characteristic function of \underline{A} and let θ_A° be the characteristic function of the $(*$ -stable) tuple \underline{A} . For $h \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}$*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \mathring{D}_* h &= \hat{D}_* h, \\ (\mathbf{1} \otimes \gamma) \mathring{C} h &= \hat{C} h, \\ (\mathbf{1} \otimes \gamma) \theta_A^\circ d_h^i &= \hat{\theta} d_h^i \text{ for } i \in \Lambda. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, the part of $\hat{\theta}$ described by case II in the previous section is equivalent to θ_A° .

Proof. We only have to use Lemma 5.1 and compare Proposition 4.2 and equation 5.1 as well as equations 4.3 and 5.2. For the latter note that $d_h^i = \overset{\circ}{D}(0, \dots, 0, h, 0 \dots, 0)$, where h is embedded at the i -th position. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \sum_j \mathring{A}_j P_j d_h^i &= \gamma \sum_j \mathring{A}_j P_j \overset{\circ}{D}(0, \dots, 0, h, 0 \dots, 0) = \gamma \overset{\circ}{A} \overset{\circ}{D}(0, \dots, 0, h, 0 \dots, 0) \\ &= \gamma \overset{\circ}{D}_* \overset{\circ}{A}(0, \dots, 0, h, 0 \dots, 0) = \hat{D}_* \mathring{A}_i h \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$P_j \overset{\circ}{D} d_h^i = P_j \overset{\circ}{D}^2(0, \dots, 0, h, 0 \dots, 0) = (\delta_{ji} \mathbf{1} - \mathring{A}_j^* \mathring{A}_i) h.$$

□

Of course, Theorem 5.2 explains why we have called $\hat{\theta}$ an *extended* characteristic function.

6. The extended characteristic function is a complete unitary invariant

In this section we prove that the extended characteristic function is a complete invariant with respect to unitary equivalence for the row contractions investigated in this paper. Suppose that $\underline{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_d)$ and $\underline{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_d)$ are ergodic and coisometric row contractions on Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B such that $A_i^* \Omega_A = \bar{\omega}_i \Omega_A$ and $B_i^* \Omega_B = \bar{\omega}_i \Omega_B$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$, where $\Omega_A \in \mathcal{H}_A$ and $\Omega_B \in \mathcal{H}_B$ are unit vectors and $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_d)$ is a tuple of complex numbers. Recall from Remark 2.2 that it is no serious restriction of generality to assume that it is the same tuple of complex numbers in both cases because this can always be achieved by a transformation with a unitary $d \times d$ -matrix (with scalar entries). We will use all the notations introduced earlier with subscripts A or B .

Let us say that the extended characteristic functions $\hat{\theta}_A$ and $\hat{\theta}_B$ are *equivalent* if there exists a unitary $V : \mathcal{D}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$ such that $\hat{\theta}_A = \hat{\theta}_B V$. Note that the ranges of $\hat{\theta}_A$ and $\hat{\theta}_B$ are both contained in $\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_\omega$ and thus this definition makes sense. Let us further say that \underline{A} and \underline{B} are *unitarily equivalent* if there exists a unitary $U : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_B$ such that $U A_i = B_i U$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$. By ergodicity the unit eigenvector Ω_A (resp. Ω_B) is determined up to an unimodular constant (see Theorem 1.1(b)) and hence in the case of unitary equivalence we can always modify U to satisfy additionally $U \Omega_A = \Omega_B$.

Theorem 6.1. *The extended characteristic functions $\hat{\theta}_A$ and $\hat{\theta}_B$ are equivalent if and only if \underline{A} and \underline{B} are unitarily equivalent.*

Proof. If \underline{A} and \underline{B} are unitarily equivalent then all constructions differ only by naming and it follows that $\hat{\theta}_A$ and $\hat{\theta}_B$ are equivalent. Conversely, assume that

there is a unitary $V : \mathcal{D}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$ such that $\hat{\theta}_A = \hat{\theta}_B V$. Now from the commuting diagram 3.3 and the definitions following it

$$\begin{aligned} W_B \mathcal{H}_B &= \mathbb{C} \oplus (\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_\omega) \ominus M_{\hat{\theta}_B}(\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_B) \\ &= \mathbb{C} \oplus (\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_\omega) \ominus M_{\hat{\theta}_B}(\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes V \mathcal{D}_A) \\ &= \mathbb{C} \oplus (\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_\omega) \ominus M_{\hat{\theta}_A}(\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_A) \\ &= W_A \mathcal{H}_A, \end{aligned}$$

where we used equation 3.6, i.e., $M_{\hat{\theta}}(L_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) = (L_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}_\omega}) M_{\hat{\theta}}$, $\forall 1 \leq i \leq d$, to deduce $M_{\hat{\theta}_A} = M_{\hat{\theta}_B}(\mathbf{1} \otimes V)$ from $\hat{\theta}_A = \hat{\theta}_B V$. Now we define the unitary U by

$$U := W_B^{-1} W_A|_{\mathcal{H}_A} : \mathcal{H}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_B.$$

Because $W_A \Omega_A = 1 = W_B \Omega_B$ we have $U \Omega_A = \Omega_B$. Further for all $i = 1, \dots, d$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_A$,

$$\begin{aligned} U A_i h &= W_B^{-1} W_A A_i h = W_B^{-1} W_A P_{\mathcal{H}_A} V_i^A h = P_{\mathcal{H}_B} W_B^{-1} W_A V_i^A h \\ &= P_{\mathcal{H}_B} W_B^{-1} \tilde{V}_i W_A h = P_{\mathcal{H}_B} V_i^B W_B^{-1} W_A h = B_i U h, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., \underline{A} and \underline{B} are unitarily equivalent. \square

Remark 6.2. An analogous result for completely non-coisometric tuples has been shown by G. Popescu in [Po89b], Theorem 5.4.

Note further that if we change $\underline{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_d)$ into $\underline{A}' = (A'_1, \dots, A'_d)$ by applying a unitary $d \times d$ -matrix with scalar entries (as described in Remark 2.2), then $\hat{\theta}_A = \hat{\theta}_{A'}$. In fact, this follows immediately from the definition of W as an intertwiner in Section 3, from which it is evident that W does not change if we take the same linear combinations on the left and on the right. This does not contradict Theorem 6.1 because $\underline{\omega}$ and $\underline{\omega}'$ are now different tuples of eigenvalues and Theorem 6.1 is only applicable when the same tuple of eigenvalues is used for \underline{A} and \underline{B} .

For another interpretation, let Z be a normal, unital, ergodic, completely positive map with an invariant vector state $\langle \Omega_A, \cdot \Omega_A \rangle$. If we consider two minimal Kraus decompositions of Z , i.e.,

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^d A_i \cdot A_i^* = \sum_{i=1}^d A'_i \cdot (A'_i)^*,$$

with d minimal, then the tuples $\underline{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_d)$ into $\underline{A}' = (A'_1, \dots, A'_d)$ are related in the way considered above (see for example [Go04], A.2). It follows that $\hat{\theta}_A = \hat{\theta}_{A'}$ does not depend on the decomposition but can be associated to Z itself. Hence we have the following reformulation of Theorem 6.1.

Corollary 6.3. *Let Z_1, Z_2 be normal, unital, ergodic, completely positive maps on $B(\mathcal{H}_1), B(\mathcal{H}_2)$ with invariant vector states $\langle \Omega_1, \cdot \Omega_1 \rangle$ and $\langle \Omega_2, \cdot \Omega_2 \rangle$. Then the associated extended characteristic functions $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ are equivalent if and only if*

Z_1 and Z_2 are conjugate, i.e., there exists a unitary $U : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ such that

$$Z_1(x) = U^* Z_2(UxU^*)U \quad \text{for all } x \in B(\mathcal{H}_1).$$

7. Example

The following example illustrates some of the constructions in this paper.

Consider $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^3$ and

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, A_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\sum_{i=1}^2 A_i A_i^* = \mathbf{1}$. Take the unital completely positive map $Z : M_3 \rightarrow M_3$ by $Z(x) = \sum_{i=1}^2 A_i x A_i^*$. It is shown in Section 5 of [GKL06] (and not difficult to verify directly) that this map is ergodic. We will use the same notations here as in previous sections. Observe that the vector $\Omega_{\mathcal{H}} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1, 1, 1)^T$ gives an invariant vector state for Z as

$$\langle \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}, Z(x)\Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \rangle = \langle \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}, x\Omega_{\mathcal{H}} \rangle = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 x_{ij}.$$

$A_i^* \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ and hence $\underline{\omega} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 1)$. The orthogonal complement $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}$ of $\mathbb{C}\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}$ in \mathbb{C}^3 and the orthogonal projection Q onto $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}$ are given by

$$\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ -(k_1 + k_2) \end{pmatrix} : k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \quad Q = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this we get for $\mathring{A}_i = Q A_i Q = A_i Q$

$$\mathring{A}_1 = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathring{A}_2 = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -2 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We notice that the tuple $\mathring{\underline{A}} = (\mathring{A}_1, \mathring{A}_2)$ is $*$ -stable as (by induction)

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=n} \mathring{A}_{\alpha} \mathring{A}_{\alpha}^* = \frac{1}{3 \times 2^{n-1}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Here $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{P}} := \mathcal{P} \ominus \mathbb{C}\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}$ with $\Omega_{\mathcal{P}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 1)^T$. Easy calculation shows that $\hat{D}_* : \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{P}}$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ -(k_1 + k_2) \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2k_1 + k_2) \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover $\mathring{D}_* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. There exists an isometry $\gamma : \mathring{D}_* \rightarrow \mathring{P}$ such

that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\gamma(\mathring{D}_* h) = \mathring{D}_* h$ for $h \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}$.

The map $\hat{C} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_\omega$ is given by $\hat{C}(\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}) = 1$ and for $h \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{C} \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ -(k_1 + k_2) \end{pmatrix} &= e_0 \otimes \frac{(2k_1 + k_2)}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\alpha, \alpha_1=1} e_\alpha \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{(k_1 + 2k_2)}{\sqrt{6}} \\ &\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\alpha, \alpha_1=2} e_\alpha \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{(k_1 - k_2)}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where the summations are taken over all $0 \neq \alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}$ such that $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_{i+1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq |\alpha|$ and fixing α_1 to 1 or 2 as indicated. This simplification occurs because $\mathring{A}_i^2 = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. All the summations below in this section are also of the same kind.

Now using the equations 4.2 and 4.3 for $\hat{\theta}_A : \mathcal{D}_A \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{C}^d) \otimes \mathcal{D}_\omega$ and simplifying we get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta}_A d_{\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}}^1 &= -e_0 \otimes \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\alpha, \alpha_1=1} e_\alpha \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha, \alpha_1=2} e_\alpha \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = -\hat{\theta}_A d_{\Omega_{\mathcal{H}}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and for $h \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta}_A d_h^1 &= -e_0 \otimes \frac{k_1}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + e_1 \otimes \frac{(k_1 + k_2)}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\alpha, \alpha_1=1} e_1 \otimes e_\alpha \\ &\quad \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{(k_1 + 2k_2)}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{\alpha, \alpha_1=2} e_1 \otimes e_\alpha \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{k_2}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha, \alpha_1=2} e_\alpha \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{k_1}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta}_A d_h^2 &= -e_0 \otimes \frac{(k_1 + k_2)}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\alpha, \alpha_1=1} e_\alpha \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{(k_1 + k_2)}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + e_2 \otimes \frac{k_1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\alpha, \alpha_1=1} e_2 \otimes e_\alpha \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{k_2}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha, \alpha_1=2} e_2 \otimes e_\alpha \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{(k_1 - k_2)}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Form this we can easily obtain $\overset{\circ}{C}$ and θ_A° for $h \in \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}}$ by using the following relations from Theorem 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{1} \otimes \gamma) \overset{\circ}{C} h &= \hat{C}h, \\ (\mathbf{1} \otimes \gamma) \theta_A^\circ d_h^i &= \hat{\theta}_A d_h^i. \end{aligned}$$

Further

$$l_1 = A_1 \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad l_2 = A_2 \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Omega_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathring{A}_1 l_1 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and clearly } \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{H}} = \overline{\text{span}}\{\mathring{A}_\alpha l_i : i = 1, 2 \text{ and } \alpha \in \tilde{\Lambda}\}, \text{ as already}$$

observed in Remark 3.1.

References

- [Ar03] Arveson, W.: *Noncommutative Dynamics and E-Semigroups*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics (2003)
- [BP94] Bhat, B. V. R.; Parthasarathy, K. R.: *Kolmogorov's existence theorem for Markov processes in C* algebras*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Math. Sci., **104** (1994), 253-262
- [BJKW00] Bratteli, O.; Jorgensen, P.; Kishimoto, A.; Werner, R. F.: *Pure states on \mathcal{O}_d* , J. Operator Theory, **43** (2000), 97-143
- [BJP96] Bratteli, O.; Jorgensen, P. E. T.; Price, G. L.: *Endomorphisms of $B(\mathcal{H})$. Quantization, nonlinear partial differential equations, and operator algebra* (Cambridge, MA, 1994), 93-138, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **59**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1996).
- [Cu77] Cuntz, J.: *Simple C*-algebras generated by isometries*, Commun. Math. Phys., **57** (1977), 173-185
- [FF90] Foias, C.; Frazho, A. E.: *The commutant lifting approach to interpolation problems*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 44, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (1990).
- [Go04] Gohm, R.: *Noncommutative stationary processes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1839, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2004).
- [GKL06] Gohm, R.; Kümmerer, B.; Lang, T.: *Noncommutative symbolic coding*, Ergod.Th. & Dynam.Sys., **26** (2006), 1521-1548
- [KM00] Kümmerer, B.; Maassen, H.: *A scattering theory for Markov chains*. Inf. Dim. Analysis, Quantum Prob. and Related Topics, vol. **3** (2000), 161-176
- [Kü85] Kümmerer, B.: *Markov dilations on W*-algebras*, J. Funct. Anal., **63** (1985), 139-177
- [NF70] Sz.-Nagy, B., Foias, C.: *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, North Holland Publ., Amsterdam-Budapest (1970)
- [Po89a] Popescu, G.: *Isometric dilations for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **316** (1989), 523-536

- [Po89b] Popescu, G.: *Characteristic functions for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators*, J. Operator Theory, **22** (1989), 51-71
- [Po89c] Popescu, G.: *Multi-analytic operators and some factorization theorems*, Indiana Univ.Math.J., **36** (1989), 693-710
- [Po95] Popescu, G.: *Multi-analytic operators on Fock spaces* J. Funct. Anal. **161** (1999), 27-61
- [Pow88] Powers, R. T.: *An index theory for semigroups of *-endomorphisms of $B(\mathcal{H})$ and type II_1 factors*, Canad. J. Math. **40** (1988), no. 1, 86-114

Santanu Dey

Institut für Mathematik und Informatik,
Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-Universität,
Friedrich-Ludwig-Jahn-Str. 15a,
17487 Greifswald, Germany.
e-mail: dey@uni-greifswald.de

Rolf Gohm

Department of Mathematics,
University of Reading,
Whiteknights, P.O.Box 220,
Reading, RG6 6AX, England
e-mail: r.gohm@reading.ac.uk