

Aberystwyth University

FungalTraits

Pölme, Sergei; Abarenkov, Kessy; Henrik Nilsson, R.; Lindahl, Björn D.; Clemmensen, Karina Engelbrecht; Kauserud, Havard; Nguyen, Nhu; Kjøller, Rasmus; Bates, Scott T.; Baldrian, Petr; Frøslev, Tobias Guldberg; Adojaan, Kristjan; Vizzini, Alfredo; Suija, Ave; Pfister, Donald; Baral, Hans Otto; Järv, Helle; Madrid, Hugo; Nordén, Jenni; Liu, Jian Kui

Published in:
Fungal Diversity

DOI:
[10.1007/s13225-020-00466-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-020-00466-2)

Publication date:
2021

Citation for published version (APA):

Pölme, S., Abarenkov, K., Henrik Nilsson, R., Lindahl, B. D., Clemmensen, K. E., Kauserud, H., Nguyen, N., Kjøller, R., Bates, S. T., Baldrian, P., Frøslev, T. G., Adojaan, K., Vizzini, A., Suija, A., Pfister, D., Baral, H. O., Järv, H., Madrid, H., Nordén, J., ... Tedersoo, L. (2021). FungalTraits: A user-friendly traits database of fungi and fungus-like stramenopiles. *Fungal Diversity*, 105(1), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-020-00466-2>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Aberystwyth Research Portal (the Institutional Repository) are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Aberystwyth Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Aberystwyth Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

tel: +44 1970 62 2400
email: is@aber.ac.uk

FungalTraits: a user-friendly traits database of fungi and fungus-like stramenopiles

Sergei Põlme, Kessy Abarenkov, ..., Urmas Kõljalg, Leho Tedersoo

1. Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, 14A Ravila, 50411 Tartu, Estonia

2. Natural History Museum, University of Tartu, 14A Ravila, 50411 Tartu, Estonia

Author affiliations, contacts and contributions

I. Core workers and developers (4 above)

II. Developers please fill in

Name (as you wish to be in the author line)	Affiliation(s) PLEASE FILL (if you agree to be author)	Preferred Email (update if necessary)	Provided expertise	
R. Henrik Nilsson	1. Gothenburg Global Biodiversity Centre, Box 461, 405 30 Gothenburg, Sweden 2. Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Sweden	henrik.nilsson@bioenv.gu.se	concept	
Björn D. Lindahl	Department of Soil and Environment, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Box 7014, 750 07 Uppsala, Sweden	Bjorn.Lindahl@slu.se	concept	

Karina Clemmensen	Uppsala BioCenter and Department of Forest Mycology and Plant Pathology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Box 7026, 750 07 Uppsala, Sweden	karina.clemmensen@slu.se	Concept, host	
Havard Kauserud	Department of Biosciences, University of Oslo, PO Box 1066, Blindern 0316, Oslo, Norway	havard.kauserud@ibv.uio.no	concept	
Rasmus Kjoller	Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 10, 2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark	rasmusk@bio.ku.dk	concept	
Petr Baldrian	Institute of Microbiology, Czech Academy of Sciences, Videnska 1083, 14220 Praha 4, Czech Republic	baldrian@biomed.cas.cz	concept	
Tobias Guldberg Frøslev	GLOBE Institute, University of Copenhagen. Øster Farimagsgade 5, KH7.2.32, DK-1353 Copenhagen, Denmark.	tobiasgf@sund.ku.dk	concept	
Nhu H. Nguyen	University of Hawai'i at Mānoa. 3190 Maile Way, St. John 102, Honolulu, Hawai'i, USA.	nhu.nguyen@hawaii.edu	concept	
Kristjan Adojaan	1	kristjan.adojaan@ut.ee	terms	

III. Taxonomic experts - please fill in

NAME (as you wish to be in the author line)	Affiliation(s) PLEASE FILL IN (if you agree to be author)	Preferred Email	Provided expertise
---	---	-----------------	--------------------

Alfredo Vizzini	Dipartimento di Scienze della Vita e Biologia dei Sistemi, Università di Torino, Viale Mattioli 25, 10125 Torino, Italy	alfredo.vizzini@unito.it	Agaricales
Ave Suija	1	ave.suija@ut.ee	Lichenized, lichenicolous
Donald Pfister	Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology and Farlow Library and Herbarium, Harvard University, 22 Divinity Ave., Cambridge, MA 02138 USA	dpfister@oeb.harvard.edu	Pezizomycetes
Hans-Otto Baral	Blaihofstr. 42, D-72074 Tübingen, Germany	zotto@arcor.de	Orbiliomycetes
Helle Järv	SYNLAB Estonia, Veerenni 53a, Tallinn 11313, Estonia	helle.jarv@synlab.ee	Animal pathogens
Hugo Madrid	Escuela de Tecnología Médica, Universidad Santo Tomás, Los Carreras 753, Osorno, Chile. Centro de Genómica y Bioinformática, Universidad Mayor, Camino La Pirámide 5750, Huechuraba, Santiago, Chile	hugo.madrid@gmail.com	Eurotiomycetes
Jenni Nordén	Norwegian Institute for	Jenni.Norden@nina.	"Corticoid

	Nature Research (NINA), Sognsveien 68, 0855 Oslo, Norway	no	basidiomycetes"
Jian-Kui Liu	School of Life Science and Technology, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, 611731, People's Republic of China	ljiankui@gmail.com	Dothideomycetes
Julia Pawlowska	Institute of Evolutionary Biology and Biological and Chemical Research Centre, University of Warsaw, ul. Zwirki i Wigury 101, 02-089 Warsaw, Poland	julia.pawlowska@bio l.uw.edu.pl	Mucoromyceta
Kadri Pöldmaa	1	hypomyc@gmail.co m	Hypocreales
Kadri Pärtel	1	kadri.partel@ut.ee	Leotiomycetes
Kadri Runnel	1	kadri.runnel@ut.ee	"Polyporoids"
Karen Hansen	Department of Botany, Swedish Museum of Natural History, P.O. Box 50007, SE-10405, Stockholm, Sweden	Karen.Hansen@nrm .se	Pezizomycetes
Kevin David Hyde	Center of Excellence in Fungal Research, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai 57100,	kdhyde3@gmail.co m	Dothideomycetes

	Thailand		
Karl-Henrik Larsson	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Natural History Museum, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1172 Blindern, 0318 Oslo, Norway 2. Gothenburg Global Biodiversity Centre, P.O. Box 461, 405 30 Gothenburg, Sweden 	k.h.larsson@nhm.uio.no	"Corticioid basidiomycetes"
Marcelo Sandoval-Denis	Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Utrecht, The Netherlands	m.sandoval@wi.knaw.nl	Microascales
Matthew E. Smith	Department of Plant Pathology, University of Florida, FL, USA	trufflesmith@ufl.edu	Hypogeous
Merje Toome-Heller	Plant Health and Environment Laboratory, Ministry for Primary Industries, Auckland 1140, New Zealand	merje.toome@mpi.govt.nz	Pucciniomycotina, Ustilaginomycotina
Nalin N. Wijayawardene	Center for Yunnan Plateau Biological Resources Protection and Utilization, Qujing Normal University, Qujing, Yunnan 655011, China	nalinjijayawardene@yahoo.com	Dothideomycetes

Nelson Menolli Jr.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia de São Paulo (IFSP), Câmpus São Paulo, Rua Pedro Vicente 625, Canindé, São Paulo, SP, 01109-010, Brazil 2. Instituto de Botânica, Núcleo de Pesquisa em Micologia, Av. Miguél Stefano 3687, Água Funda, São Paulo, SP, 04301-012, Brazil 	menollijr@yahoo.com.br	Agaricomycetes
Nicole K. Reynolds	Department of Plant Pathology, University of Florida	nicolereynolds1@ufl.edu	Zoopagomyceta
Rein Drenkhan	Institute of Forestry and Rural Engineering, Estonian University of Life Sciences, Fr. R. Kreutzwaldi 5, 51006 Tartu, Estonia	rein.drenkhan@emu.ee	Ophiostomatales
Sajeewa S.N. Maharachchikumbura	School of Life Science and Technology, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, 611731, People's Republic of China	sajeewa83@yahoo.com	Sordariomycetes

Tatiana B. Gibertoni	Departamento de Micologia, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Avenida da Engenharia, S/N - Cidade Universitária, 50740-600 Recife, PE, Brazil	tbgibertoni@hotmail.com tatiana.gibertoni@ufpe.br	Agaricomycetes
Thomas Læssøe	Department of Biology & Globe Institute, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 15, 2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark	thomasl@bio.ku.dk	Xylariales, "agarics", "cyphelloids"
William Davis	Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education, ARS Research Participation Program, Oak Ridge, TN 37830.	william.j.davis.mycologist@gmail.com	Chytridiomyceta
Yuri Tokarev	All-Russian Institute of Plant Protection, Podbelskogo 3 Pushkin, St Petersburg 196608, Russia	ytokarev@vizr.spb.ru	Rozellomycota

IV. Sequence data annotators - please fill in

NAME (as you wish to be in the author line)	Affiliation(s) PLEASE FILL IN (if you agree)	Preferred Email	Provided expertise
---	--	-----------------	--------------------

	to be author)		
--	---------------	--	--

Adriana Corrales	Department of Biology, Universidad del Rosario, Carrera 24 # 63C-69, Bogota D.C., 111221, Colombia	adricorrales33@gmail.com	Ectomycorrhizal fungi
Adriene Mayra Soares	Ciências Biológicas Universidade Federal Rural da Amazônia, Tomé-Açu Rodovia PA-451, Km 03 68.680-000 Bairro Açaizal 68.680-000, Brazil	adriene_soares@yahoo.com.br	Poroid Agaricomycetes
Ahto Agan	1	ahtoagan@hotmail.com	Mostly endophytes and plant pathogens
Andres Argüelles-Moyao	Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico	evoandres@gmail.com	Ectomycorrhizal fungi
Andrew Detherige	Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences, Aberystwyth University, Wales, UK	apd06@aber.ac.uk	
Angelina de Meiras-Otoni	Departamento de Micologia, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, 50740-600, Recife, PE, Brazil	angel.m.ottoni@gmail.com	"Clavarioid basidiomycetes"

Alexandre Reis Machado	Departamento de Micologia, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, 50740-600, Recife, PE, Brazil	alexandre.machado@ufpe.br	Ascomycota; Plant pathogens
Annemieke Verbeken	Department of Biology, Ghent University, Belgium	Mieke.Verbeken@ugent.be	Russulales
Baokai Cui	Institute of Microbiology, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China	cuibaokai@yahoo.com	
César Marín	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Institute of Agri-food, Animal and Environmental Sciences (ICA3), Universidad de O'Higgins, 3070000 San Fernando, Chile. 2. Center of Applied Ecology and Sustainability (CAPES), Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, 8320000 Santiago, Chile. 	cesar.marin@postgrado.uach.cl	Mostly Agaricales, Agaricomycetes, and env. samples
Daniel Stanton	Department of Ecology, Evolution and Behavior, University of Minnesota-Twin Cities, Saint Paul 55108 Minnesota, USA	stan0477@umn.edu	Lichenized Ascomycota

Daniyal Gohar	1	daniyalgohar36@gmail.com	Mostly Ascomycota associated with Plants
Dhanushka N. Wanasinghe		Key Laboratory for Plant Biodiversity and Biogeography of East Asia (KLPB), Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650201, Yunnan, China dnadeeshan@gmail.com	Dothideomycetes
Eveli Otsing	1	eveli.otsing@ut.ee	Tuber
Farzad Aslani	1	aslani.farzad.1362@gmail.com	
Gareth W. Griffith		Aberystwyth University, Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences gwg@aber.ac.uk	Basidiomycota, Ascomycota, Neocallimastigomycota
Hans-Peter Grossart		1) Department of Experimental Limnology, Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Alte Fischerhuettenstr. 2, D-16775 Stechlin, Germany 2) Institute of Biochemistry and Biology, Potsdam University, Maulbeerallee 2, D-14469 Potsdam, Germany hgrossart@igb-berlin.de	Aquatic Fungi Ascomycota, Chytridiomycota, Oomycetes
Hossein Masigol		Department of Plant Protection, hossein.masigol@gmail.com	Aquatic Fungi Ascomycota,

	University of Guilan, Rasht, Iran		Chytridiomycot a, Oomycetes
Keilor Rojas-Jimenez	Escuela de Biología, Universidad de Costa Rica, 11501 San Jose, Costa Rica	keilor.rojas@gmail.com	Aquatic Fungi Ascomycota, Chytridiomycot a, Oomycetes
Ina Timling	Institute of Arctic Biology, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, 311 Irving I Building, PO Box 757000, 2140 Koyukuk Drive, Fairbanks, AK 99775-7000, USA	itimling@alaska.edu	Arctic and Antarctic fungi
Inga Hiiesalu	1	inga.hiiesalu@ut.ee	AM fungi
María Isabel Mujica	Departamento de Ecología, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. Alameda 340, Santiago, Chile.	imujica@bio.puc.cl	Orchid mycorrhizal fungi
Jane Oja	1	jane.oja@gmail.com	
József Geml	MTA-EKE Lendület Environmental Microbiome Research Group, Eszterházy Károly University, Hungary	jozsef.geml@gmail.com	Agaricomycotin a, soil and plant microbiome
Julieta Alvarez Manjarrez	Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico	julieta.micorriza@gmail.com	ectomycorrhizal fungi
Kadri Pärtel	1	kadri.partel@ut.ee	
Kai Ilves	1	ilveskai1@gmail.com	Oomycetes, Labyrinthulomy cetes, Ascomycota

Kaire Loit	Institute of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Estonian University of Life Sciences, Tartu, Estonia	Kaire.Loit@emu.ee	Mostly plant pathogens in agriculture
Kalev Adamson	Institute of Forestry and Rural Engineering, Estonian University of Life Sciences, Fr. R. Kreutzwaldi 5, 51006 Tartu, Estonia	kalev.adamson@emu.ee	
Kazuhide Nara	Department of Natural Environmental Studies, University of Tokyo, 5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-0882, Japan	nara@k.u-tokyo.ac.jp	
Kati Kõngas	1	katikyngas@hotmail.com	Mostly endophytes
Kriss Bitenieks	Genetic Resource Centre, Latvian State Forest Research Institute "Silava", 111 Rigas str., Salaspils, LV-2169, Latvia	kriss.bitenieks@gmail.com	
Laszlo Irinyi	1. Sydney Medical School and Westmead Clinical School and Westmead Institute for Medical Research, University of Sydney,	laszlo.irinyi@sydney.edu.a u	Human Pathogenic Fungi

	Sydney, NSW, Australia 2. Westmead Hospital, Sydney, NSW, Australia		
Laszlo Nagy	Biological Research Center Szeged, Szeged, Hungary	lnagy@fungenomelab.com	Agaricomycotina
Liina Soonvald	Estonian University of Life Sciences, Institute of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences	liinasoonvald@gmail.com	Plant pathogens in agriculture
Li-Wei Zhou	State Key Laboratory of Mycology, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China	liwei_zhou1982@im.ac.cn	Agaricomycetes
Torda Varga	Biological Research Center Szeged.	<a href="mailto:Torda Varga <varga.torda@gmail.com>">Torda Varga <varga.torda@gmail.com>	Various groups
Lysett Wagner	National Reference Center for Invasive Fungal Infections (NRZMyk), Leibniz Institute for Natural Product Research and Infection Biology – Hans Knöll Institute (HKI), Jena, Germany	lysett.wagner@leibniz-hki.de	Mucorales, Mortierellomycotina
M.C. Aime	Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA	maime@purdue.edu	Basidio yeasts
Maarja Öpik	1	maarja.opik@ut.ee	AM fungi

Martin Metsoja	1	martin.metsoja@gmail.com	
Martin Ryberg	Department of Organismal Biology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden	martin.ryberg@ebc.uu.se	Inocybe
Martti Vasar	1	wasar26@hotmail.com	Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
Masao Murata	Department of Natural Environmental Studies, The University of Tokyo 5-1-5 Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-0882, Japan	murata0209@gmail.com	
Matthew P. Nelsen	Science and Education, The Field Museum of Natural History, 1400 S. Lake Shore Dr., Chicago, IL 60605, USA	mnelsen@fieldmuseum.org	Lichenized Ascomycota
Michelle Cleary	Southern Swedish Forest Research Centre, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU), Alnarp, Sweden	michelle.cleary@slu.se	Plant pathogens in forests; Ascomycota, Basidiomycota
Milan C. Samarakoon	Center of Excellence in Fungal Research, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai 57100, Thailand	samare.ag.rjt@gmail.com	Xylariomycetidae
Mingwan Doilom	CAS Key Laboratory for Plant Biodiversity and Biogeography of East Asia (KLPB), Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese	j_hammochi@hotmail.com	Plant pathogenic, saprobic and endophytic fungi in Ascomycota

	Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650201, Yunnan, China		
Mohammad Bahram	Department of Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden	bahram@ut.ee	
Peter Johnston	Manaaki Whenua - Landcare Research, Private Bag 92170, Auckland 1142, New Zealand	JohnstonP@landcareresearch.co.nz	All groups; studies based on specimens from New Zealand
Petr Kohout	Institute of Microbiology of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Videnska 1083, 14220 Praha 4, Czech Republic	petr.kohout@natur.cuni.cz	ErM
Qian Chen	State Key Laboratory of Mycology, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China	chenq@im.ac.cn	Plant pathogenic fungi in Ascomycetes
Rasekh Amiri	1	rasekhamiri68@gmail.com	
Renata dos Santos Chikowski	Departamento de Micologia, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, 50740-600, Recife, PE, Brazil	renatachikowski@hotmail.com	Corticoid Agaricomycetes
Renato L. Mendes-Alvarenga	Departamento de Micologia, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, 50740-600, Recife, PE, Brazil	renatolma@gmail.com	Heterobasidiomycetes; Auriculariales, Tremellales and Dacrymycetes

Rekhani Hansika Perera	Center of Excellence in Fungal Research, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai 57100, Thailand	hansi.rekha2@gmail.com	Sordariomycetes
Roberto Garibay Orijel	Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México	rgaribay@ib.unam.mx	Ectomycorrhizal Basidiomycetes and Ascomycetes
Robin Gielen	1	robin.gielen@ut.ee	Entomopathogenic fungi
Rungtiwa Phookamsak	CAS Key Laboratory for Plant Biodiversity and Biogeography of East Asia (KLPB), Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650201, Yunnan, China	jomjam.rp2@gmail.com	Dothideomycetes
Ruvishika S. Jayawardena	Center of excellence in Fungal Research, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai, Thailand	ruvi.jaya@yahoo.com	Plant pathogens
Saleh Rahimlou	1	saleh.rahimlou@ut.ee	
Saowaluck Tibpromma	CAS Key Laboratory for Plant Biodiversity and Biogeography of East Asia (KLPB), Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650201, Yunnan, China	saowaluckfai@gmail.com	Endophytic fungi, Microfungi on Pandanaceae, Dothideomycetes, Cave fungi
Shawn P. Brown	Department of Biological Sciences, University of	spbrown2@memphis.edu	Endophytes

	Memphis, Memphis, TN, USA		
Siim-Kaarel Sepp	1	siim-kaarel.sepp@ut.ee	Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
Sunil Mundra	1. Department of Biology, College of Science, United Arab Emirates University, Al-Ain, Abu-Dhabi, UAE 2. Section for Genetics and Evolutionary Biology (EvoGene), Department of Biosciences, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1066 Blindern, NO-0316 Oslo, Norway	sunil.mundra@ibv.uio.no	Ectomycorrhiza I fungi
Zhu-Hua Luo	Key Laboratory of Marine Biogenetic Resources, Third Institute of Oceanography, Ministry of Natural Resources, 184 Daxue Road, Xiamen 361005, China	luozhuhua@tio.org.cn	
Tanay Bose	Forestry Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), Department of Biochemistry, Genetics and Microbiology, University of Pretoria, South Africa.	tanaykumarbose@gmail.com	
Tanel Vahter	1	tanel.vahter@ut.ee	AM fungi
Tarquin Netherway	Department of	tarquin.netherway@slu.se	

	Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden		
Teng Yang	State Key Laboratory of Soil and Sustainable Agriculture, Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, East Beijing Road 71, Nanjing 210008, China	tyang@issas.ac.cn	
H. Thorsten Lumbsch	Science and Education and The Grainger Bioinformatics Center, The Field Museum, 1400 S. Lake Shore Dr., Chicago, IL 60605, USA	tlumbsch@fieldmuseum.org	Lichenized Ascomycota
Qing Tian	Center of Excellence in Fungal Research, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai 57100, Thailand	tianqing124@gmail.com	
Tom May	Royal Botanic Gardens Victoria, Melbourne, Victoria 3004, Australia	Tom.May@rbg.vic.gov.au	
Victor Rafael Matos Coimbra		vick_mat_coimbra@yahoo.com.br	Agaricomycetes
Vitor Xavier de Lima	Departamento de Micologia, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, 50740-600, Recife, PE, Brazil	vitoxlima@gmail.com	Poroid Agaricomycetes
Virton Rodrigo Targino	Departamento de	virtonrodrigo@gmail.com	Poroid

de Oliveira	Micologia, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, 50740-600, Recife, PE, Brazil		Agaricomycete s
Yongzhong Lu	School of Food and Pharmaceutical Engineering, Guizhou Institute of Technology, Guiyang 550003, China	yzlu86@gmail.com	
Yosuke Matsuda	Graduate School of Bioresources, Mie University, Mie, Japan	m-yosuke@bio.mie-u.ac.jp	Ectomycorrhiza l fungi
Yumiko Miyamoto	Arctic Research Center, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan	miyamoto_y@arc.ho kudai.ac.jp	Ectomycorrhiza l fungi
Wei Li	Ocean University of China, College of Marine Life Sciences, Qingdao, China, 266003	liwei01@ouc.edu.cn	Marine fungal diversity and ecology

Introduction

Fungi are one of the most diverse groups of organisms on Earth both in terms of taxonomic richness and functional diversity (McLaughlin & Spatafora 2014; Hawksworth & Lücking 2017). Certain guilds of fungi deliver essential ecosystem functions or colonize habitats too harsh for most other organisms (Mueller et al. 2005; Peay et al. 2016). In particular, lichenized fungi associate with algae or cyanobacteria for energy sources to enable colonisation of inhospitable dry, saline, cold or hot habitats such as nutrient-poor polar and desert soils. Fungal saprotrophs are the most efficient decomposers of dead plant material in soil, water, and sediments (McLaughlin & Spatafora 2014; Grossart et al. 2019). Mycorrhizal fungi promote plant health and nutrition by providing water and nutrients from soil and protection against pathogens, herbivores, and several abiotic stresses (Smith & Read 2008). Besides these unique functions, certain fungi, along fungus-like stramenopile groups (Oomycota, Hyphochytriomycota and Labyrinthulida = Labyrinthulomycota), may inhabit plant tissues as endophytes (asymptomatic, commensal or weakly mutualistic inhabitants) and pathogens. Fungal and oomycete pathogens are among the most harmful pests in forestry and agriculture (Hyde et al. 2018; Lucas 2020), whereas oomycetes, labyrinthulids and unicellular fungi of the Aphelidiomycota, Chytridiomycota and Rozellomycota may be the most common parasites of microfauna, protozoans and algae in aquatic habitats (Archibald et al. 2017; Grossart et al. 2019). Fungi are also important agents of disease in humans and other animals – especially in immunocompromised patients (Brown et al. 2012; de Hoog 2018; Hyde et al. 2018). Although bacteria and viruses are relatively more important parasites of animals (Ryan et al. 2019), chytridiomycosis and geomycosis are among the most devastating diseases in amphibians and bats, respectively (Fisher et al. 2012). Because of their capacity to produce antibiotics, toxins and various secondary metabolites, fungi have incredible biotechnological potential, including biocontrol of plant diseases, pests and weeds and stimulation of plant growth (Pavlova et al., 2018; Hyde et al. 2019; Levchenko et al., 2020; Meyer et al. 2020).

Due to the mostly cryptic lifestyles of fungi, molecular methods - especially DNA sequence analyses - have been increasingly used for fungal identification. In the last decade, Sanger sequencing of amplicons from a single organism has been supplemented by high-throughput sequencing (HTS) methods that enable sequencing of millions of DNA molecules from multiple samples in parallel. This has resulted in unprecedented insights into fungal diversity and taxonomic composition in all types of complex environments, including soil, water, living plant tissues and dust (Nilsson et al. 2018; Větrovský et al. 2020). Curated sequence databases such as UNITE (Nilsson et al. 2019) and ISHAM (Irinyi et al. 2015) have greatly improved our ability to classify fungal operational taxonomic units (OTUs) into species, genera, or higher-level taxa. These OTUs can be compared across samples, studies, and time using the Species Hypothesis (SH) approach, in which species-level proxies are linked to digital object identifiers (DOIs; Kõljalg et al. 2013, 2016).

Thus far we have limited knowledge about functional properties of most fungi and our insights into their ecology and functions are mainly derived from observational (correlative) field studies. Although most fungi are not easily culturable (Hawksworth 1991), detailed experimental studies

are needed to obtain deeper insight into their functions and autecology. Nonetheless, habitat properties provide initial cues about the potential lifestyle of fungal species, especially when isolated from biotrophic structures such as leaf spots or mycorrhizas. However, the trophic mode of many species can be highly variable, including switches between mutualistic, pathogenic and saprotrophic strategies. For example, pathogenic taxa that cause leaf spots may begin as endophytes, but because of environmental stress they become pathogenic and eventually saprotrophs after the death of plant tissues (Promputtha et al. 2005, 2007). The necrotrophic *Rhizoctonia* species (Ceratobasidiaceae) may be pathogens of some plant species, endophytes in others and then also form orchid mycorrhizal symbiosis with Orchidaceae (Veldre et al. 2013). In contrast, the detection of mycorrhizal fungi in clinical samples like mucosal swabs from patients is suggestive of air-borne propagules or laboratory contamination (Ghannoum et al. 2010). Accordingly, HTS-based taxonomic inventories of fungi provide limited evidence for the functional roles of community members (Nilsson et al. 2018). To overcome these issues, the first panfungal databases linking taxa to lifestyles were published several years ago (Tedersoo et al. 2014; Nguyen et al. 2016), although other, more specific traits databases were already available for, e.g., ectomycorrhizal functional traits (DEEMY; Rambold & Agerer 1997), morphological and chemical traits of lichens (LIAS; Triebel et al. 2007), fruitbody C and N isotope content (Mayor et al. 2009) and fungal sterols (Weete et al. 2010).

It is often recognized by fungal biologists that most functional traits of fungi are conserved at the genus-level and sometimes higher taxonomic ranks. Therefore, accurate species- or genus-level identification may be used to infer functional traits of taxa (Tedersoo et al. 2014; Nguyen et al. 2016; Zanne et al. 2020). Fungal guilds and taxonomic groups may differ substantially in patterns of biogeography, community assembly, and host specificity (Tedersoo et al. 2014; Davison et al. 2015; Tisthammer et al. 2016; Pölme et al. 2018). It is also important to separate fungal taxa into guilds or other narrow functional groups to enable evaluation of associated ecosystem services (Banerjee et al. 2018; Soonvald et al. 2019; Tedersoo et al. 2020).

At present, four databases are available for inference of functional guilds and trait information for taxa across most fungal phyla. Tedersoo et al. (2014) published a spreadsheet data set of fungal guilds and cell types (yeast vs. dimorphic vs. filamentous) based on genus- and family-level information. These data were supplemented and in many places corrected for errors in the FUNGuild database (Nguyen et al. 2016) with assessments of reliability and an important option to allow taxa to be part of multiple guilds simultaneously. FUNGuild also incorporated R and python scripts for automatic assignment of functional guilds to the taxonomic output of HTS bioinformatics platforms. Independently, Jayasiri et al. (2015) presented the FacesOfFungi database that encompasses descriptions of species and genera of mainly Ascomycota. These descriptions include diagnosis as well as ecological, biochemical and economic characterisation, sometimes supplemented with photographs, drawings, and phylogenies. Based on the FacesOfFungi, Guerreiro et al. (2018) developed the ascomycete-specific database 'Notes on genera: Ascomycota' including habitats, substrates, gross biotic interactions and trophic modes. Most recently, Zanne et al. (2020) introduced the Fun^{Fun} database that encompasses much of the data in FUNGuild, supplemented with information about cellular, ecological, and biochemical traits at the species and genus levels. Fun^{Fun} was designed to

harbor as many biochemical, genetic, and morphological traits as possible. Similarly to FUNGuild, Fun^{Fun} has a script for assignment of functions to taxa.

Here we present the fungal traits and characters database FungalTraits, a stand-alone spreadsheet data set, to serve as a resource that provides general ecological information and functional assignment for environmental studies. The framework of FungalTraits was designed during the North European Forest Mycologist (NEFOM) network meeting in Riga, 27-28 November 2014, and elaborated further in subsequent, broader meetings. The main objective was to bridge DNA sequences to the family- and genus-based traits data set and specimen-related metadata, which have been enabled by recent developments in biodiversity informatics. Supported by a broad international research community, FungalTraits intends to provide comprehensive information about a constrained number of ecologically relevant traits for as many taxa as possible to facilitate trait-based comparative phylogenetics as well as comprehensive analyses in community ecology and macroecology. FungalTraits provides a complementary alternative to existing trait databases, and it seeks to exchange data with other traits databases to provide a rich platforms in which to advance fungal biology.

Materials and Methods

Annotations of genera

Starting in September 2012, we systematically compiled ecological information for fungal genera. Parts of this information related to taxa with a sequenced ITS region were published in the reference data set of Tedersoo et al. (2014) and further in FUNGuild. As of 10 January 2019, we compiled complete lists of all genera of Fungi and fungus-like stramenopiles (the phyla Oomycota, Hyphochytriomycota, and Labyrinthulida) from multiple, largely overlapping sources: Index Fungorum (www.indexfungorum.org), NCBI (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), Mycobank (www.mycobank.org), and the Outline of Fungi beta version (final version in Wijayawardene et al. 2020). We also included numerous synonyms and unused names, because many of these may be revived in forthcoming taxonomic treatments, or applied when sequencing existing collections. Furthermore, there was a substantial conflict among these sources regarding the validity and synonymy of taxon names. Many researchers do not use the accepted names and continue to use taxon names synonymized in some of the data sources but not in others (e.g., *Rhizoctonia* instead of *Ceratobasidium* and *Thanatephorus*, which may have a more specific meaning; Oberwinkler et al. 2013).

In total, we retrieved 10,210 fungal genera and 151 stramenopile genera accepted in at least one of the four sources. For the higher-level taxonomy of Fungi, we followed the Outline of Fungi, which represents a consensus of NCBI, Index Fungorum and Tedersoo et al. (2018a), and updated this with recently described taxa or new phylogenetic information.

Table 1. Data fields and their properties in genus-level annotation of traits and characters. Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of character states.

Data field	Category	Field type	Importance
primary_lifestyle	selection (30)	guild	primary
secondary_lifestyle	selection (30)/text	guild	secondary
comment_on_lifestyle	text	guild	secondary
plant_pathogenic_capacity	selection (8)	guild	primary
endophytic_interaction_capacity	selection (7)	guild	primary
animal_biotrophic_capacity	selection (19)	guild	primary
decay_substrate	selection (16)	guild	primary
decay_type	selection (8)	guild	secondary
growth_form	selection (15)	body	primary
fruitbody_type	selection (23)	body	primary
hymenium_type	selection (7)	body	secondary
aquatic_habitat	selection (7)	habitat	primary
specific_hosts	text	habitat	secondary
ectomycorrhiza_lineage	selection (87)	specific: ectomycorrhiza	secondary

ectomycorrhiza_exploration_type	selection (7)	specific: ectomycorrhiza	secondary
lichen_primary_photobiont	text	specific: Lichen	secondary
lichen_secondary_photobiont	text	specific: Lichen	secondary

Guild and trait names adhered to BIOM standards, including those proposed in our previous work (Tedersoo et al. 2014; Nguyen et al. 2016; Zanne et al. 2020), with major modifications made to trait arrangement and character (trait) state names (Table 1; [Supplementary item 1](#)). To avoid excessive lists of character states and minimize uncertainty in fungal guild assignments, we separated the guild information into separate fields including 'primary_lifestyle', 'secondary_lifestyle', 'plant_pathogenic_capacity', 'endophytic_interaction_capacity', 'animal_biotrophic_capacity', and 'decay_substrate'. The most commonly occurring lifestyle is given in the 'primary_lifestyle' (30 character states). One or more additional lifestyles, if relevant, are given in the 'secondary_lifestyle' field. An additional 'comment_on_lifestyle' field also allows specification of the additional lifestyle, which occurs in only one or a few species, sometimes referring to a particular species. For fully or partly (facultatively) saprotrophic taxa, we generated the fields 'decay_substrate' and 'decay_type', the latter indicating classical decay categories e.g. white rot. However, we anticipate that in nature there is a continuum in the decomposition strategies and large differences within decay types (Riley et al. 2014; Floudas et al. 2020). The 'plant_pathogenic_capacity' field indicates whether plant pathogens occur in this group and which plant groups (e.g. tracheophyta, algae, mosses, and liverworts) or organs (leaves, fruits, seeds, roots) are infected. The 'endophytic_interaction_capacity' indicates whether members of the genus are able to grow as endophytes, classifying these following Rodriguez et al. (2009). The field 'animal_biotrophic_capacity' enables selection amongst a variety of mutualistic and antagonistic interactions with animals, with further specifications of animal groups (arthropod, coral, fish, invertebrate, vertebrate, termite, and human) and opportunistic interactions with humans.

In contrast to other functional databases, we also introduced the trait 'aquatic_habitat'. This allows the categorization of fungi as marine, freshwater, more broadly aquatic, or partly water-inhabiting. We find this field of high importance for aquatic studies, as it may be necessary to distinguish accidental spores of terrestrial fungi from taxa that grow naturally in- or on substrates in water (Grossart et al. 2019). We anticipate that such categorization is subjective for the time being, because we know very little about different life stages of many microfungal genera.

We also introduced the traits 'fruitbody_type' and 'hymenium_type'. The 'fruitbody_type' covers virtually all classically distinguished types of sexual reproductive structures in Ascomycota,

Basidiomycota, and early diverging lineages, and indicates the cases where none are produced. 'Hymenium_type' indicates the morphology of hymenium, where the sexual propagules are located relative to the rest of the fruitbody, if relevant. Traditionally, multiple taxonomists and fungal ecologists delimit their research subject by fruitbody type (e.g. polypores, corticioids, agarics, truffles, disco-fungi), although fruitbody and hymenium types are typically not entirely related to other functions. We greatly extended the field 'growth_form' that enables 15 character states covering amoeboid, filamentous, thalloid, and various unicellular forms relevant to fungi and stramenopiles.

We specifically broadened trait information for EcM fungi and lichens. For EcM fungi, we introduced the evolutionary character 'ectomycorrhiza_lineage' *sensu* Tedersoo & Smith (2017) and 'ectomycorrhiza_exploration_type' following Tedersoo & Smith (2013). For exploration types, we used updated information from more recently included or described genera. We furthermore split the short-distance type to short-distance delicate and short-distance coarse, based on the characteristics of emanating hyphae (<1-5 µm diam., thin-walled and cylindrical vs. 3-10 µm diam., thick-walled and plump, respectively). Hyphal morphology may indicate the capacity to forage in the immediate vicinity of root tips and preliminary analyses indicate that these two types respond differently to environmental variables and disturbance (Tedersoo et al. 2020). For lichens, we included specific information on the primary and secondary photobiont (as primary_photobiont and secondary_photobiont) obtained from the literature. For non-lichenized taxa, we included the field 'specific_hosts' to accommodate information on known exclusive associations with certain taxa (genus- to order-level taxa in Latin, higher taxa in English).

As a starting point, we incorporated pre-existing traits information in Tedersoo et al. (2014) and last versions of FUNGuild (accessed 08.10.2018) and FunFun (GitHub data set; Flores-Moreno et al. 2019; accessed 09.12.2019) into FungalTraits. This information was manually parsed into relevant traits fields and reformatted according to our standards to generate a partly filled template. The coauthors with expertise in taxonomy and fungal ecology were guided to revise the existing information and fill the traits fields with pre-selected character states and add comments to the template whenever relevant. The character states were initially determined by the core group of developers, but several character states were added upon consultation with experts and during data search and insertion. As opinions varied, the terminology represents a compromise among experts. Experts annotated taxa based on their long-term experience with the particular taxonomic groups, scientific literature (e.g., Kurtzman et al. 2011; de Hoog 2019) and specific databases, including LIAS (online version; Rambold et al. 2016), Marine Fungi (Jones et al. 2019) and 'Notes on genera: Ascomycota'. Lists of annotated genera were compared and merged by curators. Nearly half of the genera were covered by two experts. In the rare cases of conflicting annotations, a third opinion was sought. Initially, roughly one-quarter of the genera were covered by no experts (some declined immediately or failed to provide information for various reasons; for several outlying groups no experts were located). Genera belonging to these groups (e.g. Saccharomycotina, Taphrinomycotina, several orders of Sordariomycetes and Leotiomycetes and many small groups) were later annotated by a mycologist with no specific expertise, based on a thorough literature survey, using searches in Google and Google Scholar, the databases FacesOfFungi and Freshwater Ascomycetes

Database (Shearer & Raja 2010) as well as comprehensive books (Kurtzman et al. 2011; Pöggeler & Wöstemeyer 2011; McLaughlin & Spatafora 2014; Archibald et al. 2017). These and more specific literature sources were not included as citations to speed up the process and avoid dealing with thousands of original references.

Annotation of ITS sequences

To provide trait information to Species Hypotheses, we selected an approach to perform bulk annotation of sequences (including sequenced individuals) from the International Nucleotide Sequence Databases consortium (INSDc) as hosted in the UNITE database (version 7.2). Character states of sequences were merged to individual Species Hypotheses using automated means. Based on the BIOM standards (McDonald et al. 2012), we developed multiple fields for specific traits and character states (Table 2, [Supplementary item 1](#)). The data fields included 'DNA_source', with related fields 'culture_source' and 'animal/human_tissue' for specific cases where sequences were obtained directly from pure cultures or animal samples. We included the optional fields 'guild' and 'growth_form' for cases where this was unequivocally clear. In a similar manner, we generated the fields 'ectomycorrhiza_exploration_type', 'ericoid_mycorrhiza_formation', 'endophytic_interaction_capability', 'plant/fungal_pathogenic_capacity', and 'animal/human_biotrophic_interaction_capacity'. Filling these fields presumed that there was sufficient observational or experimental evidence for this indicated in the original commentary field or in the article. The fields 'interacting_taxon' and 'co-occurring_taxon' respectively depict data obtained from specific intimate partners or from a habitat strongly modified by one or more (comma separated) organisms, for example, tree species for soil-inhabiting fungi. In both fields, the associated taxon was required to be in Latin, at any taxonomic level. Latin taxon names were checked against the Encyclopedia of Life (www.eol.org) and The Plant List (www.theplantlist.org) for validity and correct spelling. Exclusively for cultures and vouchered specimens, respectively, we added relevant information to the original INSDc data field 'INSD.original.data.Strain' or 'INSD.original.data.Specimen.voucher' that were renamed as 'strain' and 'specimen_voucher' (to meet the BIOM standards implemented in UNITE). We also checked whether these strains or specimens represented type material and added these data to the field 'Type status (Identification.Typification)' (renamed as 'type_material') when relevant. For geographic information, we combined the existing INSDc data fields into a more standardized format by erecting the fields 'country' (mandatory; to be selected), 'state/province', 'locality_text', 'latitude', 'longitude', 'altitude', 'depth', and 'biome' (to be selected). In addition, we included a general remarks field to specify, e.g., habitat, taxonomy and host for later separation into specific remarks fields related to each main field.

Table 2. Data fields and their properties for sequence-level annotation. Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of character states.

Data Field	Category	Field type	Level
------------	----------	------------	-------

updated_study	study	text (DOI)	mandatory
DNA_source	source	selection (51)	mandatory
culture_source	source	selection (43)	specific
animal/human_tissue	source	selection (30)	specific
guild	guild	selection (23)	individual
growth_form	trait	selection (10)	individual
ectomycorrhiza_exploration_type	trait	selection (6)	individual
ericoid_mycorrhiza_formation	trait	selection (4)	individual
endophytic_interaction_capacity	trait	selection (6)	individual
plant/fungal_pathogenic_capacity	trait	selection (9)	individual
animal/human_biotrophic_interaction_capacity	trait	selection (19)	individual
interacting_taxon	association	text (Latin)	specific
co-occurring_taxa	association	text (Latin)	specific
Strain	collection	text (code)	individual
Specimen_voucher	collection	text (code)	individual
Type_status	collection	selection (16)	individual
Country	locality	selection (243)	mandatory
Sampling_area_State/Province	locality	text	individual
Locality_text	locality	text	individual

Latitude	locality	text (number)	mandatory
Longitude	locality	text (number)	mandatory
Altitude	locality	text (number)	individual
Depth	locality	text (number)	individual
Biome	locality	selection (50)	individual
Remarks	varia	text	individual

In order to assign and summarize trait states of individual records to SHs, we downloaded all ITS sequences by studies and ranked the studies by the number of sequences included, initially focusing only on those with at least 100 sequences. Based on titles, we omitted studies that addressed plants and animals, but included those that covered all eukaryotes. We also excluded studies that produced only ITS1 or ITS2 sequences using HTS techniques, because these subregions separately offer lower taxonomic resolution compared with full-length sequences (Garnica et al. 2016b; Tedersoo et al. 2018b), and are therefore not used for calculating SHs. In addition, we searched for potentially high-quality data from environmental studies including <100 sequences, using the keywords ‘ectomyc’, ‘arbusc’, ‘ericoid’, ‘orchid’ and ‘mycor’ to find studies on mycorrhizae. Similarly, we used the search terms ‘lichen’, ‘endoph’, ‘pathog’, ‘parasit’, ‘root’, ‘aquatic’, ‘water’, and ‘marine’ to capture studies focusing on other guilds or specific underexplored habitat types. We also searched for taxonomic groups focusing on the genera of mycorrhizal fungi and molds as well as stramenopiles. Finally, we searched by names of coauthors to cover their own studies and to allocate annotation tasks to persons directly involved as much as possible. In total, we sought to annotate sequence data in 3,124 studies and unpublished submissions (4.34 % of all submitted datasets) that jointly comprised 414,270 sequences (39.6% of all current fungal ITS sequences in INSDc).

Based on personal contacts and recommendations from other core group members, we invited experts in molecular ecology and phylogenetics to annotate sequence data from 30-50 INSDc studies per expert, with roughly comparable amounts of sequences. The studies were assigned to experts by considering authorship, taxonomic- or guild-level expertise, and country of origin (in the case of China, India and Iran). The experts received specific instructions for annotations ([Supplementary Item 2](#)) and sequence data sorted by studies, including all previous metadata located in multiple fields in the original INSDc format. The experts located and downloaded the studies assigned to them along with supplementary material when relevant. Guided by

information in these original studies and INSDc original data fields, experts filled in the data template (Supplementary table 3) following the standards. If the study was marked as unpublished, Google Scholar was used to find the DOI and update relevant study details as far as possible. Corresponding authors of studies were instructed to be contacted when mandatory fields could not be filled with information in INSDc or the article. Not surprisingly, contact details of corresponding authors were difficult to find for unpublished studies, especially in the case of authors from China, because of very limited mandatory information for data submission to INSDc. Pointing to personal details, INSDc refused to share contact information of data submitters. In particular, older submissions were hard to track due to digital data decay (Oguz & Koehler 2016).

In addition, curators also annotated data from the remaining studies and submissions. There, we solely focused on the fields 'DNA_source', 'interacting_taxon', 'co-occurring_taxa' and 'country', using the data previously present in INSDc in non-standard format or misallocated data fields (additional 42,772 submissions comprising 283,173 sequences (27.1% of INSDc fungal ITS sequences). These data were re-formatted according to our standards. The remaining sequences were mostly short ITS1 or ITS2 reads representing OTUs of HTS sequencing data. All annotated datasets were quality-checked and merged by a curator.

To annotate information about EcM fungal lineages and genera, we downloaded a more recent version 8.2 of UNITE that was released in January 2020. UNITE compound clusters were searched for ectomycorrhizal fungi based on previous information about lineages and named genera. The respective compound clusters were browsed over the PlutoF workbench (Abarenkov et al. 2010) by checking taxonomy and alignments to locate chimeras and low-quality sequences and to update genus-level taxonomy and information about EcM fungal lineages following Tedersoo et al. (2011). Lineage-level and taxonomic assignments were added in a batch mode using the command line.

To assign functional traits for each SH, we included the trait information obtained via annotation of sequences contained within SHs. Because of multiple gaps in data, complementary and conflicting information, we decided to use a probabilistic approach that is based on the proportion of specific character states relative to all annotated trait states for each trait per SH. In other words, SHs were considered to possess multiple functional trait states according to the share of these states across sequences.

Results

Genus-level annotations

Across all data fields, the data set contains 58,479 units (filled cells) of trait information for fungi. Out of the 10,210 fungal genera covered, we supplemented information about the 'primary_lifestyle' to 8859 (86.8%)([Fig. 1](#)). Other commonly covered data fields included 'growth_form' (85.9%; [Fig. S1](#)) and 'aquatic_habitat' (78.0%; [Fig. S1](#)). The 'secondary_guild' and specification to the primary guild ('comment_on_lifestyle') was given for 2280 (22.3%) and

525 (5.1%) genera, respectively. Of the primary lifestyle, wood saprotrophs (19.2%), plant pathogens (15.2%) and litter saprotrophs (11.1%; [Fig. 1](#)) were the most common in terms of the number of genera. Saprotrophic, plant pathogenic, endophytic and animal biotrophic capacities occurred in 43.6%, 15.2%, 1.2% and 6.2% of the genera, respectively. Lichenized, ectomycorrhizal, and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi were assigned to 10.4%, 3.2% and 0.4% of the genera, respectively. Fruitbody and hymenium types were assigned to 70.7% and 69.7% of the genera, respectively ([Fig. S1](#)). Of the genera with information on growth form, filamentous (67.2%), thalloid (10.4%), and yeast (4.1%) forms prevailed. Non-aquatic genera clearly dominated (67.7%), followed by freshwater (1.9%) and marine (1.5%) taxa. Altogether 7.4% of the genera contained both aquatic and terrestrial species. Specific hosts were assigned to 5.3% of the genera, whereas primary and secondary photobionts were assigned to 9.2% and 0.3% of the genera (88.6% and 2.4% of genera of lichenized fungi as a primary lifestyle), respectively. All 1209 fungal genera with no trait information were described in the early age/days of mycology (i.e., before the 1950s) and had no recent information in internet-searchable publications.

With respect to stramenopiles, 682 units of trait information were provided to 150 out of 151 genera. Primary and secondary lifestyles were provided for 93.4% and 20.5% of genera, respectively. Among fungus-like stramenopiles, plant pathogens (29.8%) and animal pathogens (20.5%) prevailed, followed by various saprotrophs (26.5% taken together), many of which also have pathogenic potential or include one or more pathogenic species. Information about habitat type was provided for 92.7% of genera, with various aquatic habitats combined, terrestrial habitat and partly aquatic habitats taken together characterised 41.7%, 32.5% and 18.5% of genera, respectively ([Fig. S2](#)). We added information about growth forms to 94.0% of genera. The distribution of growth forms was strongly related to family- and higher-level taxonomy, with filamentous (rhizomycelial)(22.5%), alternating biflagellate-rhizomycelial (45.7%) and biflagellate (13.2%) forms dominating across all fungus-like stramenopile phyla.

ITS sequence annotations

Altogether we assessed metadata for 697,413 INSDc ITS sequences and added data to >85% of these (Table 3). Roughly one-third of the information present in the INSDc data set was reformatted according to our standards. Information about lineages and genera of EcM fungi were added to >30,000 sequences, whereas 763 sequences were marked as of low-quality or chimeric.

Table 3. The most commonly annotated characters and traits in fungal and stramenopile sequences by entry numbers.

	Fungi	Stramenopila
Number of sequences	680,882	16,531
DNA isolation source	565,298	13,791

Country	539,837	13,410
Interacting taxa	302,229	8,628
Biome	145,704	6,328
Guild	77,862	5,158

With respect to isolation source, living culture (20.0%), plant-associated (13.5%), soil (12.9%), and fruitbody (10.6%) were the most common sources of DNA. Furthermore, cultures that were subsequently sequenced, were mostly obtained from plant leaf (17.9%) and other plant-associated material (33.9%; [Figure 2a](#)). Of the interacting taxa, *Homo sapiens* (2.5%), *Fagus sylvatica* (0.8%), and *Glycine max* (0.8%) prevailed ([Figure 2b](#)). The three most commonly annotated interacting guilds were ectomycorrhizal (2.9%), plant pathogens (2.1%), and arbuscular mycorrhizal (1.7%; [Figure S3](#)).

The trait information associated with sequences ([Table S2](#)) was integrated into Species Hypotheses ([Table S3](#)). The UNITE version 8.2. contains 310,368 eukaryote SHs distinguished at 1% distance (across 1,799,133 sequences), of which 129,712 SHs (837,572 sequences) represent Fungi and 3,061 SHs (33,566 sequences) are assigned to stramenopiles (including 1,811 SHs and 27,834 sequences of Oomycota). Traits information from the current effort could be assigned to 92,623 (71.4%) of the fungal SHs, respectively. Altogether 139,196 (20.0%) out of the total 697,414 sequences for which trait information was added, were not incorporated into any SH because of insufficient length or quality. The most commonly covered SH features included the country of origin (information available for 95.8% of the SHs), DNA isolation source (92.7%), and interacting taxa (47.2%). Interacting taxa were included as a list of genera and higher-ranking taxa in cases where lower resolution taxonomic data was not available. For example, the top genera *Pinus*, *Homo*, and *Quercus* were associated with 4316, 4409 and 3199 SHs. Conversely, 1546 host genera were associated with a single SH, indicating poor mycological coverage of most plant and animal groups. The largest SH (SH1688425.08; [Fig. 3](#)) corresponding to *Alternaria eichhorniae* includes 8,326 sequences, with interacting taxa (68.8% of sequences annotated with such information) belonging to 492 plant genera (including 641 species) and 24 higher-level taxonomic ranks with no genus-level information. This SH was recorded from 88 countries across all continents.

Annotated sequences were assigned to 992 stramenopile SHs at 1% dissimilarity threshold. Of stramenopiles, Oomycota were relatively better annotated (52.2%) than other groups taken together. The three most commonly covered stramenopile characters included DNA isolation source (99.1% of SHs), country of origin (96.0%) and interacting taxa (73.0%). The largest stramenopile SH (SH1791095.08FU) record corresponded to *Phytophthora infestans* that included 748 sequences, associating with five host genera.

Implementation

The genus-level and SH-level annotations represent stand-alone data sets that are available as [Tables S1](#) and [S3](#). The current version and future versions can also be downloaded from the

UNITE [Resources page homepage](https://unite.ut.ee/repository.php) (<https://unite.ut.ee/repository.php>). We intend to release a new FungalTraits version when UNITE SHs are updated. The original annotations of genera and sequences remain attached to the genus names and sequence accession numbers, respectively. In new versions, the proportions of trait states will be re-calculated. For genus names, we do not consider any automatic procedure when these are synonymized or split into new genera. We intend to consider annotations to newly described genera when major changes in taxonomy occur or within at least five years.

Assignment of guild and trait information from FungalTraits to custom ecological or phylogenetic datasets can be accomplished in several ways. Both genus-level and SH-level traits are available in a ready-to-use comma-separated value (.csv) text format. The *vlookup* function in MS Excel and similar functions in other spreadsheet programs enable rapid assignment of functional trait states to genus names and SH codes in the taxonomic identification tables and OTU tables produced as an output in nearly all HTS bioinformatics workflows. An example of using the *vlookup* function is given in [Table S4](#).

To test the performance of FungalTraits, we used a global data set of 50,589 OTUs at 3% dissimilarity threshold (21,468 OTUs determined at the genus level; Tedersoo et al. 2014). Setting up the *vlookup* function for all fields and obtaining results took 9 minutes on a desktop [computer PC](#). The same dataset took a roughly comparable amount of processing time for FUNGuild using the python script ([Table S5](#)).

Discussion

One of the main criticisms of HTS-based metabarcoding studies is that only diversity is assessed without addressing functional differences among taxa (Hongsanan et al. 2018; Nilsson et al. 2018; Zanne et al. 2020). We have therefore developed a new combined approach for genus- and SH-level trait annotation to promote functional information assignment to fungi and fungus-like stramenopiles in ecological and evolutionary research. Based on literature and taxonomic expertise, nearly all actively used fungal genera [names](#) were functionally annotated to some extent, which doubles previous efforts in taxonomic breadth and increases the number of data points by an order of magnitude. Similarly, the standardized metadata added to sequences exceeds our previous effort a decade ago (Tedersoo et al. 2011) by an order of magnitude. To our knowledge, the process of calculating proportional traits based on individual sequences and sequenced individuals in species-level taxa (SHs) is entirely novel. This information complements the genus-level annotations of taxa with contrasting lifestyles or interacting taxa. Furthermore, SH-based trait annotation greatly adds to information about geographic distribution and ecology for fungal taxa that cannot be reliably assigned to any known genus or higher ranking taxon.

Although the ecological traits of fungi are typically conserved at the genus level and sometimes at higher taxonomic levels (Zanne et al. 2020), there are multiple occasions where the same species has diverse functions or members of the same genus display different trophic strategies

and fall into different functional guilds (Selosse et al. 2018). Besides the primary guild, which is expected to be the most characteristic to particular genera, we, therefore, generated extra fields for these secondary functions (including specification for particular species) and capacities to perform certain biotrophic functions such as the ability to perform as plant pathogens, endophytes, saprotrophs, or animal biotrophs including opportunistic parasitism in animals and humans. These fields enable researchers focused on specific objectives to find answers relevant to their questions more efficiently. Considering the needs of fungal and plant ecologists, we also added information about specific interacting taxa, reproductive structures, fruitbody form, and the capacity to inhabit aquatic environments. A majority of these trait fields are not covered in other fungal traits databases such as FacesOfFungi, FUNGuild and Fun^{Fun}. Because our objective was to focus on a relatively small number of ecological traits with comprehensive taxonomic coverage, other databases may be better suitable for finding alternative or more specific information. For example, we recommend researchers to visit the FacesOfFungi and Marine Fungi databases for more species-level information about morphological characters and habitat. FUNGuild has the associated assignment probability field and comprehensive remarks about taxa with multiple lifestyles. FunFun gives an overview of most fungal traits recorded so far and it provides complementary information about a number of morphological (spores), biochemical (enzymes), geographic (known distribution) and genome-encoded (presence of certain metabolism-related genes) traits not covered by the first version of FungalTraits. FunFun and FUNGuild databases ~~can be implemented~~ ~~is designed to work~~ in the R environment and ~~can it can be~~ ~~also be~~ used as ~~a~~ stand-alone databases to perform quick searches.

Because of the simple .csv ~~texts~~ spreadsheet format, FungalTraits can be used without skills in R or python environments ~~(although it certainly could be used in these environments)~~, and it requires no data set formatting prior to analyses. By selecting relevant data fields for the output, FungalTraits enables a custom choice of trait fields ~~and it requires no skills in the use of R or python environments~~. The ~~texts~~ spreadsheet format is also helpful for rapid manual searches to check available information for selected taxa for any educational purpose. The functional assignment algorithms of all three databases are fully reproducible.

All taxonomic and trait assignment tools require final decision-making by users, considering the intended taxonomic resolution, relevant functional groups, and sources. First, users should consider a suitable clustering approach and sequence similarity threshold for distinguishing OTUs or SHs and genera (Lindahl et al. 2013; Nilsson et al. 2018). Depending on molecular markers and taxonomic groups, critical sequence dissimilarity thresholds for species and genus levels may vary (e.g. Garnica et al. 2016). The same applies to a selection of a proper SH level (Kõljalg et al. 2013). It is also important to bear in mind that taxonomic and functional assignments should be conducted at appropriate taxonomic levels - as a rule of thumb, the sequence differences of the obtained OTUs to reference SHs should not exceed the clustering threshold.

For functional annotation of organisms, species-level assignments are certainly the most precise, but there are several technical obstacles for generating species-level functional databases. First, there is a huge number of described species, the amount of which can only be

handled by thousands of experts (Hawksworth & Lücking 2017). Second, DNA sequence data suggest that a large proportion of morphological species are actually represented by several or even hundreds of molecular species that may conform better to the biological species criterion (Taylor et al. 2006; Lücking et al. 2014). Therefore, multiple SHs commonly represent the same morphological species. Typically, valid taxon names cannot be ascribed to a single SH, because the type specimen is not sequenced or information about this is missing. Alongside with previous efforts (Nilsson et al. 2014; Schoch et al. 2014), we annotated type status to sequences representing type material, to be able to integrate traits information and other metadata with valid species names. In the future versions of FungalTraits, we intend to merge the taxonomy-based and sequence-based approaches by operating more on a species/SH level and focus on species that have distinct traits compared with those characteristic of the rest of the genus.

To conclude, fungal traits data are increasingly used by ecologists, as judged from the number of citations to pioneer studies. Therefore, we propose FungalTraits - a global research community-supported, easy-to-use functional traits database that covers multiple newly compiled traits and a large proportion of fungal and stramenopile taxa as well as their published sequences incorporated in Species Hypotheses. The straightforward spreadsheet [text](#) format of the data provides easy [integration into analysis platforms and facilitates](#) data exchange options with other databases. In the future, we intend to establish the connection between SHs and species, so that it is possible to integrate traits derived from molecular identification and metadata with those derived from microscopic and -omics studies of specimens. Experts and users who wish to update or revise species- and genus-level traits and character states are guided to the online editable spreadsheet document at [URL](#).

Acknowledgments

The initiative received no specific financial support. We thank Dr. Mario Saare for the help with the R software.

References

- Abarenkov K, Tedersoo L, Nilsson RH, Vellak K, Saar I, Veldre V, Parmasto E, Proulx M, Aan A, Ots M, Kurina O, Ostonen I, Jõgeva J, Halapuu S, Põldmaa K, Toots M, Truu J, Larsson K-H, Kõljalg U (2010) PlutoF – a web based workbench for ecological and taxonomic research with an online implementation for fungal ITS sequences. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics* 6: 189-196.
- Archibald JM, Archibald GB, Slamovits CH (eds.) (2017) *Handbook of the Protists*. Springer, Cham.
- Banerjee S, Schlaeppi K, van der Heijden MG. 2018. Keystone taxa as drivers of microbiome structure and functioning. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 16:567.
- Brown GD, Denning DW, GowNA, Levitz SM, Netea MG, White TC (2012) Hidden killers: human fungal infections. *Science Translational Medicine* 165: 165rv113.
- Davison J, Moora M, Öpik M, Adholeya A, Ainsaar L, Ba A, Burla S, Diedhiou AG, Hiiesalu I, Jairus T, Johnson NC, Kane A, Koorem K, Kochar M, Ndiaye C, Pärtel M, Reier Ü, Saks Ü, Singh R, Vasar M, Zobel M (2015) Global assessment of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus diversity reveals very low endemism. *Science* 28: 970-973.
- De Hoog GS, Guarro J, Gené J, Figueras MJ (2018) *Atlas of clinical fungi*, 4th edn. Westerdijk Institute/Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Utrecht/Reus.

- Fisher MC, Henk DA, Briggs CJ, Brownstein JS, Madoff LC, McCraw SL, Gurr SJ (2012) Emerging fungal threats to animal, plant and ecosystem health. *Nature* 484: 186–194.
- Flores-Moreno H, Treseder KK, Cornwell WK, Maynard DS, Milo A, Abarenkov K, Afkhami ME, Aguilar-Trigueros CA, Bates S, Bhatnagar JM, Busby PE, Christian N, Crowther TW, Floudas D, Gazis R, Hibbett D, Kennedy PF, Lindner DL, Nilsson RH, Powell J, Schildhauer M, Schilling J, Zanne AE (2019) fungaltraits aka funfun: a dynamic functional trait database for the world's fungi. <https://github.com/traitecoevo/fungaltraits>
- Floudas D, Bentzer J, Ahrén D, Johansson T, Persson P, Tunlid A. Uncovering the hidden diversity of litter-decomposition mechanisms in mushroom-forming fungi. *The ISME Journal*, in press.
- Garnica S, Schön ME, Abarenkov K, Riess K, Liimatainen K, Niskanen T, Dima B, Soop K, Frøslev TG, Jeppesen TS, Peintner U (2016) Determining threshold values for barcoding fungi: lessons from *Cortinarius* (Basidiomycota), a highly diverse and widespread ectomycorrhizal genus. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 92: fiw045.
- Ghannoum M, Jurevic RJ, Mukherjee PK, Cui F, Sikaroodi M, Naqvi A, Gillevet PM (2010) Characterization of the oral fungal microbiome (Mycobiome) in healthy individuals. *PLoS Pathogens* 6: e1000713.
- Grossart HP, Van den Wyngaert S, Kagami M, Wurzbacher C, Cunliffe M, Rojas-Jimenez K. 2019. Fungi in aquatic ecosystems. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 17: 339–354.
- Guerreiro MA, Wijayawardene NN, Hyde KD, Peršoh D (2018) – Ecology of Ascomycete genera – A searchable table of “Notes on genera: Ascomycota”. *Asian Journal of Mycology* 1: 146–150.
- Hawksworth DL (1991) The fungal dimension of biodiversity: magnitude, significance, and conservation. *Mycological research* 95: 641-655.
- Hawksworth DL, Lücking R (2017) Fungal diversity revisited: 2.2 to 3.8 million species. *Microbiology Spectrum* 1: 79-95.
- Hongsanan S, Jeewon R, Purahong W, Xie N et al. (2018) Can we use environmental DNA as holotypes? *Fungal Diversity* 92: 1-30
- Hyde KD, Al-Hatmi AM, Andersen B, Boekhout T, Buzina W, Dawson TL, Eastwood DC, Jones EG, de Hoog S, Kang Y, Longcore JE (2018) The world’s ten most feared fungi. *Fungal Diversity* 93: 161–194
- Hyde KD, Xu JC, Lumyong S, Rapior S et al. (2019) The amazing potential of fungi, 50 ways we can exploit fungi industrially. *Fungal Diversity* 97: 1–136
- Irinyi L, Serena C, Garcia-Hermoso D, Arabatzis M, Desnos-Ollivier M, Vu D. 2015. International Society of Human and Animal Mycology (ISHAM)-ITS reference DNA barcoding database—the quality controlled standard tool for routine identification of human and animal pathogenic fungi. *Medical Mycology* 55: 313-337.
- Jayasiri SC, Hyde KD, Ariyawansa HA, Bhat J, Buyck B, Cai L, Dai YC, Abd-Elsalam KA, Ertz D, Hidayat I, Jeewon R, Jones EBG, Bahkali AH, Karunarathna SC, Liu JK, Luangsa-ard JJ, Lumbsch HT, Maharachchikumbura SSN, McKenzie EHC, Moncalvo, JM, Ghobad-Nejhad M, Nilsson H, Pang KA, Pereira OL, Phillips AJL, Raspé O, Rollins AW, Romero AI, Etayo J, Selçuk F, Stephenson SL, Suetrong S, Taylor JE, Tsui CKM, Vizzini A, Abdel-Wahab MA, Wen TC, Boonmee S, Dai DQ, Daranagama DA, Dissanayake AJ, Ekanayaka AH, Fryar SC, Hongsanan S, Jayawardena RS, Li WJ, Perera RH, Phookamsak R, de Silva NI, Thambugala KM, Tian Q, Wijayawardene NN, Zhao RL, Zhao Q, Kang JC, Promputtha I. 2015. The Faces of Fungi database: fungal names linked with morphology, phylogeny and human impacts. *Fungal Diversity* 74: 3-18.
- Jones EG, Pang KL, Abdel-Wahab MA, Scholz B, Hyde KD, Boekhout T, Ebel R, Rateb ME, Henderson L, Sakayaroj J, Suetrong S. 2019. An online resource for marine fungi. *Fungal Diversity* 96: 347-433.
- Kurtzman CP, Fell JW, Boekhout T (eds.). 2011. *The Yeasts, a Taxonomic Study*. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Köljalg U, Nilsson RH, Abarenkov K, Tedersoo L, Taylor AFS, Bahram M et al. 2013. Towards a unified paradigm for sequence-based identification of Fungi. *Molecular Ecology* 22: 5271–5277.
- Köljalg U, Tedersoo L, Nilsson RH, Abarenkov K. 2016. Digital identifiers for fungal species. *Science* 352: 1182-1183.

- Lindahl BD, Nilsson RH, Tedersoo L, Abarenkov K, Carlsen T, Kjølner R, Kõljalg U, Pennanen T, Rosendahl S, Stenlid J, Kausserud H. 2013. Fungal community analysis by high-throughput sequencing of amplified markers – a user’s guide. *New Phytologist* 199: 288–299.
- Levchenko MV, Kononchuk AG, Gerus AV, Lednev GR. 2020. Differential susceptibility of *Locusta migratoria* and *Schistocerca gregaria* (Orthoptera: Acrididae) to infection with entomopathogenic fungi. *Plant Protection News* 103: 150-152. <https://doi.org/10.31993/2308-6459-2020-103-2-13364>
- Lücking R, Dal-Forno M, Sikaroodi M, Gillevet PM, Bungartz F, Moncada B, Yáñez-Ayabaca A, Chaves JL, Coca LF, Lawrey JD. 2014. A single macrolichen constitutes hundreds of unrecognized species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 111: 11091-11096
- Mayor JR, Schuur EAG, Henkel TW. 2009. Elucidating the nutritional dynamics of fungi using stable isotopes. *Ecology Letters* 12: 171-183.
- McDonald D, Clemente JC, Kuczynski J, Rideout JR, Stombaugh J, Wendel D, Knight R. 2012. The Biological Observation Matrix (BIOM) format or: how I learned to stop worrying and love the ome-ome. *GigaScience* 1:7.
- Meyer V, Basenko EY, Benz JP, Braus GH, Caddick MX, Csukai M, De Vries RP, Endy D, Frisvad JC, Gunde-Cimerman N, et al. 2020. Growing a circular economy with fungal biotechnology: A white paper. *Fungal Biology and Biotechnology* 7: 1–23.
- Mueller UG, Gerardo NM, Aanen DK, Six DL, Schultz TR. 2005. The evolution of agriculture in insects. *Annual Reviews in Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 36: 563-595.
- Nguyen NH, Song Z, Bates ST, Branco S, Tedersoo L, Menke J, Schilling JS, Kennedy PG. 2016. FUNGuild: an open annotation tool for parsing fungal community data sets by ecological guild. *Fungal Ecology* 20: 241–248.
- Nilsson RH, Anslan S, Bahram M, Wurzbacher C, Baldrian P, Tedersoo L. 2018. Mycobiome diversity: high-throughput sequencing and identification of fungi. *Nature Reviews in Microbiology* 17: 95–109.
- Nilsson RH, Hyde KD, Pawłowska J, Ryberg M, Tedersoo L, Aas AB, Alias SA, Alves A, Anderson CL, Antonelli A, Arnold AE, Bahnmann B, Bahram M, Bengtsson-Palme J, Berlin A, Branco S, Chomnunti P, Dissanayake A, Drenkhan R, Friberg H, Frøslev TG, Halwachs B, Hartmann M, Henricot B, Jayawardena R, Jumpponen A, Kausserud H, Koskela S, Kulik T, Liimatainen K, Lindahl BD, Lindner D, Liu J-K, Maharachchikumbura S, Manamgoda D, Martinsson S, Neves MA, Niskanen T, Nylinder S, Pereira OL, Pinho DB, Porter TM, Queloz V, Riit T, Sánchez-García M, Sousa FD, Stefańczyk E, Tadych M, Takamatsu S, Tian Q, Udayanga D, Unterseher M, Wang Z, Wikee S, Yan J, Larsson E, Larsson K-H, Kõljalg U, Abarenkov K. 2014. Improving ITS sequence data for identification of plant pathogenic fungi. *Fungal Diversity* 67: 11-19.
- Nilsson RH, Larsson KH, Taylor AF, Bengtsson-Palme J, Jeppesen TS, Schigel D, Kennedy P, Picard K, Glöckner FO, Tedersoo L, Saar I. Kõljalg U, Abarenkov K. 2019. The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi: handling dark taxa and parallel taxonomic classifications. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47: D259-D264.
- Oberwinkler F, Riess K, Bauer R, Kirschner R, Garnica S. 2013. Taxonomic re-evaluation of the *Ceratobasidium-Rhizoctonia* complex and *Rhizoctonia butinii*, a new species attacking spruce. *Mycological Progress* 12: 763-776.
- Oguz F, and Koehler W. 2016. URL decay at year 20: A research note. *Journal of the Association for information science and technology* 67: 477-479.
- Pavlova NA, Sokornova SV. 2018. Effect of drying on viability of different ages mycelium of *Stagonospora cirsii*. *Plant Protection News* 4(98): 67-69. [https://doi.org/10.31993/2308-6459-2018-4\(98\)-67-69](https://doi.org/10.31993/2308-6459-2018-4(98)-67-69)
- Peay KG, Kennedy PG, Talbot JM. 2016. Dimensions of biodiversity in the Earth mycobiome. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 14: 434-447.
- Pölme S, Bahram M, Jacquemyn H, Kennedy P, Kohout P, Moora M, Oja J, Öpik M, Pecoraro L, Tedersoo L. 2018. Host preference and network properties in biotrophic plant–fungal associations. *New Phytologist* 217: 1230–1239.
- Pöggeler S, Wöstemeyer J (eds). 2011. *The Mycota XIV. Evolution of Fungi and Fungal-Like Organisms*. Springer, Heidelberg.

- Promptutha I, Jeewon R, Lumyong S, McKenzie EHC, Hyde KD (2005) Ribosomal DNA fingerprinting in the identification of non sporulating endophytes from *Magnolia liliifera* (Magnoliaceae). *Fungal Diversity* 20:167-186
- Promptutha I, Lumyong S, Dhanasekaran V, McKenzie EHC, Hyde KD, Jeewon R.(2007) A phylogenetic evaluation of whether endophytes become saprotrophs at host senescence. *Microbial Ecology* 53:579-590.
- Rambold G, Agerer R. 1997. DEEMY—the concept of a characterization and determination system for ectomycorrhizae. *Mycorrhiza* 7: 113-116.
- Rambold G, Zedda L, Coyle JR, Peršoh D, Köhler T, Triebel D. 2016. Geographic heat maps of lichen traits derived by combining LIAS light description and GBIF occurrence data, provided on a new platform. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 25: 2743-2751.
- Riley R, Salamov AA, Brown DW, Nagy LG, Floudas D, Held BW, Levasseur A, Lombard V, Morin E, Otiillar R, Lindquist EA. 2014. Extensive sampling of basidiomycete genomes demonstrates inadequacy of the white rot/brown rot paradigm for wood decay fungi. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 111: 9923-9928.
- Rodriguez RJ, White JF, Arnold AE, Redman RS. 2009. Fungal endophytes: diversity and functional roles. *New Phytologist* 182: 314-330.
- Ryan ET, Hill DR, Solomon T, Endy TP, Aronson N. 2019. *Hunter's Tropical Medicine and Emerging Infectious Diseases E-Book*. Elsevier, Canda.
- Schoch CL, Robbertse B, Robert V, Vu D, Cardinali G, Irinyi L, Kõljalg U, Tedersoo L, Federnse S. 2014. Finding needles in haystacks: linking scientific names, reference specimens and molecular data for Fungi. *Database* 2014: 1-21
- Selosse MA, Schneider-Maunoury L, Martos F. 2018. Time to re-think fungal ecology? Fungal ecological niches are often prejudged. *New Phytologist* 217: 968-972.
- Shearer CA and Raja HA (2010) *Freshwater Ascomycetes Database*: <http://fungi.life.illinois.edu/> (last accessed 01.08.2020).
- Smith SE, Read DJ. 2008. *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*, 3rd edn. 787 pp. Academic Press, London, UK.
- Soonvald L, Loit K, Runno-Paurson E, Astover A, Tedersoo L. 2019. The role of long-term mineral and organic fertilisation treatment in changing pathogen and symbiont community composition in soil. *Applied Soil Ecology* 141: 45-53.
- Taylor JW, Turner E, Townsend JP, Dettman JR, Jacobson D. 2006. Eukaryotic microbes, species recognition and the geographic limits of species: examples from the kingdom Fungi. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 361: 1947-1963.
- Tedersoo L, Abarenkov K, Nilsson RH, Schüßler A, Grelet G-A, Kohout P, Oja J, Bonito GM, Veldre V, Jairus T, Ryberg M, Larsson K-H, Kõljalg U. 2011. Tidying up International Nucleotide Sequence Databases: ecological, geographical and sequence quality annotation of ITS sequences of mycorrhizal fungi. *PLoS ONE* 6: e24940.
- Tedersoo L, Anslan S, Bahram M, Drenkhan R, Pritsch K, Buegger F, Padari A, Hagh-Doust N, Mikryukov V, Kõljalg U, Abarenkov K. 2020. Regional-scale in-depth analysis of soil fungal diversity reveals strong pH and plant species effects in Northern Europe. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, in press.
- Tedersoo L, Bahram M, Põlme S, Kõljalg U, Yorou NS, Wijesundera R, Villarreal-Ruiz L, Vasco-Palacios A, Quang Thu P, Suija A, Smith ME, Sharp C, Saluveer E, Saitta A, Ratkowsky D, Pritsch K, Riit T, Põldmaa K, Piepenbring M, Phosri C, Peterson M, Parts K, Pärtel K, Otsing E, Nouhra E, Njouonkou AL, Nilsson RH, Morgado LN, Mayor J, May TW, Kohout P, Hosaka K, Hiiesalu I, Henkel TW, Harend H, Guo L, Greslebin A, Grelet G, Geml J, Gates G, Dunstan W, Dunk C, Drenkhan R, Dearnaley J, De Kesel A, Dang T, Chen X, Buegger F, Brearley FQ, Bonito G, Anslan S, Abell S, Abarenkov K. 2014. Global diversity and geography of soil fungi. *Science* 346: 1078.
- Tedersoo L, Bahram M. 2019. Mycorrhizal types differ in ecophysiology and alter plant nutrition and soil processes. *Biological Reviews* 94: 1857–1880.

- Tedersoo L, Sánchez-Ramírez S, Kõljalg U, Bahram M, Döring M, Schigel D, May T, Ryberg M, Abarenkov K. 2018a. High-level classification of the Fungi and a tool for evolutionary ecological analyses. *Fungal Diversity* 90: 135–159.
- Tedersoo L, Smith ME. 2013. Lineages of ectomycorrhizal fungi revisited: foraging strategies and novel lineages revealed by sequences from belowground. *Fungal Biology Reviews* 27: 83-99.
- Tedersoo L, Smith ME. 2017. Ectomycorrhizal fungal lineages: detection of four new groups and notes on consistent recognition of ectomycorrhizal taxa in high-throughput sequencing studies. *Ecological Studies* 230: 125-142.
- Tedersoo L, Tooming-Klunderud A, Anslan S. 2018b. PacBio metabarcoding of fungi and other eukaryotes: biases and perspectives. *New Phytologist* 217: 1370-1385.
- Tisthammer KH, Cobian GM, Amend AS. 2016. Global biogeography of marine fungi is shaped by the environment. *Fungal Ecology* 19: 39-46.
- Triebel D, Peršoh D, Nash TH III, Zedda L, Rambold G (2007) LIAS – an interactive database system for structured descriptive data of Ascomycetes. In: Currey GB, Humphries CJ (Eds) *Biodiversity Databases. Techniques, Politics, and Applications. The Systematics Association Special* 73: 99–110.
- Větrovský T, Morais D, Kohout P, Lepinay C, Algora C, Hollá SA, Bahnmann BD, Bílohnědá K, Brabcová V, D'Alò F, Human ZR, Jomura M, Kolařík M, Kvasničková J, Lladó S, López-Mondéjar R, Martinović T, Mašínová T, Meszárošová L, Michalčíková L, Michalová T, Munda S, Navrátilová D, Odriozola I, Piché-Choquette S, Štursová M, Švec K, Tláškal V, Urbanová M, Vlk L, Voříšková J, Žifčáková L, Baldrian P. 2020. GlobalFungi, a global database of fungal occurrences from high-throughput-sequencing metabarcoding studies. *Scientific Data* 7: 1-14.
- Weete JD, Abril M, Blackwell M. 2010. Phylogenetic distribution of fungal sterols. *PloS One* 5:e10899.
- Zanne AE, Abarenkov K, Afkhami ME, Aguilar-Trigueros CA, Bates S, Bhatnagar JM, Busby PE, Christian N, Cornwell W, Crowther TW, Moreno HF. 2020. Fungal functional ecology: Bringing a trait-based approach to plant-associated fungi. *Biological Reviews*, in press.

[Fig. 1. Distribution of fungal genera by primary lifestyle in each fungal phyla as well as as Stramenopiles.](#) Figure depicts primary lifestyles that exceed 0.5% of fungal genera.

[Fig. 2. Distribution of annotated fungal sequences by DNA source.](#) For “DNA isolation source”, only trait states exceeding 1% abundance are presented graphically, and for “Culture source”, only traits states exceeding 2% abundance are presented graphically.

[Fig. 3.](#) Most common trait states of the species hypothesis with the largest number of sequences (SH1688425.08FU), roughly corresponding to a single biological species, *Alternaria eichhorniae*.

[Fig. S1](#) Trait distributions of fungal genera in different fungal phyla.

[Fig. S2](#) Trait distributions of Stramenopila genera in different Stramenopila phyla.

[Fig. S3](#) Distribution of the ten most common fungal guilds among annotated sequences.

[Table S1.](#) Traits of genera

[Table S2](#). Traits of sequences

[Table S3](#). Traits of species hypothesis

[Table S4](#). Example dataset for genus-level annotation using the *vlookup* function in Excel.

[Table S5](#). Comparison of workflows and outputs conducted in FunTraits and FUNGuild

[Supplementary item 1](#). List of trait states for genera and sequences

[Supplementary item 2](#). Instructions for annotators of fungal ITS sequences

([Supplementary item 3](#). Annotation results using FUNGuild

[Supplementary item 4](#). Annotation results using FunFun)