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Abstract
Elucidation of population-level diversity of microbiomes is a significant step towards a complete understanding of the evolutionary, ecological and functional importance of microbial communities. Characterizing this diversity requires the recovery of the exact DNA sequence (haplotype) of each gene isoform from every individual present in the community. To address this, we present Hansel and Gretel: a freely-available data structure and algorithm, providing a software package that reconstructs the most likely haplotypes from metagenomes. We demonstrate recovery of haplotypes from short-read Illumina data for a bovine rumen microbiome, and verify our predictions are 100% accurate with long-read PacBio CCS sequencing. We show that Gretel’s haplotypes can be analyzed to determine a significant difference in mutation rates between core and accessory gene families in an ovine rumen microbiome. All tools, documentation and data for evaluation are open source and available via our repository: https://github.com/samstudio8/gretel

Background
Population-level genetic variation enables competitiveness and niche specialization in microbial communities [1]. Recovering the haplotypes of isoforms for a given gene across all organisms in a microbiome would aid evolutionary and ecological insights into microbial ecosystems with biotechnological potential [2, 3, 4]. The concept of haplotyping has been applied to clonal [5] and viral sequence data [6], and the identification and tracking of strains between metagenomic samples [7, 8]. However, there is no method that can recover haplotypes for a particular gene of interest from sequencing data from a microbiome.

Reconstructing population-level variation in microbial communities is limited by difficulties culturing many microbes from the environment [9]. We instead rely on DNA isolated and sequenced directly from an environment (metagenomics). The presence of an unknown number of haplotypes complicates the already computationally difficult (NP-hard) [10] problem of haplotyping [11]. Contemporary haplotyping methodologies are limited to diploid species [12, 13], clonal communities [5, 6] or samples with well-defined genomes [14] [15]. Existing de novo analysis pipelines for DNA sequence data generally assume a single individual of origin [10] or, when applied to metagenomic datasets, remove low level variation and produce single consensus sequences which represent an “average” of all the haplotypes present [17]. Even specialized metagenomic assemblers do not aim to solve the problem of haplotype recovery [17] [18]. Naive sequence partitioning approaches such as binning or clustering...
assembled contigs often reconstruct bins with low quality and genome completeness \[20\] or require many samples in order to quantify strain abundances \[21\]. Thus, the problem of recovering haplotypes from a metagenome does not have a formal mathematical definition.

To address this, here we present a formalization of the problem of recovering the set of “gene haplotypes” found across individuals in a mixed microbial population, for any locus of interest. Our formalization defines a computationally tractable problem which we have implemented in \textbf{Hansel} and \textbf{Gretel}: a Bayesian framework that recovers and ranks gene haplotypes from metagenomic data using evidence of pairs of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) observed on sequenced reads.

We characterize the performance of our approach on simulated metagenomes, demonstrate its effectiveness on data from a natural microbial community and validate these results using Sanger and Pacific Biosciences sequencing. This empirical evidence demonstrates that the most likely haplotypes recovered from complex metagenomic samples by \textbf{Hansel} and \textbf{Gretel} represent real previously unknown gene isoforms. We show how this information is neglected by methods that aim to resolve (consensus) genomes, or track strains with marker genes across multiple microbial samples. Finally, to demonstrate that \textbf{Gretel} can be used to elucidate valuable biological information, we use \textbf{Gretel} to inspect the diversity of haplotypes within a population of \textit{Ruminococcus flavefaciens} in the ovine gut.

\section*{Results}

\subsection*{The terminology for haplotypes in a metagenome can be mathematically defined}

Here we provide a mathematical formalization that defines the computational problem of recovering gene haplotypes from metagenomic data with precise language for future work on haplotyping to draw upon. We formally describe a metagenome and offer the \textit{metahaplome} as a term to refer to the set of haplotypes from all organisms for any particular homologous region of interest (such as a gene, or gene cluster) within a metagenomic data set. We define a data structure constructed from pairs of SNPs observed in sequencing reads aligned to a reference or metagenomic assembly and formulate the problem of recovering haplotypes from a metahaplome as a graph traversal problem; whereby traversal of the edges in the graph depend on the observation of SNP pairs. The full mathematical definitions are provided in Supplementary Section 1: succinctly describing the \textbf{Hansel} data structure, how it can be used by \textbf{Gretel} to construct a graph that permits haplotype recovery, and the equations that transform SNP pair observations into probabilities for selecting which variants to add to a growing haplotype and estimating haplotype quality.

\subsection*{Hansel and Gretel is suitable for a wide range of short read lengths and read coverage}

We evaluated the fidelity of the haplotypes reconstructed by \textbf{Gretel} using 6,300 synthetic metahaplotomes, representing seven mutation rates in combination with the 3 read sizes and 6 per-haplotype read depths (Figure 1).

As expected, we found that haplotype recovery improves with longer reads and greater coverage. Unsuccessful recoveries were found to be as a result of at least one pair of adjacent variants failing to be covered by any read, which is a requirement imposed on \textbf{Gretel} for recovery of haplotype paths.

We recover perfect haplotypes from data sets with high variation and find that with coverage of \(\geq 7\times\) per-haplotype depth, \textbf{Gretel}’s haplotypes are more accurate than those in data sets with fewer SNPs (Figure 1).

For realistic levels of variation (0.01–0.02 SNPs per haplotype-base [hb]) \[22\] and per-haplotype read depth of \(\geq 7\times\), we can recover haplotypes with a median accuracy of 84.40\% (Max = 100\%). With at least 0.15 SNPs/hb and a higher per-haplotype coverage of \(\geq 25\times\), \textbf{Gretel} recovers haplotypes with a median accuracy of 99.85\%, with many haplotypes recovered at 100\% accuracy.
Hansel and Gretel is capable of recovering haplotypes from mixed strains

To demonstrate that Hansel and Gretel can recover haplotypes for strains in a mixed community, we used a mock community from Quince et al. [7]. The mock community contains 5 Escherichia coli strains, and 15 other genomes commonly found in the human gut according to the Human Microbiome Project [23]. The authors made available 16 million synthetic read pairs, generated from the 20 genomes to simulate a typical HiSeq 2500 run [7]. Additionally, Quince et al. identified 982 single-copy genes for E. coli and provided DNA sequences of all the genes for each of the five E. coli strains in their mock community.

Our assembly of the synthetic reads contained 814 of the original 982 single-copy genes. Gretel was executed over these 814 genes with the aim of recovering the complete set of 814 gene haplotypes for each of the five E. coli strains. Gretel recovered full-length haplotypes for the 814 single-copy genes for the 5 strains of E. coli with a median accuracy of 99.5% (Figure 2).

Hansel and Gretel recovers gene haplotypes from a real metagenome

To validate our method empirically, we predicted haplotypes from a natural microbial community sequenced using Illumina short-reads, for three genes of interest (which we refer to as G31, G90 and G123 [Table 2]), and verified their existence by sequencing isolated amplicons with both Sanger and PacBio single-molecule long-read sequencing. PacBio sequencing of the three amplicons yielded 149,003 high-quality circular consensus sequences (CCS).

The CCS reads support Gretel’s recovery of five distinct haplotypes for G123, with perfectly matching CCS reads numbering between 351 and 1592. Additionally, the CCS reads support the existence of two G90 haplotypes. The haplotypes with perfect matches to the PacBio CCS reads were those with the top five and top two likelihoods for G123 and G90 respectively, demonstrating the utility of the likelihood scores calculated by Gretel. BLAST alignments also verify perfect matches of CCS reads to Gretel recovered haplotypes (Supplementary Sections 11.3 and 11.4).

G31 appears to be poorly recovered, with no CCS reads perfectly matching a Gretel reconstructed haplotype. Although there exist CCS reads with as few as 3 mismatches against a G31 haplotype from Gretel, generally the CCS reads for G31 were highly divergent from any haplotype recovered by Gretel (Supplementary Table 3). However, aligning the initial Illumina data to these CCS reads, we observe regions of low coverage and pileup positions which do not agree with the CCS reads, implying that the material that was amplified for PacBio sequencing does not correspond to the short-read Illumina sequencing from which the haplotypes were predicted, despite the amplification originating from the same frozen samples (Supplementary Section 11.6.1). Regardless, it is possible to load and interrogate the Hansel matrix that was used by Gretel during the run that recovered the G31 haplotypes, and inspect the evidence available for any CCS read. The pairwise SNP information stored by Hansel demonstrates that the Illumina reads simply did not provide Gretel evidence to recover haplotypes for G31 that would concur with the CCS reads (Supplementary Section 11.6.3). This could potentially arise due to off-target amplification of G31, or a lack of coverage for the G31 loci in the initial Illumina shotgun meta-transcriptome sequencing. This is not a shortcoming of our method: Gretel can only recover haplotypes for which there is sufficient sequenced evidence available in Hansel.

Hansel and Gretel reveals the haplotype landscape of a pangenome

To demonstrate the scalability and power of Hansel and Gretel to generate novel population-level haplotype information, 2 samples of shotgun whole metagenome short-reads from sheep microbiomes were downloaded and aligned to 14 reference sequences for Ruminococcus flavefaciens, a common constituent of this gut ecosystem. 29,476,758 reads aligned to the references. The 14 references were annotated with 16,801 CDS regions from which haplotypes could be reconstructed from the metagenomic data. Gretel recovered 119,151 haplotypes in total.
The rate of non-synonymous to synonymous mutations (dN/dS) was used to characterize the diversity of the haplotypes recovered for each genes family across the 14 *R. flavefaciens* genomes. Higher dN/dS ratios represent elevated rates of amino-acid substitutions in the *Gretel* generated haplotypes and therefore elevated numbers of protein isoforms in the population, which has been previously linked to niche specificity in the rumen microbiome [1].

When combined with the prevalence of the gene families in the 14 reference genomes this information allows exploration of the relationship of population variation between core and accessory genes for the pangenome of this species in the environments from which the metagenomic samples were taken.

We found that the dN/dS ratio was more variable and statistically higher (Welch Two Sample t-test, one-tailed, \( p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \)) for accessory gene families in the pangenome (gene families appearing in 1–3 strains, \( n=864 \)) than for core gene families (those found in 12–14 genomes, \( n=324 \)) (Figure 5).

**Hansel and Gretel outperforms clonal/viral haplotyping and strain inference tools on metagenomic data**

Application of methods designed for clonal haplotyping to metagenomic data from mixed microbial communities can lead to very poor recovery rates as a clonal population is much simpler than that of a mixed microbial community. To show this, we ran the clonal haplotyping tool *EVORhA* [5] on our Illumina reads for the contig containing the G123 locus. *EVORhA* reconstructed 16 global haplotypes for the contig. Fifteen of these haplotypes were identical for the G123 locus, yielding only two haplotypes differentiated by three SNPs. No PacBio CCS reads supported either of the two *EVORhA* G123 haplotypes. We were unable to run the older *PredictHaplo* on our own data, a problem also encountered by Pulido-Tamayo et al. in their comparison to *EVORhA*.

A more recent approach for the related problem of viral quasi-species recovery has been implemented in *SAVAGE* [6] and its successors *Virus-VG* [24] and *VG-Flow* [25]. Baaijens et al. claimed performance improvements for *SAVAGE* over both *PredictHaplo* [20] and *ShoRAH* [27] using a benchmark lab mixture of five strains of HIV. To compare we evaluated *Gretel* on the same HIV data. Our results are presented in full in Supplementary Section 13, but in summary, we found that *Gretel* makes perfect recoveries from this sequenced laboratory mix of five strains (Supplementary Table 7).

Alternative strategies for metagenomes focus on strain inference. Given a database of genes known to occur in single-copy for a species, *DESMAN* identifies SNP frequency patterns over multiple samples (>50 samples preferred) to identify and compare the presence of strains between samples. The use of frequencies observed across samples means restricting analyses to single copy genes, as multiple copies would distort the frequencies; limiting its use to identifying marker genes only. The necessity for many samples and the restriction of strain inference on single-copy genes meant we were unable to run *DESMAN* on our own testing data, as *Gretel* is not restricted to single-copy genes for one species, and our data represents the scenario of a single microbial sample, rather than a large set of samples expected by *DESMAN*. In contrast, *Gretel* was able to make excellent recoveries on the mock community data generated by Quince et al. for the *DESMAN* paper (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

Here we present *Hansel*, a data structure designed to efficiently store variation observed across ordered sequenced reads, and *Gretel*, a Bayesian algorithm that leverages *Hansel* for the recovery of haplotypes from a metagenome.

*Gretel* is the only tool capable of recovering haplotypes from metagenomes. *Gretel* requires no configuration, has no parameters, requires no pre-processing of reads, does not discard observed information and is designed for data sets where the number of haplotypes is unknown. Importantly, it also addresses the limitations that make existing haplotyping methods unsuitable for metagenomic analysis:
• assuming that the solution is a pair of haplotypes from diploid parents, and discard/alter observations until a pair of haplotypes can be determined [16]
• discarding SNP sites that feature three or more alleles as errors [28]
• generating an unrealistically large number of unranked potential haplotypes [1] [29]
• are too computationally expensive for high-depth short read data sets [30]
• require a good quality reference genome [31]
• are no longer maintained/are specific to certain data/cannot be installed [26]

Through our initial simulations we found that contrary to the expectation that high levels of variation would make the recovery of haplotypes more challenging (as the potential haplotype search space is larger), an abundance of variation actually provides more information for the likelihood approach implemented in Gretel, making it suitable for the analysis of complex microbiomes.

Hansel and Gretel works with real sequencing data

Gretel easily tackles the problem of recovering haplotypes for the five different E.coli strains over 814 loci in the Quince et al. mock community, from data emulating a real sequencing run. In comparison, the binning step of the DESMAN pipeline on the same mock community data led to a majority of the single-copy genes being discarded from the assembly, leaving only 372 (of 982) genes for their own downstream strain inference analysis [7]. Gretel does not rely on pre-processing and binning. Additionally, we are not limited to the study of single-copy gene families which represent as few as only 1% of all gene families in microbial genomes [32]. For the typical use-case where one lacks sufficient reference sequences or sufficient knowledge of the taxa in a microbial environment, DESMAN falls back to the use of 36 single-copy core genes that are universal to all species, severely limiting its utility to the study of DNA sequences found in a microbiome.

Our analysis of the HIV laboratory mix shows the applicability of Gretel to real sequencing reads and the benefit of its haplotype likelihood scores. SAVAGE is the most accurate tool of the three published by Baaijens et al. that have been used to produce quasi-viral haplotypes from the same HIV laboratory mix standard. SAVAGE implements an overlap assembly approach that naively creates haplotypes for every possible branching point in the aligned reads, without considering long range information or determining the likelihood of the haplotypes being generated. Even though both Gretel and SAVAGE return many haplotypes (Gretel: 226 vs. SAVAGE: 846), Gretel’s likelihood approach allows its haplotypes to be ranked and those with the worst likelihoods can be discarded (Supplementary Figure 9).

Our PacBio sequencing generated 61303 distinct CCS sequences, suggesting that not even high-quality DNA sequencing can be used to haplotype alone. We observe CCS reads aligned to our G123 and G90 haplotypes with several mismatches (Supplementary Table 2), but can only speculate as to whether these alternative sequences have arisen as a result of error during reverse transcription which became amplified during PCR, an error during the PCR process itself, PacBio sequencing error or are real mutations from a true haplotype. Such true haplotypes could have been missed by Gretel, or were not sequenced to an appropriate depth in the original Illumina sample to have enabled recovery. Our in vitro analysis of three amplicons recovered from the bovine rumen microbiome validates that Gretel perfectly recovers haplotypes, and that the haplotypes with the best likelihoods are the haplotypes best supported by our validation sequencing data.

Hansel and Gretel steps beyond strain inference and recovers haplotypes

Species and strain identification is a common theme in recent tools developed to analyze metagenomic data and is sometimes confused with haplotyping. Such tools use specific sets of single-copy marker genes to estimate abundances [7] [8] [33]. Other methods map reads or their component k-mers to pre-built databases of specific species or strains of interest [34] [35]. These tools are not designed to solve the problem of recovering all haplotypes from all genes in a mixed microbial community.
For example, ConStrains \[^6\] is a popular state-of-the-art tool that aims to identify strain profiles based on variation observed over core gene markers, and reconstruct their phylogeny, within a metagenomic sample. These profiles act as a barcode that can be used to identify the presence of a strain across a series of samples, and permit the construction of a strain phylogeny, however no haplotypes are generated.

Strain inference methods like Constrains and DESMAN aim to infer gene counts across the strains within a pangenome, but do not resolve haplotypes. Our pangenome analysis shows that with Gretel’s ability to recover haplotypes, we can now go beyond counting the size of the core and accessory genomes and actually calculate mutation rates at the nucleotide level. Gretel can provide a landscape overview of the diversity present across every gene in a pangenome.

**Hansel and Gretel is a tractable method for haplotype recovery**

Our framework has been designed for the recovery of haplotypes from a loci of interest in a metagenome (such as a gene), but with sufficient observed variation (i.e. each adjacent SNP pair is bridged by at least one read) and coverage, our approach could reconstruct haplotypes for significantly longer regions if desired.

The storage of SNP pair observations in the Hansel data structure allows for effective compression of the sequenced reads. Once the matrix has been populated, the reads are no longer required. The matrix can also be saved to disk for future interrogation. Gretel employs a probabilistic algorithm that is designed with Hansel’s structure in mind, leveraging pairs of co-occurring SNPs to build chains of SNPs that form haplotypes. The algorithm is purposely formulated to employ the benefit of Naive Bayes, which significantly reduces the number of calculations required to select the next SNP in the haplotype recovery process, improving performance.

Ultimately, haplotype recovery is bound by the quality of the data available: both the choice of reference and read alignment will exert influence over how many and how accurately haplotypes in a given metahaplome can be recovered. As stated by Lancia, even without error, there are scenarios where data is insufficient to successfully recover haplotypes and the problem is rendered impossible \[^11\].

Regarding time and resource requirements, Gretel is designed to work on all reads from a metagenome that align to some region of interest on the metagenomic assembly. Typically these subsets are small (on the order of 10-100K reads) and so our framework can recover haplotypes on an ordinary desktop in minutes, without significant demands on disk, memory or CPU. Run-times on data with very deep coverage (> 5000×), or many thousands of SNPs, such as the HIV laboratory mix, run in the order of hours, but can still be executed on an ordinary desktop computer.

**Conclusion**

The microbial communities with which we share our world and bodies have diverse functions that are widely shared between bacterial strains and species via horizontal gene transfer. Evidence appears to indicate that in complex microbiomes, acquiring a single copy of a gene for a competitive function may not be enough to confer an advantage alone, but rather it is the breadth of functional variants of the gene at the population-level that drives competitiveness. This gene-level population variation is not captured by the assembly of consensus reference sequences or species-specific pangenomes, supporting the need for a more gene-centric view of the microbiome.

Gretel addresses this need, offering a gene-centric method for recovering haplotypes from a metagenome with far reaching use cases, including directed enzyme engineering, niche and competition analysis, pan-genome landscape analysis, assembly polishing, analysis of horizontal gene transfer and design of minimal communities.
Methods

The metahaplome

We have provided a detailed mathematical definition of the metahaplome in Supplementary Section 1. To enable recovery of a metahaplome from a metagenomic sample we require:

- $g$, a known target DNA sequence of interest
- $c[i:j]$, a region of contig $c$, identified as having sufficient similarity to $g$ by $\Delta(c,g)$
- $A_{c[i:j]}$, the alignments of the set of reads $R$ against the contig region $c[i:j]$
- $S_{c[i:j]}$, the genomic positions determined to be variants over the region $c[i:j]$

A set of contigs $C$ are generated by assembling sequenced reads with an assembler such as flye [36]. Using $\Delta$, one may locate a gene of interest $g$, on a contig $c \in C$ by similarity search or gene prediction (e.g. prokka). We refer to gene $g$ as the target. We want to recover the most likely haplotypes of $g$ that exist in the metahaplome $\Gamma_g$, using the observations of co-occurring variants observed across the reads that align to $c[i:j]$.

A subset of reads that align to the target region can be determined using a short read alignment tool such as bowtie2 [37]. Reads that fall outside the region of interest (i.e. reads that do not cover any of the genomic positions on $c$ that are associated to the target) can be safely discarded: they do not provide relevant evidence for the recovery of haplotypes on the region of interest.

Variation at single nucleotide positions across reads along the target, can then be called with a SNP calling algorithm such as that provided by samtools [38] or GATK [39]. However, to avoid loss of information arising from the diploid bias of the majority of SNP callers [28], our methodology aggressively considers any heterogeneous site as a SNP using gretel-snpper.

The combination of aligned reads, and the locations of single nucleotide variation on those reads can be exploited to recover real haplotypes in the metagenome: the metahaplome.

Hansel: A novel data structure

We present Hansel, a probabilistically-weighted, graph-inspired, novel data structure. Hansel is designed to store the number of observed occurrences of a symbol $\alpha$ appearing at some position in space or time $i$, co-occurring with another symbol $\beta$ at another position in space or time $j$. For our approach, we use Hansel to store the number of times a SNP $\alpha$ at the $i$'th variant of some contig $c$, is observed to co-occur (appear on the same read) with a SNP $\beta$ at the $j$'th variant of the same contig. Hansel is a four dimensional matrix whose individual elements $H[\alpha, \beta, i, j]$ record the number of observations of a co-occurring pair of symbols ($\alpha_i, \beta_j$).

The Hansel matrix is different from the typical Lancia SNP matrix

Our representation differs from the typical SNP matrix model [11] that forms the basis of many of the surveyed approaches. Rather than a matrix of columns representing SNPs and rows representing reads, we discard the concept of a read entirely and aggregate the evidence seen across all reads by genomic position.

At first this structure may appear limited, but the data in $H$ can easily be exploited to build other structures. Consider $H[\alpha, \beta, 1, 2]$ for all symbol pairs $(\alpha, \beta)$. One may enumerate the available transitions from space or time point 1 to point 2. Extending this to consider $H[\alpha, \beta, i, i + 1]$ for all $(\alpha, \beta)$ over $i$, one can construct a simple graph $G$ of possible transitions between all symbols. In our setting, $G$ could represent a graph of transitions observed between SNPs on a genomic sequence, across all reads. Figure 3 shows how the Hansel structure records information about SNP pairs, and shows a simple graph constructed from this information.

Intuitively, one may traverse a path through $G$ by selecting edges with the highest weight in order to recover a series of symbols that represent an ordered sequence of SNPs that constitute a haplotype.
in the metahaplome. The weight of an edge between two nodes may be defined as the number of reads that provide direct evidence for that pair of SNP values occurring together.

**The Hansel structure allows us to consider information from non-adjacent SNPs**

Although the analogy to a graph helps us to consider paths through the structure, the available data cannot be fully represented with a graph such as that seen in Figure 3 alone. A graph representation defines a constraint that only considers pairs of adjacent positions \((i, i + 1)\) over \(i\). Edges can only be drawn between adjacent SNPs and their weightings cannot consider the evidence available in \(H\) between non-adjacent SNP symbols. Without considering information about non-adjacent SNPs, one can traverse \(G\) to create paths that do not exist in the observed data set, as shown in Figure 4. To prevent construction of such invalid paths and recover genuine paths more accurately, one should consider evidence observed between non-adjacent symbols when determining which edge to traverse next.

**Edge weights in the Hansel graph are dependent on the current path**

The **Hansel** structure is designed to store pairwise co-occurrences of all SNPs (not just those that are adjacent), across all reads. We may take advantage of the additional information available in \(H\) and build upon the graph \(G\). Incorporating evidence of non-adjacent SNPs in the formula for edge weights allows decisions during traversal to consider previously visited nodes, as well as the current one.

That is, given a node \(i\), the decision to move to a symbol at \(i + 1\) can be informed not only by observations in the reads covering positions \((i, i + 1)\), but also \((i - 1, i + 1)\), \((i - 2, i + 1)\), and so on. Such a scheme allows for the efficient storage of some of the most pertinent information from the reads, and allows edge weights to dynamically change in response to the path as it has been constructed thus far. Outward edges between \((i, i + 1)\) that would lead to the construction of a path that does not exist in the data can now be influenced by observations in the reads beyond that of the current node and the next. Our method mitigates the risk of constructing paths which do not truly exist.

The consideration and storage of pairwise SNPs fits well with the Naive Bayes model employed to simplify the potentially expensive calculation of conditional probabilities (Supplementary Section 4). Although we describe **Hansel** as “graph-inspired”, allowing edge weights to depend on the current path through \(G\) itself leads to several differences between the **Hansel** structure and a weighted directed acyclic graph. Whilst these differences are not necessarily disadvantageous, they do change what we can infer about the structure. The structure of the graph is effectively unknown in advance. That is, not only are the weights of the edges not known ahead of traversal (as they depend on that traversal), but the entire layout of nodes and edges is also unknown until the graph is explored (although, arguably this would be true of very large simple graphs too). Indeed, this means it is also unknown whether or not the graph can even be successfully traversed.

Also of note is the fact that the graph is dynamically weighted. The current path represents a memory that affects the availability and weights of outgoing edges at each node. Edge weights are calculated probabilistically during traversal. They depend on the observation of SNP pairs between some number of the already selected nodes in the path, and any potential next node. Supplementary Section 3 provides the equation and intuition for the probabilistic calculation of edge weights.

In exchange for these minor caveats, we have a data structure that permits graph-like traversal that is intrinsic to our problem definition, whilst utilising informative pairwise SNP information collected from observations on raw metagenomic reads. **Hansel** fuses the advantages of a graph’s simple representation (and its inherent traversability) with the ability to efficiently store pertinent information by considering only pairs of SNPs across all reads.

**Gretel: An algorithm for recovering haplotypes from metagenomes**

We introduce **Gretel**, an algorithm designed to interface with the **Hansel** data structure to recover the most likely haplotypes from a metahaplome. To obtain likely haplotypes, **Gretel** traverses the
probabilistic graph structure provided by Hansel, selecting the most likely SNPs at each possible node (i.e. traversing edges with the greatest probability), given some subset of the most recently selected nodes in the path so far. At each node, an $L$th order Markov chain model is employed to predict which of the possible variants for the next SNP is most likely, given the last $L$ variants in the current path. Execution of Gretel can be broken into the following steps:

1. Parse the read alignments and retain only the bases that cover SNP sites, discarding any conserved base positions as they provide no haplotype information.

2. Populate the Hansel structure with all pairwise observations from each of the reads.

3. Exploit the Hansel graph API to incrementally recover a path until a variant has been selected at each SNP position:
   - Query for the available transitions from the current position in the graph to the next SNP
   - Calculate the probabilities of each of the potential next variants appearing in the path given the last $L$ variants
   - Append the most likely variant to the path and traverse the edge

4. Report this path as a haplotype and then remove the information for this path from the data by reweighting observations that contributed to this path. This will allow for new paths to be retrieved next.

5. Repeat (3-4) until the graph can no longer be traversed or an optional additional stopping criterion has been reached.

Construction of haplotype paths

Haplotypes are reconstructed as a path through the Hansel structure, one SNP at a time, linearly, from the beginning of the sequence. At each SNP position, the Hansel structure is queried for the variants that were observed on the raw reads at the next position. Hansel also calculates the conditional probabilities of each of those variants appearing as the next SNP in the sequence, using a Markov chain of order $L$ that makes its predictions given the current state of the observations in the Hansel matrix and the last $L$ selected SNPs. Gretel’s approach is greedy: we only consider the probabilities of the next variant. Our razor is to assume that the best haplotypes are those that can be constructed by selecting the most likely edges at every opportunity.

Gretel re-weights the Hansel graph to find multiple haplotypes

Whilst our framework is probabilistic, it is not stochastic. Given the same Hansel structure and operating parameters, Gretel will behave deterministically and return the same set of haplotypes every time. However, we are interested in recovering the metahaplome of multiple, real haplotypes from the set of reads, not just one haplotype. Hansel exposes a function in its interface for the reweighting of observations. Once a path through the graph is completed (a variant has been chosen for all SNP sites), the observations in the Hansel matrix are reweighted by Gretel.

Currently, Gretel reduces the weight of each pairwise observation that forms a component of a completed path - in an attempt to reduce evidence for that haplotype existing in the metahaplome at all, allowing evidence for other haplotypes to now direct the probabilistic search strategy.

Description of Gretel’s output files

Gretel outputs recovered sequences as FASTA, requiring no special parsing of results to be able to conduct further analyses. In addition to the sequences themselves, Gretel outputs a ‘crumbs’ file, which contains metadata for each of the recovered sequences: log probability of that sequence existing
given the reads, how much of the evidence in Hansel the sequence was supported by, and how much of the evidence was reweighted as a result of that path being chosen.

Currently, Gretel will recover paths out of the remaining evidence until it encounters a node from which there is no evidence that can inform the next decision.

Hansel and Gretel is suitable for a wide range of short read lengths and read coverage

To first test our approach on data with well-defined and controllable read properties, but still posing a recovery problem, seq-gen [40] was used to generate sets of DNA sequences that would serve as haplotypes of a synthetic metahaplome.

seq-gen simulates the evolution of a nucleotide sequence along a given phylogeny. For testing Gretel, we provided a star shaped guide tree with uniform branch lengths, such that all haplotypes would be equally dissimilar to each other. These uniform branch lengths correspond to the rate of per haplotype base (hb) nucleotide heterogeneity. Thus, each taxa in the guide tree will have a DNA sequence based upon the evolution of the given starting sequence, following simulated evolution at the given rate.

The same starting sequence was shared by all of our generated trees. We used a randomly generated sequence of 3000 nt with 50% GC content. We fixed the number of taxa in the trees at five, but varied the mutation rate across seven levels. 35 trees were generated (7 mutation rates and 5 replicates), each containing five sequences mutated at the same rate, from the original 3000 nt sequence. Each of the resulting 35 sets of five mutated DNA sequences represent a metahaplome from which the five haplotypes must be recovered by Gretel.

We generated synthetic reads from each of the five sequences in the metahaplome, varying both the read length and per-haplotype read depth (i.e. the average coverage of each haplotype). For each read length and coverage parameter pair, ten read sets were generated, to amortise any effect on haplotype recovery introduced by the alignments of the reads themselves. We generated 6300 read sets (3 read sizes, 6 per-haplotype depth levels, 7 mutation rates, 10 read replicates, 5 tree replicates). Synthetic reads and their corresponding alignments to the starting sequence reference, are generated in silico with our Python tool: (shredder).

As pileups of the generated reads typically feature many tri- or tetra-allelic sites (especially as mutation rate increases), most SNP-calling tools would be inappropriate for our task. To avoid diploid tool bias, we use gretel-snpper to aggressively call for variants in the generated SAM, outputting a VCF record for any heterogeneous site.

To evaluate the accuracy of a run of Gretel, each known input haplotype is compared pairwise to each of the recovered output haplotypes. Each input haplotype is matched to a corresponding “best” recovered haplotype. Best is defined as the output haplotype that yields the smallest Hamming distance from a given input haplotype. For each synthetic metahaplome, we perform a multiple sequence alignment with MUSCLE [41] to determine the definitive SNP positions. When calculating Hamming distance, we consider only these corresponding positions. That is, we exclude the comparison of homogeneous sites from the evaluation metric, to ensure we only consider our accuracy on positions that required recovery. For our results we report the proportion of SNPs that were correctly recovered by Gretel, expressed as a percentage.

Comparing sites enumerated by the multiple sequence alignment of the original haplotypes, as opposed to the VCF of each individual read set ensures Gretel is penalised when a SNP has not been called from the read set.

Regardless of quality, all input haplotypes are assigned a best output haplotype. An output haplotype may be the best haplotype for more than one input. If more than one output haplotype has the same Hamming distance, the first that was found is chosen. If Gretel could not complete at least one haplotype (i.e. a pair of adjacent SNP positions were not covered by at least one read), all input haplotypes are awarded 0%.
Metahaplomes from a mock community

In lieu of a true, annotated metagenome, we sourced a benchmark microbial community from Quince et al. [7]. The community consists of 5 Escherichia coli strains, and 15 other genomes commonly found in the human gut according to the Human Microbiome Project (HMP). The community is defined in the supplement to the author’s original manuscript. In their work, $1.504 \times 10^9$ reads were generated from the 20 genomes, distributed across 64 paired-end samples (11.75 million read pairs per sample). The ART read simulator was configured to simulate a “typical HiSeq 2500 run”. As part of their preprint, the authors made available a subset of the generated mock community. The subset contains 16 samples, with 1 million read pairs each, for a total of 32 million reads. Reads were assembled with MEGAHIT [42], using default parameters, as per the author’s recommendations (github.com/chrisquince/DESMAN/blob/master/complete_example/README.md, commit 9045fe2). Following the example, we discarded assembled contigs shorter than 1 kbp, to yield an assembly described by Supplementary Table 1.

The original Quince et al. paper also identified 982 single-copy genes for E. coli. Additionally the work provided DNA sequences for all 982 genes, for each of the five different E. coli strains found in the mock community. Single-copy genes were mapped to the metagenomic assembly with blastn, with alignments requiring a threshold of at least 75% of the average length of the five haplotypes for each gene. We limited our analysis to the 814 loci for which all five strains could be aligned against the metagenomic assembly, to ensure that Gretel would have the opportunity to recover them.

Reads across the 16 samples were concatenated to create one paired-end sample containing 16 million read pairs. The reads were then also mapped to the metagenomic assembly with bowtie2 (--sensitive-local).

Gretel was then executed on the aligned reads, once for each of the 814 identified single-copy gene loci with the aim of recovering the five strain haplotypes from the synthetic short-reads. SNPs were called over each region using the gretel-snpper method previously described. Performance was measured with a blastn alignment between the known five strain haplotypes, and the Gretel recovered haplotypes. In the same fashion as our synthetic evaluation, each input haplotype is assigned a best output haplotype, and an output haplotype may be the best haplotype for more than one input. For each strain, we report the sequence identity of the best haplotype for each of the 814 loci.

Recovery from a real microbiome

A previous experiment [43] isolated RNA from 32 rumen samples from 3 cows over 6 timepoints (0, 1, 2, 4, 6 and 8 hours) after feeding. In preparation for metatranscriptomic sequencing, the polyA fraction was removed (MicroPoly(A)Purist, Ambion). 18S rRNA was also removed (both RiboMinus Plant Kit and Eukaryote Kit, Invitrogen). 16S rRNA was removed (Ribo-Zero rRNA removal kit (bacteria), Epicentre) all according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The resulting enriched microbial mRNA was prepared for sequencing using TruSeq Stranded mRNA Library Prep kit (Illumina). Subsequently, the library was sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq 2500 (101 bp paired end sequencing). 118 million paired-end reads were generated and are deposited under the ENA study PRJNA419191.

As part of the previous work, reads were partitioned with khmer, assembled with Velvet and proteins were predicted and annotated with Enzyme Commission (EC) numbers using MGKit with the Uniprot database.

Recovery of haplotypes with Gretel

To recover industrially relevant enzyme isoforms from the metatranscriptome, we focused our attention on hydrolases known to be found in the rumen [1]. The existing GFF was filtered to create a subset of all entries with Enzyme Commission (EC) numbers 3.2, 3.4 and 5.3. 3,419 regions from the GFF were identified and were cross-referenced to the new read alignment. Regions were filtered with the criteria:
• minimum coverage ≥ mean minimum coverage (19.7×)
• length ≥ new mean region length (615.7 nt)
• standard deviation of coverage ≤ average standard deviation of coverage over remaining regions (76.79×)

Filtering returned 259 possible candidates. Each sample’s original short-reads were re-aligned to the existing assembly with bowtie2 (--local) before merging all samples with samtools merge to create one canonical alignment of all reads (248,092,426 alignments). Gretel was individually executed over the 259 regions, using the aligned reads to recover haplotypes.

Haplotyping was possible at 257 loci. To empirically verify our haplotype predictions, forward and reverse primers were generated with pd5 [44] using the DNA sequences of the recovered haplotype as a template.

Each set of recovered haplotypes was sorted by descending likelihood. For each haplotype, a corresponding “flattened” consensus was calculated by flipping any base that disagreed with the base call of any haplotype with a better likelihood, to an ‘N’. pd5 [44] was executed on each consensus with the goal to find a forward and reverse primer that covered the most number of recovered haplotypes, whilst attempting to keep the selected template region as long as possible. Primers could be between 25 and 40 nt, with an annealing temperature between 55 and 65°C. For laboratory analysis, 10 regions were hand-selected (and ThermoFisher Custom Value Oligos were synthesized) considering the criteria:

• gene length
• primer template length
• number of predicted haplotypes
• distribution of haplotype likelihoods
• evidence of similar gene sequence in databases
• number of haplotypes that could be captured by generated primers

PCR Amplicons

Stock RNA from the 32 samples was pooled in equal concentration. Gene-specific reverse transcription for the ten chosen genes (Table 2) was performed with a Qiagen QuantiTect® Reverse Transcription Kit. Thus, each selected region had an individual corresponding cDNA library.

Gene-specific PCR (30 cycles, 65°C annealing temperature for 20s) was performed for each of the 10 genes with New England Biolabs Phusion® High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase, using the corresponding cDNA (1:10 dilution) and primer pair. Bands were excised following gel electrophoresis and DNA extracted with a Qiagen QIAquick® Gel Extraction Kit. PCR, gel electrophoresis and extraction were repeated to manufacture a sufficient number of amplicons for PacBio sequencing.

Four of the 10 sequences (G11, G31, G90 and G123) could be produced at the expected length and adequate amount for sequencing. Isolated DNA was verified via Sanger sequencing at the Translational Genomics Facility, Aberystwyth. G11 was contaminated with rRNA carryover from the reverse transcription and no haplotypes could be determined.

PacBio Sequencing

The remaining three amplicons (G31, G123 and G90) were sequenced via PacBio circular consensus sequencing (CCS) on the Sequel platform at the Centre for Genomic Research at Liverpool. Sequencing generated 10,510,553 subreads. CCS reads were constructed with pbccs (SMRTLink v6.0) with parameters --minReadScore=0.65 --minLength=100 --minPasses=20, yielding 149,003 consensus sequences. The CCS BAM is available from ENA via accession ERR3446841. The CCS reads were extracted as FASTQ with bamtools convert and aligned against the set of Gretel haplotypes with minimap2 (-ax map-pb --MD --secondary=no), thereby matching each CCS read to its closest Gretel haplotype.
Haplotype Verification

To determine how well the CCS reads supported the Gretel haplotypes, the alignments were parsed with our haplify_zmw.py script, available from our gretel-test repository. Each position along an aligned CCS read was compared to the Gretel haplotype, if that position was previously determined a SNP by gretel-snpper when the haplotypes were initially recovered. For each CCS read, the script counts the number of matches and mismatches (that is, the number of SNP positions on the CCS read that do and do not match the Gretel haplotype, respectively). Bases on a CCS read with a quality score below Q30 were masked to remove noise. Although circular consensus sequencing is expected to give a very high level of accuracy (we observe an average per-base Q-score of 92.1), the CCS reads contained indels when aligned to the Gretel haplotypes. These indels are unexpected as they do not appear in the corresponding Illumina data. For this reason, the parsing script also ignored any insertions with respect to the Gretel haplotype.

Hansel and Gretel reveals the landscape of a pangenome

As part of a previous study to explore ruminant methanogenesis, conducted by Shi et al. [45], the rumen of 10 rams was characterised through deep whole-genome metagenomic shotgun sequencing. Samples were sequenced with an Illumina HiSeq 2000 (2 × 150 bp), generating approximately 50 GB of reads per sample (1020 GB). Metagenomic reads for two samples of the sheep microbiome were downloaded from the European Nucleotide Archive (SRR873595 and SRR873610). 14 Hungate [46] reference sequences for R. flavefaciens were selected. The Hungate references were annotated as part of a now published work using CowPI [47], yielding a GFF of annotations for each of the reference genomes. 16,801 CDS regions were annotated across the 14 reference genomes (Supplementary Table 4).

Reads from both samples were aligned to each of the 14 chosen Hungate references separately with bowtie2 (--sensitive-local), producing 28 BAM files. The intuition behind allowing a single read to map to multiple references was to overcome the potential for some regions of the union of references to act as a sink (and soak up the majority of the reads). This allows a read to contribute evidence to haplotypes on more than one reference, but arguably is a fairer strategy than allowing a read to only contribute to a single region of a single genome. A total of 29,476,758 short reads aligned to the references.

Variant calling was conducted as before with gretel-snpper, generating a VCF file for every contig in each of the two samples alignment BAMs. Haplotyping was then carried out in parallel with Gretel on every combination of sample BAM and reference GFF annotation (n=33602).

For each GFF annotation, we pooled the corresponding haplotypes from the two different sample runs to create 16,801 bags of haplotypes. As Gretel does not yet provide automated decision making for quality control of haplotypes, we employed conservative quality control; where there were 4 or more haplotypes returned for a region, haplotypes with likelihoods lower than −1000 (the same arbitrary cutoff used to filter out the very worst HIV haplotypes in Supplementary Section 13) were discarded. From the remaining haplotypes the top quartile were selected for downstream analysis. Gretel produced 119,151 haplotypes for downstream analysis.

eggno-gmapper was used to confirm the reading frame and assign an EggNOG gene family (“NOG”) for each of the haplotype bags. To assess differences in variation observed between the haplotypes from different gene families in a manner that is robust to read coverage and underlying population size, we used the dN/dS ratio, typically used to quantify adaptive evolution between species [48]. For all regions with more than two haplotypes, pairwise dN/dS comparisons were performed by CRANN [49] (providing each region’s haplotype set as a FASTA to CRANN’s interactive shell via Menu Option 1, and calculating pairwise distances with default parameters via Menu Option 5). Ratios from all pairs were averaged for each of the 16,801 regions. Average dN/dS ratios were then grouped by their EggNOG gene family identifier (n=2135), and the average was calculated, allowing for comparison of
dN/dS ratios between haplotypes assigned to different EggNOG gene families. Additionally to infer the core and accessory genome, for each EggNOG gene family, we counted the number of references (out of 14) that featured at least one emapper annotation of that family.
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Figure 1: Boxplots summarising the proportion of variants on an input haplotype correctly recovered (y-axes) from groups of synthetic metahaplotypes by Gretel. Single boxplots present recoveries from a set of five metahaplotypes generated with some per-haplotype mutation rate (column facets), over 10 different synthetic read sets with varying read length (row facets) and per-haplotype read depth (colour fill). Each box-with-whiskers summarises the proportion of correctly recovered variants over the 250 best recovered haplotypes (yielded from 50 Gretel runs (5 metahaplome replicates × 10 read sets), each returning 5 best outputs). We demonstrate better haplotype recoveries can be achieved with longer reads and more dense coverage, as well as the limitations of recovery on data exhibiting fewer SNPs/hb. This figure may be used as a naive lookup table to assess potential recovery rates for one’s own data by estimating the level of variation, with the average read length and per-haplotype depth.
Figure 2: The boxplot summarises the percentage sequence identity (y-axis) of Gretel haplotypes recovered from each of the 814 gene sites, to five E. coli strains (column facets) known to exist in the mock community. Gretel was executed at 814 sites on an assembled mock metagenome, consisting of short-reads generated from five E. coli strain haplotypes, and 15 other genomes. The y-axis is truncated at 90%.
Figure 3: Three corresponding representations, (a) a set of aligned short read sequences, with called variants, (b) the actual Hansel structure where each possible pair of symbols (00, 01, 10, 11) has a matrix storing counts of occurrences of that ordered symbol pair between two genomic positions across all of the aligned reads, (c) a simple graph that can be constructed by considering the evidence provided by adjacent variants. Note this representation ignores evidence from non-adjacent pairs, which is overcome by the dynamic edge weighting of the Hansel data structure’s interface.

Figure 4: Considering only adjacent SNPs, one may create paths for which there was no actual observed evidence. Here, the reads \{0011, 0001, 0100\} do not support either of the results \{0000, 0101\}, but both are valid paths through a graph that permits edges between pairs of adjacent SNPs.
Figure 5: Boxplot describing the distribution of dN/dS ratios for EggNOG gene families that appear on a given number of *R. flavefaciens* references. Each box-and-whiskers shows the average dN/dS ratio for all haplotypes emitted from regions assigned by *emapper* to a particular gene family (x-axis), that appears on a given number of strain references for *R. flavefaciens* (y-axis). We can estimate core and accessory gene families by counting those that appear on fewer strains as accessory, and conversely those that appear on more strains as core. We observe a statistically significant difference between average dN/dS ratio between gene families on three or fewer strains and gene families on twelve or more strains.
Table 1: Gretel haplotypes and their corresponding PacBio circular consensus sequences (CCS). The haplotypes produced by Gretel for G31 had no perfectly matching PacBio sequences. The closest match for G31 had 3 mismatches.
Table 2: Annotations for the 10 genes that were selected from 259 possible candidates for in vitro verification of our Hansel and Gretel haplotype recovery framework. Three amplicons (G31, G90 and G123) could be generated in sufficient quality and quantity for sequencing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein Name</th>
<th>Assembly Contig</th>
<th>Forward Primer (5’→3’)</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Reverse Primer (5’→3’)</th>
<th>PCR Outcome</th>
<th>rDNA Contamination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P45796</td>
<td>Arabinoxylan arabinofuranohydrolase</td>
<td>CCC TGT TCC TCT ATA CTT CGC ATG ATG C</td>
<td>1251</td>
<td>ATT TCT CTT ATG CCT CCC AGG</td>
<td>Successful</td>
<td>No PCR Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q59219</td>
<td>Intracellular exo-alpha-L-arabinofuranohydrolase</td>
<td>GGA CAA GTA CAG CAT CAA GGT AAA CGG</td>
<td>1464</td>
<td>TCT CAA CGC CAC CGG TCT TAC C</td>
<td>Insufficient PCR Product</td>
<td>No PCR Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G90</td>
<td>Xylan 1,4-beta-xylosidase</td>
<td>CAG ATC GGT ATC GGC GGA TCA GAC C</td>
<td>1497</td>
<td>TAT ATG TTG CTC AGC AGT ACG AGC CTC TTA TG</td>
<td>Failed to amplify with Hi-Fi</td>
<td>No PCR Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G107</td>
<td>PII-typ e proteinase</td>
<td>CGC TCT TTC CAA CGT CGT ACA GCA C</td>
<td>1221</td>
<td>CGC TCT TTC CAA CGT CGT ACA GCA C</td>
<td>Insufficient PCR Product</td>
<td>No PCR Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G123</td>
<td>PII-typ e proteinase</td>
<td>TAT ATG TTG CTC AGC AGT ACG AGC CTC TTA TG</td>
<td>1197</td>
<td>TAT ATG TTG CTC AGC AGT ACG AGC CTC TTA TG</td>
<td>Insufficient PCR Product</td>
<td>No PCR Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G142</td>
<td>Proteolytic aspartic protease</td>
<td>CGC TCT TTC CAA CGT CGT ACA GCA C</td>
<td>1221</td>
<td>CGC TCT TTC CAA CGT CGT ACA GCA C</td>
<td>Insufficient PCR Product</td>
<td>No PCR Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G162</td>
<td>Protein ASPARTIC PROTEASE IN GUARD CELL</td>
<td>CAG CCA TCT GAA CCT CAA GGT GGA AAC</td>
<td>1497</td>
<td>CAG CCA TCT GAA CCT CAA GGT GGA AAC</td>
<td>No PCR Product</td>
<td>No PCR Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G251</td>
<td>Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase</td>
<td>CAG ATC GGT ATC GGC GGA TCA GAC C</td>
<td>1197</td>
<td>CAG ATC GGT ATC GGC GGA TCA GAC C</td>
<td>Insufficient PCR Product</td>
<td>No PCR Product</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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