

Aberystwyth University

The sky is the limit

Williams, Richard; Tooth, Stephen; Gibson, Morgan

Published in:

Journal of Geography in Higher Education

DOI:

[10.1080/03098265.2016.1241986](https://doi.org/10.1080/03098265.2016.1241986)

Publication date:

2017

Citation for published version (APA):

Williams, R., Tooth, S., & Gibson, M. (2017). The sky is the limit: Reconstructing physical geography from an aerial perspective. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, 41(1), 134-146.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03098265.2016.1241986>

General rights


Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Aberystwyth Research Portal (the Institutional Repository) are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Aberystwyth Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Aberystwyth Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

tel: +44 1970 62 2400
email: is@aber.ac.uk



The sky is the limit: reconstructing physical geography from an aerial perspective

Journal:	<i>Journal of Geography in Higher Education</i>
Manuscript ID	CJGH-2015-0085.R1
Manuscript Type:	Resources Paper
Keywords:	Fieldwork, Structure-from-Motion photogrammetry (SfM), Technology, Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV), Aerial imagery, Digital Elevation Model (DEM)

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

The sky is the limit: reconstructing physical geography from an aerial perspective

Abstract

In an era of rapid geographical data acquisition, interpretations of remote sensing products are an integral part of many undergraduate geography degree schemes but there are fewer opportunities for collection and processing of primary remote sensing data. Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) provide a relatively inexpensive opportunity to introduce the principles and practice of airborne remote sensing into fieldcourses, enabling students to learn about image acquisition, data processing and interpretation of derived products. Two case studies illustrate how a low cost “DJI Phantom Vision+” UAV can be used by students to acquire images that can be processed using Structure-from-Motion photogrammetry software. Results from a student questionnaire and analysis of assessed student reports showed that using UAVs enhanced student engagement and equipped them with data processing skills. The derivation of bespoke orthophotos and Digital Elevation Models has the potential to provide students with opportunities to gain insight into various remote sensing data quality issues, although additional training is required to maximise this potential. Recognition of the successes and limitations of this teaching intervention provides scope for improving future UAV exercises. UAVs are enabling both a reconstruction of how we measure the Earth’s surface and a reconstruction of how students do fieldwork.

Keywords

Aerial imagery, Digital Elevation Model (DEM), fieldwork, physical geography, Structure-from-Motion photogrammetry (SfM), technology, Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV)

23 Introduction

24 A key attribute of geography graduates is an ability to acquire, represent and interpret
25 spatial data (e.g. maps, aerial photographs, satellite imagery), and to use these data to
26 interpret the physical and human aspects of landscapes. Over the last decade, the quality
27 and availability of aerial photographs and satellite imagery has rapidly increased following
28 the release of virtual globes such as Google Earth (Tooth, 2006, 2013), and these have
29 provided invaluable resources for learning and teaching in geography in schools and higher
30 education (Tooth, 2015). In physical geography, such resources have been supplemented by
31 increased open access to high resolution (metre and sub-metre in the horizontal, with c. 0.1
32 m vertical accuracy) three-dimensional Digital Elevation Models (DEMs). For example, LiDAR
33 data is available via OpenTopography in the USA (www.opentopography.org; Krishnan et al.,
34 2011) and via the UK Government Data portal in England (<https://data.gov.uk/>).
35 Furthermore, the development of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) now enable scientists
36 and environmental managers to acquire high-resolution aerial imagery (Anderson and
37 Gaston, 2013; Carrivick et al., 2103; Eisenbeiss et al. 2011; Hugenholtz et al., 2012; Marris,
38 2013; Turner et al., 2016), and Structure-from-Motion (SfM) photogrammetry (James and
39 Robson, 2012; Micheletti et al., 2015; Westoby et al., 2012) enables orthophoto and DEM
40 production from a projected two-dimensional motion field that is generated from a set of
41 images. Coupling these data acquisition and processing technologies together thus provides
42 opportunities to generate high resolution digital topographic datasets (Lucieer et al., 2014;
43 Tamminga et al., 2015; Tonkin et al., 2014; Woodget et al., 2014; Westoby et al., 2015) that
44 are generally lower in cost for areas less than c. 1 km² than datasets derived from manned
45 aircraft surveys (Glennie et al., 2013; Lillesand et al., 2015). Physical geographers, and in

1
2
3 46 particular geomorphologists, are at the forefront of these technical developments and
4
5 47 applications (Passalacqua et al., 2015; Tarolli, 2014). In the social sciences, research is being
6
7 48 directed towards examining the use of UAVs in a range of applications, including military
8
9 49 (Greene, 2015; Shaw, 2013) and civilian (Culver, 2014; Finn and Wright, 2012), while
10
11 50 Birtchnell and Gibson (2015) describe an exercise to explore the reactions of human
12
13 51 geography students to using UAVs. Yet within university geography departments, the
14
15 52 principles and practices of primary UAV image acquisition and associated data processing
16
17 53 have not been widely transferred to the undergraduate curriculum (Jordan, 2015), despite
18
19 54 the transformative potential for enhancing student understanding of the nature, rates and
20
21 55 drivers of landscape changes.
22
23
24
25
26

27 56 Following a brief review of the role of technology in physical geography student fieldwork,
28
29 57 the aim of this paper is to summarise a teaching procedure whereby students can use a low-
30
31 58 cost UAV and off-the-shelf SfM software to produce an accurate, high-resolution
32
33 59 orthophoto and DEM. We present the teaching and learning procedure adopted during two
34
35 60 case studies undertaken during a physical geography fieldcourse; one is an instructor-led
36
37 61 exercise whilst the other is from an independent student group project. We evaluate the
38
39 62 outcomes by considering: (i) the results from a questionnaire that was completed after the
40
41 63 first case study; (ii) the level of engagement with the technology that was achieved in the
42
43 64 second case study; and (iii) our own reflections on student learning.
44
45
46
47
48

49 65 **Physical geography fieldwork and technology**

50
51
52
53 66 Teaching students in the field is of paramount importance for inherently field-based
54
55 67 disciplines such as physical geography (Fisher, 2001). In the UK's quality code for higher
56
57 68 education (QAA, 2014), fieldwork is described as a characteristic and essential element of
58
59
60

1
2
3 69 undergraduate geography degrees. Abundant pedagogical research also suggests that not
4
5 70 only are students motivated by fieldwork (e.g. Fuller et al., 2003) but learn more outside
6
7 71 than in the classroom (Salvage et al., 2004), particularly because experiential learning in the
8
9
10 72 field also leads to deep learning (Auer, 2008).

11
12
13 73 Fuller et al. (2006) note that students like using technical equipment in the field, designing
14
15 74 their own research projects, and analysing data. Nevertheless, despite some notable
16
17 75 exceptions, there are relatively few assessments of teaching and learning when using
18
19
20 76 instruments or other technologies during undergraduate fieldwork (FitzPatrick et al., 2012;
21
22
23 77 Fuller and France, 2016; France et al., 2016; Welsh and France, 2012; Welsh et al., 2012;
24
25 78 Welsh et al., 2015). In part, this may be because instruments are not being regularly
26
27
28 79 deployed during fieldwork teaching. Indeed, in a survey of undergraduate fieldwork
29
30 80 practitioners, Welsh et al. (2013) found that technology tends to be used before and after
31
32 81 fieldwork, but was least used during fieldwork. For those who were using technology in the
33
34
35 82 field, the four most commonly used types of hardware were digital cameras, GPS,
36
37 83 smartphones and phones. This situation contrasts with the use of electronic sensors and
38
39 84 data recording through remote sensing and digital storage in contemporary physical
40
41
42 85 geography field-based research (Church, 2013) and applied environmental management. A
43
44 86 gap is thus emerging between data acquisition and remote sensing in research and the
45
46
47 87 applied environmental workplace, and what is being taught at the undergraduate level. In
48
49 88 the UK, 'technology use' (e.g. UAVs) in field contexts has even been identified as part of a
50
51 89 more general fieldwork "skills gap" by graduate employers in the environmental sector
52
53
54 90 (Natural Environment Research Council, 2012). Embedding more technologically-enhanced
55
56 91 learning (JISC, 2011) into geography fieldwork, especially those approaches based around
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 92 remote sensing, therefore may make a contribution not only to student engagement and
4
5 93 learning but also to improving graduate job prospects. Against this backdrop, we undertook
6
7
8 94 an investigation of teaching and learning outcomes based on coupling geomorphological
9
10 95 fieldwork with remote sensing technologies.

13 96 **Context, exercise development and evaluation**

16
17 97 All geography undergraduate students at Aberystwyth attend a residential fieldcourse
18
19 98 during Semester 2 of their second year. In 2015, two of the authors (RDW and MG) led a
20
21 99 fieldcourse to the South Island, New Zealand (Figure 1a), which lasted 10 days and focused
22
23
24 100 upon the themes of fluvial geomorphology, glaciology and natural hazards. Additionally, the
25
26 101 long-haul fieldcourse is also intended to engender lifelong experiences, and deep learning
27
28 102 (Robson 2002) through a focused independent research project at the end of the course.
29
30
31 103 During the first eight days, the eleven registered students visit a range of fluvial and glacial
32
33 104 landscapes and develop practical field skills in geomorphological mapping, sediment analysis
34
35 105 and stream gauging. Students use a range of instruments and technologies including
36
37
38 106 handheld GPS, Real Time Kinematic GPS (RTK-GPS), UAVs, interpretation of SPOT satellite
39
40 107 imagery, and dilution gauging of river flow. In the final two days, students apply the skills
41
42 108 that they have developed to an independent group project of their choice.

46 109 ***Case study 1: Braidplain planform***

48
49 110 The first use of UAVs during the fieldcourse was for an exercise on mapping braidplain
50
51 111 planform. This exercise takes place on a reach of the Rees River (Figure 1B) where
52
53
54 112 morphological change has been investigated by the lead author (e.g. Williams et al., 2014;
55
56 113 Williams et al., 2015), thus enabling research-led teaching. Channels actively erode and
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 114 deposit sediment, and therefore migrate across the braidplain during high flows. This
4
5 115 dynamism provides opportunities for students to analyse how the channels change, by
6
7 116 comparing archived aerial imagery to surveys carried out during the fieldtrip. In previous
8
9 117 fieldcourses, this exercise had involved students walking along channel edges and using a
10
11 118 handheld GPS to record channel positions. However, we recognised that a teaching
12
13 119 intervention could be made to enable students to learn how to acquire images using a UAV.
14
15 120 The fieldwork featured two tasks. Initially, students distributed plastic targets across the
16
17 121 braidplain and surveyed the centre of each target using an RTK-GPS system (Uren and Price,
18
19 122 2006) to obtain a coordinate with c. 0.01 m accuracy. Next, students were given an
20
21 123 explanation of the technical components of a “DJI Phantom 2 Vision+” UAV (cost of £965 in
22
23 124 2014) and a demonstration of its controls (Figure 2). In brief, this UAV is a quadcopter with a
24
25 125 14 megapixel camera supported by a three axis gimbal stabiliser. The UAV is operated using
26
27 126 a remote control and the camera is operated through the DJI Vision smartphone app, which
28
29 127 also gives the operator a live feed from the camera. Each student learnt to fly the UAV and
30
31 128 acquire images, at 4 s intervals, from a height of approximately 100 m above the braidplain.
32
33 129 Flight speed was adjusted to ensure a minimum of five overlapping images for each pixel of
34
35 130 the orthomosaic. Aber et al. (2010) outline standard formulae for calculating photographic
36
37 131 scale and resolution, which can be used to plan the image coverage and ground sample
38
39 132 distance that can be achieved for a particular flight duration. Before flying, students were
40
41 133 briefed on the Civil Aviation Authority of New Zealand’s rules for the use of Remotely
42
43 134 Piloted Aircraft Systems.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52
53 135 In the evening, while the students observed, the lead author used Pix4D SfM processing
54
55 136 software to produce orthophotos and DEMs of the 0.15 km² study area (Figure 3). After
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 137 image processing was complete, the students were asked to complete an anonymous
4
5 138 questionnaire (Table 1) that asked what they thought they had learnt from the exercise as a
6
7
8 139 whole, the links they could make to other undergraduate modules, whether they enjoyed
9
10 140 the exercise, and what they thought could be improved.

141 ***Case study 2: Glacial lake outburst flood topography***

142 Three students decided to use the UAV for their independent group project, which aimed to
143 reconstruct the channel morphology and peak discharge of the 1913 Mueller Glacier lake
144 outburst flood (GLOF) at Kea Point (Figure 1c). The students' objectives were to describe the
145 outburst flood channel by generating a topographic map and to quantify peak discharges
146 using empirical relations similar to the methods of Kershaw et al. (2005). The procedure was
147 similar to that employed for the first case study, with the students initially laying out 50
148 ground targets across the study area and each target location being surveyed using an RTK-
149 GPS system. Set up of the GPS base station was supervised by a staff instructor prior to
150 target emplacement but flying of the UAV was undertaken by students once all targets were
151 placed. To complement the UAV data, the size of 50 transported sediment clasts was
152 measured to provide additional information for input into empirical peak flow calculations.
153 After data collection, and once back in the UK, the students were supervised in the
154 production of an orthophoto and DEM using SfM processing software (Figure 4). The
155 students then calculated cross-sectional area of the GLOF channel using the SfM-derived
156 DEM.

157 **Results**

158 ***Case study 1: Braidplain planform***

1
2
3 159 Nine out of eleven students answered the survey. Table 1 summarises the results and lists
4
5 160 example responses to the qualitative questions. Overall, the results show that students
6
7 161 were engaged with the use of technology in the field. The first question asked students what
8
9 162 they learnt from the exercise. Most students stated they learnt how to fly a UAV and they
10
11 163 learnt how to use an RTK-GPS system (Table 1). The second question asked students to list
12
13 164 whether they thought that anything they learnt linked to other modules they were taking.
14
15 165 While the students on the fieldcourse could be following a variety of module combinations,
16
17 166 this question was designed to give an indication of the broader connections that students
18
19 167 could identify. All students listed at least two other second year modules. Two students
20
21 168 listed the third year dissertation module, indicating that some students were also thinking
22
23 169 about future research projects (Table 1). The third question asked each student whether
24
25 170 they enjoyed the fieldwork and to explain their answer. All nine students answered yes. The
26
27 171 explanations (Table 1) suggest that students were engaged with the use of fieldwork
28
29 172 technology. The fourth question asked what could be improved. In common with answers to
30
31 173 the third question, which demonstrated enthusiasm for the UAV technology, seven out of
32
33 174 nine students responded by saying that they'd like to spend more time flying the UAV. One
34
35 175 respondent commented that they would like to use the UAV to monitor other
36
37 176 environments, such as glacial landscapes. In their answers to the final question, which asked
38
39 177 students to make any other comments, students commented both on their engagement
40
41 178 with the exercise and their broader experiences (Table 1).
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 179 In addition to the student survey, the exercise was also reviewed by an independent
52
53 180 member of the fieldwork teaching team as part of Aberystwyth University's Peer
54
55 181 Observation of Teaching procedure. Their comments also provide a useful evaluation of
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 182 student learning and engagement during the field exercise: “The exercise engaged all
4
5 183 students at several levels, even to the point that they were extremely keen to lay out
6
7 184 targets across the floodplain to act as points of ground truthing - normally a somewhat
8
9
10 185 mundane task. This innovative class appealed to several learning modes, including tactile,
11
12 186 visual and audible.” This review therefore reinforces the results from the student
13
14
15 187 questionnaire and illustrates how technology can be deployed during fieldwork to engage
16
17 188 students.

18
19
20 189 The main drawback to the first case study was that whilst students were engaged with
21
22
23 190 collecting field data, there was not an opportunity for students to process the data
24
25 191 themselves. This was due to a lack of laptop processing capacity in the field camp, which
26
27
28 192 meant that students had to be shown how to process the data by the lead author. As a
29
30 193 result, the responses to the survey focused upon data collection rather than processing.

31
32
33 194 ***Case study 2: Glacial lake outburst flood topography***

34
35
36 195 Since each student’s independently-written project report was part of their fieldcourse
37
38
39 196 assessment, evaluation of the skills they gained through using the UAV and associated data
40
41 197 processing software could be made by reviewing the assessed work. All three students
42
43
44 198 processed the image dataset (299 photos) to produce an orthophoto and DEM of the 0.13
45
46 199 km² study area (Figure 4). The DEM enabled calculation of the cross-sectional area of the
47
48
49 200 GLOF channel, which was subsequently used as an input to slope-area methods to estimate
50
51 201 peak discharge through the channel. The students’ reports demonstrated a clear
52
53 202 understanding of the application of the technology-based results, linked these results with
54
55 203 the more conventional clast analysis data effectively, and showed how the results could
56
57
58 204 provide insight into flood-related landscape dynamics. However, the students did not
59
60

1
2
3 205 acknowledge the uncertainties involved in collection and post-processing of imagery (e.g.
4
5 206 positioning of targets, spatial overlap of photos over the study area), an omission that was
6
7 207 particularly evident in their discussion sections. To address this omission in future exercises,
8
9 208 it may be appropriate to provide training before embarking on data collection in the field,
10
11 209 and then hold a supervised, student-led workshop on post-processing following the first
12
13 210 data collection exercise. By doing this, students would gain a greater insight into the data
14
15 211 collection and processing, uncertainties in these methods, and ways in which they can be
16
17 212 overcome. Complementing use of such technologies in the field with technical skill
18
19 213 development in class-based work would further students' understanding of methods whilst
20
21 214 undertaking fieldwork, and get them thinking more deeply about the post-processing that is
22
23 215 involved to achieve the final data product. In addition, they would also gain a greater
24
25 216 understanding of appropriate uses of these technologies and the extent of their application
26
27 217 in other aspects of the curriculum.
28
29
30
31
32

33 34 35 218 **Reflection and discussion**

36
37
38 219 The two case studies on the application of UAVs to acquire aerial imagery provide examples
39
40 220 of how technologically-enhanced learning can be achieved during fieldwork. Student
41
42 221 comments in the questionnaire that was completed as part of the first case study (Table 1)
43
44 222 illustrate that they engaged in the exercise and enjoyed the research-led nature of the
45
46 223 activity. However, higher-level cognitive skills were only developed by those students who
47
48 224 applied the techniques they had learnt during the first field exercise to develop an
49
50 225 independent group project that applied the technology. Through their independent project
51
52 226 reports, this small group of students demonstrated that they were synthesising information
53
54 227 gained from their geomorphological- and technological-based training to address a specific
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 228 research question associated with deriving a topographic model. This model was then used
4
5 229 to extract information (e.g. cross sections) for input into empirical formulae to estimate
6
7
8 230 peak discharge during an outburst event.
9

10
11 231 In the student questionnaire, almost all students identified that they had learnt new skills
12
13 232 through flying the UAV and using an RTK-GPS system to survey the ground targets. The
14
15 233 exercise is similar to that described by Sander (2014), who developed an exercise for
16
17 234 students to use a digital camera mounted on a kite to acquire imagery. Whilst a UAV cannot
18
19
20 235 be used on wet and windy days, it is generally more versatile than a kite across a range of
21
22 236 environments and seasons. Although Birtchnell and Gibson (2015) describe a UAV
23
24 237 demonstration to students, they did not provide students with the opportunity to acquire
25
26 238 data. Giving students control of the UAV and the experience of placing and surveying targets
27
28 239 presents opportunities for learning about the principles and practice of remote sensing,
29
30 240 ranging from georeferencing, acquiring imagery, photogrammetry and image analysis. It also
31
32 241 maintains an environment – associated with more traditional forms of fieldwork – where
33
34 242 students can work in small groups to solve problems. In the first case study, students did not
35
36 243 have the opportunity to process their data due to limited processing capacity; this could be
37
38 244 addressed by designing practicals where students process lower resolution images or fewer
39
40 245 images and thus a smaller geographical extent. Issues associated with data quality, such as
41
42 246 the optimum target layout and the application of the output orthophoto and DEM to
43
44 247 investigate particular physical geography research questions, can also be explored by
45
46 248 students, and there are also social science applications (Birtchnell and Gibson, 2015).
47
48 249 Students who were engaged in processing the imagery and target locations through their
49
50 250 independent projects extended and deepened their learning. They also gained additional
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 251 skills in processing large datasets. This indicates that learning is most effective when
4
5 252 technology that is used in the field is also supported by broader engagement with
6
7
8 253 processing software immediately after data acquisition, and in classroom practicals before
9
10 254 and/or after fieldwork. Such knowledge is likely to equip students with the skills needed for
11
12 255 future careers that are closely related to geography, such as in applied environmental
13
14
15 256 management.

17 18 257 **Conclusion**

19
20
21 258 Over the last decade, the vastly enhanced availability of aerial photography and satellite
22
23 259 imagery has been invaluable for teaching and learning in geography, particularly by
24
25
26 260 providing new perspectives to advance students' perceptions of physical and human
27
28 261 phenomena on the Earth's surface (Tooth, 2013, 2015). Nonetheless, a lack of connection
29
30 262 commonly exists between use of remote sensing products and the associated principles and
31
32 263 practices of remote sensing data collection and analysis in field contexts. In a fieldcourse in
33
34
35 264 New Zealand, we attempted to address this disconnect. During fieldwork, all students
36
37 265 gained skills in using UAVs and associated electronic instrumentation that is commonly used
38
39 266 in research and applied environmental practice, as well as knowledge about the production
40
41 267 of orthophotos and DEMs. Students who were involved with processing imagery for their
42
43 268 independent group research projects deepened their learning. They also gained additional
44
45 269 knowledge and skills by processing the large dataset, and applying the technology to
46
47 270 address a specific research question about landform configuration and flood discharge
48
49 271 reconstruction. Reflections on the field exercises indicate that an additional processing
50
51 272 component could be embedded into pre- or post-fieldwork classes to maximise the
52
53 273 opportunity for learning and further analysis of the derived products. This will increase
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 274 career opportunities for geography graduates and more broadly will contribute towards
4
5 275 realising visions of a Digital World, one in which increasing numbers of people are engaged
6
7
8 276 in exploring and learning about the Earth using geospatial technologies (Goodchild, 2012;
9
10 277 Craglia et al., 2012).

11
12
13 278 **Acknowledgements**

14
15
16
17 279 We thank the Aberystwyth University undergraduate students who participated in the 2015
18
19 280 New Zealand fieldtrip for their feedback on their experiences. We also thank the three
20
21 281 anonymous reviewers for their comments, which helped us to amend and develop aspects
22
23
24 282 of the article.

25
26
27 283 **Funding**

28
29
30 284 Equipment investment was funded by a 2014 Aberystwyth University Learning and Teaching
31
32
33 285 Enhancement Grant to RDW and ST.

34
35
36 286
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 287 **Tables**
4

5
6 288 Table 1 Questions from the survey that was given to the eleven students after case study 1.
7

8 289 Nine students completed the survey.
9

10
11
12 290
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review Only

1
2
3 291 **Figures**

4
5 292 Figure 1 (A) The location of the two case study sites in New Zealand, (B) The Rees River
6
7 293 braidplain. Oblique image taken using the UAV described in this paper. (C) Mueller Glacier
8
9
10 294 outburst flood valley, showing a student using RTK-GPS.

11
12
13 295 Figure 2 Fieldwork procedure for students to acquire aerial images: (A) RTK-GPS survey of a
14
15 296 ground target; (B) Operation of the remote control for a DJI Phantom UAV. Note that these
16
17 297 photographs were taken during undergraduate fieldwork in the UK rather than during the
18
19
20 298 New Zealand fieldtrip but they illustrate the same procedure.

21
22
23 299 Figure 3 (A) Orthophoto and (B) Digital Elevation Model of the braided Rees River, New
24
25 300 Zealand (flow direction from top right to lower left). The maps were produced using images
26
27 301 acquired from a “DJI Phantom 2 Vision+” UAV and Structure-from-Motion photogrammetry,
28
29 302 processed using Pix4D software. Artefacts, such as the bridge decking on lower left and the
30
31 303 errors in derivation of bed levels along some wet channels on centre right of the image,
32
33 304 could form the focus of discussion about DEM editing tools. Underlying aerial photography
34
35 305 has been made available by Otago Regional Council.

36
37
38
39
40 306 Figure 4 (A) Orthophoto and (B) Digital Elevation Model of a valley formed by a glacial lake
41
42 307 outburst flood at Kea Point, New Zealand (flow direction from top left to lower right). The
43
44 308 maps were produced using images acquired from a “DJI Phantom Vision+” UAV and
45
46 309 Structure-from-Motion photogrammetry, processed using Pix4D software. Underlying aerial
47
48 310 photography has been made available by Environment Canterbury through ArcGIS Open
49
50 311 Data, licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 New Zealand License.

51
52
53
54
55
56 312
57
58
59
60

313 **References**

- 314 Aber, J., Marzolff, I., and Ries, J. (2010), "Small-format aerial photography: principles,
315 techniques and geoscience applications." Elsevier, Amsterdam. 320 pp.
- 316 Anderson, K., and Gaston, K. J. (2013). "Lightweight unmanned aerial vehicles will
317 revolutionize spatial ecology." *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 11(3), 138-
318 146.
- 319 Auer, M. R. (2008). "Sensory Perception, Rationalism and Outdoor Environmental
320 Education." *International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education*,
321 17(1), 6-12.
- 322 Birtchnell, T., and Gibson, C. (2015). "Less talk more drone: social research with UAVs."
323 *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, 39(1), 182-189.
- 324 Carrivick, J. L., Smith, M. W., Quincey, D. J., and Carver, S. J. (2013). "Developments in
325 budget remote sensing for the geosciences." *Geology Today*, 29(4), 138-143.
- 326 Church, M. (2013). "Refocusing geomorphology: Field work in four acts." *Geomorphology*,
327 200, 184-192.
- 328 Craglia, M., de Bie, K., Jackson, D., Pesaresi, M., Remetey-Fülöpp, G., Wang, C., Annoni, A.,
329 Bian, L., Campbell, F., Ehlers, M., van Genderen, J., Goodchild, M., Guo, H., Lewis, A.,
330 Simpson, R., Skidmore, A., and Woodgate, P. (2012). "Digital Earth 2020: towards the
331 vision for the next decade." *International Journal of Digital Earth*, 5(1), 4-21.
- 332 Culver, K. B. (2014). "From Battlefield to Newsroom: Ethical Implications of Drone
333 Technology in Journalism." *Journal of Mass Media Ethics*, 29(1), 52-64.
- 334 Eisenbeiss, H., and Sauerbier, M. (2011). "Investigation of uav systems and flight modes for
335 photogrammetric applications." *The Photogrammetric Record*, 26(136), 400-421.

- 1
2
3 336 Finn, R. L., and Wright, D. (2012). "Unmanned aircraft systems: Surveillance, ethics and
4
5 337 privacy in civil applications." *Computer Law & Security Review*, 28(2), 184-194
6
7
8 338 Fisher, J. A. (2001). "The demise of fieldwork as an integral part of science education in
9
10 339 United Kingdom schools: a victim of cultural change and political pressure?"
11
12 340 *Pedagogy, Culture & Society*, 9(1), 75-96.
13
14
15 341 FitzPatrick, M., Anderson, M., and Truscott, J. (2012). "Using mobile devices to extend
16
17 342 experiential learning and fieldwork practice in the earth sciences", *Planet*, 25(1), 33-
18
19 343 39.
20
21
22 344 France, D., Powell, V., Mauchline, A. L., Welsh, K., Park, J., Whalley, W. B., and Rewhorn, S.
23
24 345 (2016). "Ability of students to recognize the relationship between using mobile apps
25
26 346 for learning during fieldwork and the development of graduate attributes." *Journal of*
27
28 347 *Geography in Higher Education*, 40(2), 182-192
29
30
31 348 Fuller, I. A. N., Edmondson, S., France, D., Higgitt, D., and Ratinen, I. (2006). "International
32
33 349 Perspectives on the Effectiveness of Geography Fieldwork for Learning." *Journal of*
34
35 350 *Geography in Higher Education*, 30(1), 89-101.
36
37
38 351 Fuller, I. C., and France, D. (2016). "Does digital video enhance student learning in field-
39
40 352 based experiments and develop graduate attributes beyond the classroom?" *Journal*
41
42 353 *of Geography in Higher Education*, 40(2), 193-206.
43
44
45 354 Fuller, I., Gaskin, S., and Scott, I. (2003). "Student Perceptions of Geography and
46
47 355 Environmental Science Fieldwork in the Light of Restricted Access to the Field, Caused
48
49 356 by Foot and Mouth Disease in the UK in 2001." *Journal of Geography in Higher*
50
51 357 *Education*, 27(1), 79-102.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 358 Glennie, C. L., Carter, W. E., Shrestha, R. L., and Dietrich, W. E. (2013). "Geodetic imaging
4
5 359 with airborne LiDAR: the Earth's surface revealed." *Reports on Progress in Physics*,
6
7 360 76(8), 86801.
- 8
9
10 361 Goodchild, M. G. (2012). "The future of digital earth." *Annals of GIS*, 18(2), 93-98.
- 11
12 362 Greene, D. (2015). "Drone Vision." *Surveillance & Society*, 13(2), 233-249.
- 13
14
15 363 Hugenholtz, C. H., Moorman, B. J., Riddell, K., and Whitehead, K. (2012). "Small unmanned
16
17 364 aircraft systems for remote sensing and Earth science research." *Eos, Transactions*
18
19 365 *American Geophysical Union*, 93(25), 236-236.
- 20
21
22 366 James, M. R., and Robson, S. (2012). "Straightforward reconstruction of 3D surfaces and
23
24 367 topography with a camera: Accuracy and geoscience application." *Journal of*
25
26 368 *Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 117(F3), F03017.
- 27
28
29 369 Jordan, B. R. (2015). "A bird's-eye view of geology: The use of micro drones/UAVs in
30
31 370 geologic fieldwork and education". *GSA Today*, 25, 42-43
- 32
33
34 371 Kershaw, J. A., Clague, J. J., and Evans, S. G. (2005), Geomorphic and sedimentological
35
36 372 signature of a two-phase outburst flood from moraine-dammed Queen Bess Lake,
37
38 373 British Columbia, Canada, *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 30(1), 1-25.
- 39
40
41 374 Krishnan, S., Crosby, C., Nandigam, V., Phan, M., Cowart, C., Baru, C., and Arrowsmith, R.
42
43 375 OpenTopography: a services oriented architecture for community access to LIDAR
44
45 376 topography. Presented at Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on
46
47 377 Computing for Geospatial Research & Applications.
- 48
49
50 378 Lillesand, T. M., Kiefer, R. W., and Chipman, J. W. (2015). "*Remote sensing and image*
51
52 379 *interpretation*. John Wiley, New York. 768 pp.
- 53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 380 Lucieer, A., Turner, D., King, D. H., and Robinson, S. A. (2014). "Using an Unmanned Aerial
4
5 381 Vehicle (UAV) to capture micro-topography of Antarctic moss beds." *International*
6
7 382 *Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 27, Part A, 53-62.
- 8
9
10 383 Marris, E. (2013). "Drones in science: Fly, and bring me data." *Nature*, 498(7453), 156-158.
- 11
12 384 Micheletti, N., Chandler, J. H., and Lane, S. N. (2015). "Structure from Motion (SfM)
13
14 385 Photogrammetry", L. Clarke, (ed.) *Geomorphological Techniques* (Online Edition).
- 15
16
17 386 Natural Environment Research Council. (2012). *Most wanted II: postgraduate and*
18
19 387 *professional skills needs in the environmental sector*. NERC, Swindon.
- 20
21
22 388 Passalacqua, P., Belmont, P., Staley, D. M., Simley, J. D., Arrowsmith, J. R., Bode, C. A.,
23
24 389 Crosby, C., DeLong, S. B., Glenn, N. F., Kelly, S. A., Lague, D., Sangireddy, H., Schaffrath,
25
26 390 K., Tarboton, D. G., Wasklewicz, T., and Wheaton, J. M. (2015). "Analyzing high
27
28 391 resolution topography for advancing the understanding of mass and energy transfer
29
30 392 through landscapes: A review." *Earth-Science Reviews*, 148(0), 174-193.
- 31
32
33 393 QAA. (2014). *Subject Benchmark Statement: Geography*. Quality Assurance Agency for
34
35 394 Higher Education, Gloucester.
- 36
37
38 395 Robson, E. (2002). "'An Unbelievable Academic and Personal Experience!': Issues around
39
40 396 teaching undergraduate field courses in Africa." *Journal of Geography in Higher*
41
42 397 *Education*, 26(3), 327-344.
- 43
44
45 398 Salvage, K., Graney, J., and Barker, J. (2004). "Watershed-based integration of hydrology,
46
47 399 geochemistry, and geophysics in an environmental geology curriculum." *Journal of*
48
49 400 *Geoscience Education*, 52(2), 141.
- 50
51
52 401 Sander, L. (2014). "Kite aerial photography (KAP) as a tool for field teaching." *Journal of*
53
54 402 *Geography in Higher Education*, 38(3), 425-430.
- 55
56
57 403

- 1
2
3 404 Shaw, I. G. R. (2013). "Predator Empire: The Geopolitics of US Drone Warfare." *Geopolitics*.
4
5 405 18(3), 536-559.
6
7
8 406 Tamminga, A. D., Eaton, B. C., and Hugenholtz, C. H. (2015). "UAS-based remote sensing of
9
10 407 fluvial change following an extreme flood event." *Earth Surface Processes and*
11
12 408 *Landforms*, 40(11), 1464-1476.
13
14
15 409 Tarolli, P. (2014). "High-resolution topography for understanding Earth surface processes:
16
17 410 Opportunities and challenges." *Geomorphology*, 216, 295-312.
18
19
20 411 Tonkin, T. N., Midgley, N. G., Graham, D. J., and Labadz, J. C. (2014). "The potential of small
21
22 412 unmanned aircraft systems and structure-from-motion for topographic surveys: A test
23
24 413 of emerging integrated approaches at Cwm Idwal, North Wales." *Geomorphology*,
25
26 414 226, 35-43.
27
28
29 415 Tooth, S. (2006). Virtual globes: a catalyst for the re-enchantment of geomorphology? *Earth*
30
31 416 *Surface Processes and Landforms*, 31(9), 1192-1194.
32
33
34 417 Tooth, S. (2013). Google Earth™ in geomorphology: re-enchanting, revolutionising, or just
35
36 418 another resource? J. F. Shroder, A. D. Switzer, and D. E. Kennedy, (eds.), *Treatise on*
37
38 419 *geomorphology*. Academic Press: San Diego, CA, 53-64.
39
40
41 420 Tooth, S. (2015). Spotlight on... Google Earth as a resource. *Geography*, 100(1), 51-56.
42
43
44 421 Turner, I. L., Harley, M. D., and Drummond, C. D. (2016). "UAVs for coastal surveying."
45
46 422 *Coastal Engineering*, 114, 19-24 Uren, J., and Price, W. F. (2006). *Surveying for*
47
48 423 *engineers*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
49
50
51 424 Welsh, K. E., and France, D. (2012). "Smartphones and fieldwork." *Geography*, 97(1), 47-51.
52
53 425 Welsh, K. E., France, D., Whalley, W. B., and Park, J. R., (2012), "Geotagging Photographs in
54
55 426 Student Fieldwork." *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, 36(3), 469-480
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 427 Welsh, K. E., Mauchline, A. L., Park, J. R., Whalley, W. B., and France, D. (2013). "Enhancing
4
5 428 fieldwork learning with technology: practitioner's perspectives." *Journal of Geography*
6
7 429 *in Higher Education*, 37(3), 399-415.
- 8
9
10 430 Welsh, K. E., Mauchline, A. L., Powell, V., France, D., Park, J. R., and Whalley, W. B. (2015).
11
12 431 "Student perceptions of iPads as mobile learning devices for fieldwork." *Journal of*
13
14 432 *Geography in Higher Education*, 39(3), 450-469.
- 15
16
17 433 Westoby, M. J., Brasington, J., Glasser, N. F., Hambrey, M. J., and Reynolds, J. M. (2012).
18
19 434 "'Structure-from-Motion' photogrammetry: a low-cost, effective tool for geoscience
20
21 435 applications." *Geomorphology*, 179, 300-314.
- 22
23
24 436 Westoby, M. J., Dunning, S. A., Woodward, J., Hein, A. S. , Marrero, S. M., Winter, K., and
25
26 437 Sugden, D. E. (2015). "Sedimentological characterization of Antarctic moraines using
27
28 438 UAVs and Structure-from-Motion photogrammetry." *Journal of Glaciology*, 61(230),
29
30 439 1088-1102.
- 31
32
33 440 Williams, R. D., Brasington, J., Vericat, D., and Hicks, D. M. (2014). "Hyperscale terrain
34
35 441 modelling of braided rivers: fusing mobile terrestrial laser scanning and optical
36
37 442 bathymetric mapping." *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 39(2), 167-183.
- 38
39
40 443 Williams, R. D., Rennie, C. D., Brasington, J., Hicks, D. M., and Vericat, D. (2015). "Linking the
41
42 444 spatial distribution of bed load transport to morphological change during high-flow
43
44 445 events in a shallow braided river." *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*,
45
46 446 120(3), 2014JF003346.
- 47
48
49 447 Woodget, A. S., Carbonneau, P. E., Visser, F., and Maddock, I. P. (2014). "Quantifying
50
51 448 submerged fluvial topography using hyperspatial resolution UAS imagery and
52
53 449 structure from motion photogrammetry." *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*,
54
55 450 40(1), 47-64.

Table 1 Questions from the survey that was given to the eleven students after case study 1.

Nine students completed the survey.

Number	Question	Summary of responses
1	What did you learn from the exercise?	How to fly a UAV: identified by eight students How to use RTK-GPS: identified by seven students The laws surrounding UAV flight: identified by one student How to place ground targets: identified by one student How to post-process the data and produce a DEM: identified by one student
2	Did anything you learn from the exercise relate to other modules you are taking? If so, which ones?	All responses listed least two other second year modules, including catchment systems, research skills, sedimentary environments, GIS, geohazards and remote sensing. Two responses listed the third year dissertation module.
3	Did you enjoy the fieldwork? Please explain your answer	Nine out of nine responses replied "yes". Examples of explanations include: (i) "it was interesting because I was able to actively engage in cutting edge research"; (ii) "it was much easier to learn seeing processes in action and make learning more interesting"; (iii) "the session [was] interactive and the topic and technology was exciting"; and (iv) "it was interesting to see the method behind map production and aerial photography"
4	What could be improved?	More time flying the UAV: identified by seven students Using the UAV in other landscapes (e.g. glacial): identified by one student
5	Do you have any further comments?	Example responses include: i) "I really enjoyed all aspects of the fieldwork, have learnt loads and find it helpful being able to ask questions all of the time"; ii) "I made a new friend"; and iii) "I enjoyed it and learnt a lot"




Figure 1 (A) The location of the two case study sites in New Zealand, (B) The Rees River braidplain. Oblique image taken using the UAV described in this paper. (C) Mueller Glacier outburst flood valley, showing a student using RTK-GPS.
108x80mm (300 x 300 DPI)

ew Only



Figure 2 Fieldwork procedure for students to acquire aerial images: (A) RTK-GPS survey of a ground target; (B) Operation of the remote control for a DJI Phantom UAV. Note that these photographs were taken during undergraduate fieldwork in the UK rather than during the New Zealand fieldtrip but they illustrate the same procedure.

108x79mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 3 Orthophoto (A) and Digital Elevation Model (B) of the braided Rees River, New Zealand (flow direction from top right to lower left). The maps were produced using images acquired from a DJI Phantom 2 Vision+ UAV and Structure-from-Motion photogrammetry, processed using Pix4D software. Artefacts, such as the bridge decking on lower left and the errors in derivation of bed levels along some wet channels on centre right of the image, could form the focus of discussion about DEM editing tools. Underlying aerial photography has been made available by Otago Regional Council.
129x240mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 4 (A) Orthophoto and (B) Digital Elevation Model of a valley formed by a glacial lake outburst flood at Kea Point, New Zealand (flow direction from top left to lower right). The maps were produced using images acquired from a "DJI Phantom Vision+" UAV and Structure-from-Motion photogrammetry, processed using Pix4D software. Underlying aerial photography has been made available by Environment Canterbury through ArcGIS Open Data, licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 New Zealand License.
160x160mm (300 x 300 DPI)