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**A B S T R A C T**

Microsatellites are highly polymorphic markers which have been used in a wide range of genetic studies. In recent years, various sources of next-generation sequencing data have been used to develop new microsatellite loci, but compared with the more common shotgun genomic sequencing or transcriptome data, the potential utility of RAD-seq data for microsatellite ascertainment is comparatively under-used. In this study, we employed MiddRAD-seq data to develop polymorphic microsatellite loci for the endangered yew species *Taxus forinii*. Of 8,823,053 clean reads generated for ten individuals of a population, 94,851 (~1%) contained microsatellite motifs. These corresponded to 2993 unique loci, of which 526 (~18%) exhibited polymorphism. Of which, 237 were suitable for designing microsatellite primer pairs, and 128 loci were randomly selected for PCR validation and microsatellite screening. Out of the 128 primer pairs, 16 loci gave clear, reproducible patterns, and were then screened and characterized in 24 individuals from two populations. The total number of alleles per locus ranged from two to ten (mean = 4.875), and within-population expected heterozygosity from zero to 0.789 (mean = 0.530), indicating that these microsatellite loci will be useful for population genetics and speciation studies of *T. forinii*. This study represents one of few examples to mine polymorphic microsatellite loci from ddRAD data.

Copyright © 2017 Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Microsatellites (simple sequence repeats, SSR) are repeated motifs of 1–6 nucleotides which are found distributed throughout the genomes of eukaryotes (Jarne and Lagoda, 1996; Selkoe and Toonen, 2006). Compared with other PCR-based approaches such as RAPD, ISSR, and AFLP, SSRs represent a kind of cost-effective, neutral marker with the advantage of being codominant, genome wide, locus-specific and highly polymorphic (Li et al., 2002) SSRs have been widely used in genome mapping, parentage analysis, population genetics and phylogeography (Jarne and Lagoda, 1996; Selkoe and Toonen, 2006; Guichoux et al., 2011; Hodel et al., 2016). Traditionally, de novo SSR development for non-model species has involved construction of a genomic library followed by identifying SSR-containing clones by Sanger sequencing, which can be a tedious and costly process (Zalapa et al., 2012; Hodel et al., 2016). More recently, the development of SSR markers has been transformed with the advent of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology (Davey et al., 2011; Zalapa et al., 2012), offering the ability to develop numerous microsatellite loci based on large amounts of sequence data with a reduced cost and effort (Guichoux et al., 2011; Zalapa et al., 2012; Hodel et al., 2016).

Genome-wide sequence data, generated mainly by 454 or Illumina platforms, have been increasingly used in the fields of ecology and evolutionary biology (Taylor et al., 2014; Vera et al., 2016). Several NGS-based methods, including restriction site-associated DNA sequencing (RAD-seq), genotyping by sequencing (GBS), and...
specific length/locus amplified fragment sequencing (SLAF-seq), have been used for large-scale discovery of SNPs (Baird et al., 2008; Elshire et al., 2011; Bonatelli et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2015). A variant of RAD-seq, double digest restriction-site associated DNA sequencing technology (ddRAD-seq) is becoming an increasingly popular approach for SNP marker development and genotyping in animals (Peterson et al., 2012).

Although NGS-based technologies are becoming widely utilized for SNP discovery and genotyping, they still cannot replace SSRs completely in population genetic studies, particularly in studies with large sample sizes and their associated expensive costs. Additionally, it has been shown that the results of genetic structure obtained by SSRs generally mirror those by SNPs of genomic data based on NGS (Elbers et al., 2016; Jansson et al., 2016; Jeffries et al., 2016). Therefore, microsatellites still have a pivotal role to play in population genetic studies, even in the “genomic era” (Hodel et al., 2016). Recently, a modified ddRAD-seq (MiddRAD-seq) approach was developed for SNP discovery and genotyping in angiosperm plants, which is quicker and cheaper than conventional ddRAD, and has a potential to be used for plant population genetics, phylogenetics, phylogeography (Yang et al., 2016), as well as for microsatellite isolation. To date, though, there has only been one reported study using ddRAD to isolate microsatellite markers for plant species by 454 sequencing (Bonatelli et al., 2015). In the present study, we have used the MiddRAD approach to develop microsatellite markers for a species of Taxus (yew), which are notorious for their large and complicated genomes (Leitch et al., 2001).

The yews are Tertiary relic species which have high economic and medicinal value, and are particularly well-known as the source of the cancer-inhibitory alkaloid Paclitaxel (Taxol; Kingston and Newman, 2007; Gao et al., 2007; Poudel et al., 2013). Several species of yew are listed as endangered by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) (https://www.iucn.org/), and all Taxus species in China were listed as first-class protection wild species in 1999 (http://www.forestry.gov.cn/portal/main/s/3094/minglu1.htm). Although several sets of microsatellite primers have been developed for different Taxus species using traditional methods (e.g. Dubreuil et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2009), cross-species amplification success has generally been very low (see Liu et al., 2011a). Thus, more species-specific polymorphic microsatellite loci of yews are needed to best facilitate further conservation genetic and evolutionary biological analyses.

**Taxus florinii** Spjut was described as a new species by Spjut (2007), supported by genetic and morphological evidence (Gao et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2011b; 2013; Möller et al., 2013). The species is confined to Southwestern China, with a narrow distribution in northwestern Yunnan and southwestern Sichuan (Liu et al., 2013; Möller et al., 2013). According to the categories and criteria of the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species, the species should be classified as critically endangered due to sharp declines in natural populations over the past two decades. There are no polymorphic microsatellite markers reported for this endangered tree species so far, which hinders conservation genetic analysis and protection management. In this study, we aimed to develop and validate polymorphic microsatellite loci using the MiddRAD method for *T. florinii*.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant material and DNA extraction

Ten individuals from *T. florinii* population TFP03 (Laping County, Yunnan, China, 25°91’N, 99°42’E) were used to build a MiddRAD library. In addition, twelve individuals of this population and twelve from population TFP04 (Yulong xueshan, Yulong county, Yunnan, China, 27°14’N, 100°23’E) were selected for validating microsatellite polymorphism. Genomic DNA was extracted from silica-gel dried leaves using a modified CTAB method (Liu and Gao, 2011). The quality and concentration of DNA were assessed on 1% agarose gels and using a NanoDrop® ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA).

2.2. MiddRAD library preparation and NGS data processing

The MiddRAD library preparation protocol of Yang et al. (2016) was followed. Briefly, all DNA samples were double-digested with enzymes Avall (NEB, Cat#: R0153S) + MspI (NEB, Cat#: R0106S). Barcoded adapters were annealed and ligated. Digested and ligated DNAs from the ten samples were pooled together and fragments of 600–700 base pairs (bp) retrieved from agarose gel. The fragments were enriched by PCR amplification using Phusion Master Mix with HF Buffer (NEB, Cat#: M0531S). Finally, the PCR products were purified with the Qiaquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Cat#: 28106) and quantified with the Qubit® dsDNA High Sensitivity (HS) Assay kit (Invitrogen, Cat#: Q32851). Mixed samples were sequenced in a single lane of Illumina HiSeq X Ten (llumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) (150 bp, double-end). We used the script process_radtags from Stacks v1.39 (Catchen et al., 2013) to check the barcode of raw reads, to demultiplex the data and to discard any reads for which scores dropped below 90% probability of being correct (http://catchenlab.life.illinois.edu/stacks/). The software FASTQC (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) was employed to assess the sequencing quality.

2.3. Microsatellites mining and primer design

Because the Illumina sequence reads are relatively short and numerous, we used the ustacks script in Stacks v1.39 to create consensus sequences for each individual. These consensus sequences were obtained from aligning a set of short-read sequences into exactly-matching stacks. Next, the pipe1.pl scripts in QDD_v3 (Meglecz et al., 2010) were used to detect the sequences including microsatellites with di-to hexanucleotide motifs. The sequences with microsatellites of the ten individuals sequenced were aligned using the QDD pipe2.pl script. This step was used to identify polymorphic microsatellite loci which were then used to design primers. Polymorphic microsatellites were defined as polymorphisms present in the consensus sequences of the ten individuals from the TFP03 population.

The Perl script MicroSatellite (MISA, http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/misa/) was used to screen di-to hexanucleotide motifs. The lowest thresholds of repeats for dinucleotides and trinucleotides were set to six, and others (tetra-, penta- and hexanucleotides) were set to three. Two neighboring SSRs with maximum interruption less than 100 bp were considered as one compound microsatellite. The program Primer v3 was used to design SSR primers (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/). The expected products ranged from 100 to 280 bp. The primer size ranged from 18 to 23 bp with the optimal size of 20 bp. The optimum GC content was 50%, and the optimum melting temperature was 60 °C (ranged from 57 °C to 62 °C).

2.4. PCR validation

A total of 128 SSR primer pairs were selected for preliminary PCR screening with three individuals from population TFP03. Only the primer pairs which successfully amplified and produced the appropriate size with clear peaks were used for further polymorphism verification using the ten samples for MiddRAD-seq.
Finally, the selected validated microsatellite loci were characterized in 24 samples from two populations of *T. florinii*. The PCR procedure included two rounds. Forward primers were modified with the addition of an universal labeled M13 tail (TGTAAAACGACGGCCAGT; Schuelke, 2000), and the first round was carried out using the modified forward primer and unmodified reverse primer. Using 1 μL PCR product from the first round as template, the second round was carried out using a fluorescently-labeled M13 primer and the first round as template, the second round amplification was carried out on a Veriti® 96-Well Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The PCR amplification conditions were as follows: an initial denaturation for 3 min at 95 °C, followed by 37 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing temperature at 58 °C14°C for 4 min. The products were separated and visualized using an ABI3730 XL automated DNA fluorescent sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

### 2.5. Data analysis

The SSR data were visualized and quantified using allele calling implemented in GENEMARKER v2.2.0 (SoftGenetics, State College, PA, USA). Population genetic statistics and Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium were calculated with GENALEX v6.41 (Peakall and Smouse, 2006). GENEPop v4.0 was used to detect linkage disequilibrium between pairs of loci (Rousset, 2008).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. NGS MiddRAD dataset

The raw sequence data from the ten individuals of population TFP03 contained 214,968,105 reads, with an average size of 141 bp, of which 425,146 were removed due to low quality. After aligning and comparing using ustacks, the clean data reduced to 8,823,053 reads (Table 1).

#### 3.2. The composition and characteristics of microsatellite loci

We obtained 2993 consensus sequences containing microsatellites by analyzing the MiddRAD data of ten individuals. A total of 3053 microsatellites were identified from these sequences with dinucleotides being the most abundant repeat motifs (41.87%, 1253 sequences), followed by tetranucleotides (706 sequences),

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total no. of filtered reads</th>
<th>214,968,105</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total no. of unique reads (reduced by ustacks)</td>
<td>8,823,053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of reads containing microsatellites</td>
<td>94,851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of consensus sequences among individuals containing microsatellites</td>
<td>2993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of consensus sequences among individuals containing polymorphic microsatellites</td>
<td>526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer pairs designed</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer pairs screened</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsatellites selected for characterization</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>GenBank accession no.</th>
<th>Repeat motif</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5′–3′)</th>
<th>To (°C)</th>
<th>Size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gr907</td>
<td>KY704069</td>
<td>(CTT)&lt;sub&gt;3–7&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>GCCACCGCACCACGCTTTGAGTC</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>113–116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr1114</td>
<td>KY704070</td>
<td>(TG)&lt;sub&gt;1–10&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>ACCACCTACCAATTTATAAAATGGT</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>106–112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr1177</td>
<td>KY704071</td>
<td>(AT)&lt;sub&gt;3–9&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>CGACCAACATGCTGCTTTAG</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>109–111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr1258</td>
<td>KY704072</td>
<td>(TA)&lt;sub&gt;3–10&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>GCCACCCCATTGTTTCCTCAAG</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>115–117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr1439</td>
<td>KY704073</td>
<td>(TC)&lt;sub&gt;1–8&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>GTACCATACCACTGCCCGTCG</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>123–125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr2591</td>
<td>KY704074</td>
<td>(TC)&lt;sub&gt;3–7&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>GCCCTTACAGCGGATGGA</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>111–113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr2602</td>
<td>KY704075</td>
<td>(AT)&lt;sub&gt;3–9&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>GTCTACTATGACTGACACCA</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>137–139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr2680</td>
<td>KY704076</td>
<td>(TA)&lt;sub&gt;3–9&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>CCCTGTTTCAGAAGTTCAAATTC</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>126–128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr4557</td>
<td>KY704077</td>
<td>(CATAC)&lt;sub&gt;1–11&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>CGCAATAGACGGTGACCGGCT</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>106–118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr4772</td>
<td>KY704078</td>
<td>(GAGAGG)&lt;sub&gt;5–6&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>GGACCGGCGGGTGAGGATT</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>108–114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr6458</td>
<td>KY704079</td>
<td>(CTC)&lt;sub&gt;1–11&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>GCTGTTGACAAACACCCCT</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>119–125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr1031</td>
<td>KY704080</td>
<td>(GAGA)&lt;sub&gt;1–8&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>CGACTTGGCTCCGGCGTCGA</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>108–118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr5502</td>
<td>KY704081</td>
<td>(AGATA)&lt;sub&gt;3–6&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>CCTGTTGCGGAGGGAAGTATG</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>104–109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr2164</td>
<td>KY704082</td>
<td>(TTAG)&lt;sub&gt;3–6&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>GCTGCTGACTCCGGGACAG</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>130–134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr1415</td>
<td>KY704083</td>
<td>(CTG)&lt;sub&gt;1–7&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>ATAAACAAACTCCGGGCGG</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>127–130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr5371</td>
<td>KY704084</td>
<td>(AGA)&lt;sub&gt;17–19&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>CCCACACACACACACACCC</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>136–142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
pentanucleotides (439 sequences) and trinucleotides (394 sequences), while hexanucleotides represented the lowest frequency motifs (8.72%), found in only 261 sequences (Fig. 1). The dominant dinucleotide motifs were AG/CT repeats (40.15%) and the least common repeat was CG/CG (1.72%). Of the trinucleotides, the most frequent motifs were AGG/CCT repeats (19.80%), followed by AAG/CTT (19.54%); ACT/AGT had the lowest frequency (1.27%) (Fig. 2).

3.3. PCR validation of SSR primers

Among the 2993 consensus sequences containing microsatellites, a total of 526 contained polymorphic microsatellites in the ten individuals of the population TFP03 (Fig. 3). Of these, 237 were suitable for microsatellite primer design (listed in Appendix A.1 Supporting information).

A total of 128 primer pairs were randomly selected from the 237 designed primers for evaluating PCR amplification efficiency and polymorphism. Of the primers tested, 110 primers were excluded from further evaluation: 37 primers did not generate clear microsatellite peaks or failed to be amplified; 69 primers had a PCR amplification success rate of less than 80%; and according to the results of QDD pipe2.pl, four primers with length variations were not located in their microsatellite regions. The remaining 18 primers exhibited high amplification success, but two primer pairs (gr6734 and gr1679) did not generate the expected polymorphisms, with one (gr1679) being monomorphic in all 24 samples. Finally, 16 ideal polymorphic microsatellites were screened and characterized in 24 samples from two populations of *T. florinii*.

Of the 16 polymorphic SSR loci, seven were dinucleotides, with the remainder being trinucleotides (four), tetranucleotides (one), pentanucleotides (two) and hexanucleotides (two). All the 16 sequences have been uploaded to GenBank (KY704069–KY704084) (Table 3). The PCR amplification success rate for each locus ranged from 92% to 100%, and the number of alleles per locus (N_a) ranged from two to ten, with an average of 4.875 for the two studied populations (Table 3). The observed heterozygosity (H_o) and expected heterozygosity (H_e) varied from 0.083 to 1.000 (mean 0.578) and 0.080 to 0.778 (average 0.526) in population TFP03, and from zero to 1.000 (mean 0.547) and zero to 0.789 (average 0.534) in population TFP04, respectively. Three out of the 16 microsatellite loci (gr2662 in Population TFP03, gr6458 in Population TFP04 and gr4557 in both populations) exhibited significant deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (Table 3). No significant pairwise linkage disequilibrium was detected between pairs of loci.

4. Discussion

In the present study, a total of 16 polymorphic microsatellite loci were validated and characterized for *T. florinii* using MiddRAD data. The levels of diversity observed at these microsatellite loci, measured as the number of alleles per locus (N_a), observed heterozygosity (H_o) and expected heterozygosity (H_e), are similar to those in previous studies (Dubreuil et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2011a). Two microsatellite loci significantly deviated from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, most likely due to the presence of null alleles. The 16 novel polymorphic microsatellite loci will be very useful for population genetics studies of the endangered species *T. florinii*. Comparisons between the results generated from SSRs and MiddRAD-seq will be very important for molecular marker selection in conservation genetics studies of yews in the future.

This is the first study to develop microsatellite loci using MiddRAD data. The results here indicate that MiddRAD technology can...
offer great potential as an approach to isolate microsatellite markers. A total of 2993 consensus sequences were found containing microsatellites, which included various types of simple sequence repeat motifs, 526 (17.6%) of which were polymorphic among the ten individuals used in the ascertainment process. The relatively quick and simple ddRAD approach offers greater efficiency compared to traditional methods (e.g. Dubrueil et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2009), not only in terms of the isolation of the microsatellites themselves, but also in the identification of polymorphic loci, which traditionally would require additional fragment analysis (Fig. 3). This approach also allows discrimination between length polymorphism due to changes in repeat number within the microsatellite motif, as opposed to insertions and/or deletions in the flanking regions (Angers and Bernatchez, 1997; Anderson et al., 2000). Thus, the MiddRAD approach offers a quick, alternative method to develop and characterize microsatellite markers, particularly for non-model species.

Of the 128 primers tested, the PCR amplification success rate of 69 primers was less than 80%. This is probably due to the poor quality of primers. The short read lengths associated with the Illumina platform (average 141 bp) limits the amount of flanking sequence available for optimal primer design, which may be overcome to an extent by generating longer sequences. Therefore, the longer paired-end reads of the Illumina MiSeq sequencing platform (2 × 300 bp) or the Roche 454 platform (350–600 bp) may offer greater potential in designing more optimal microsatellite primer pairs (Wei et al., 2014). A reference genome can provide homologous sequences upstream and downstream, which may also

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>N_A</th>
<th>H_O</th>
<th>H_E</th>
<th>PCR success</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gr907</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>0.413</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr1114</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr1177</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr1258</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr1439</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr2591</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr2662</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>0.559*</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr2680</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>0.684</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr4557</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.778*</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr4772</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.656</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr6458</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr1031</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr5502</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr2164</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr4151</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gr5371</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>3.938</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>0.547</td>
<td>0.534</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of individuals (N), number of alleles (N_A), and observed (H_O), expected heterozygosity (H_E), and PCR success. Total N_A indicates total number of alleles of the two populations.

* Indicates significant departure from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (P < 0.05).
promote the design efficiency of the microsatellite primers. For example, powerful software has been developed for microsatellite screening and primer design of genome-scale data, such as GMATA (Wang and Wang, 2016).

5. Conclusions

The MiDdRAD data offers an effective approach to develop and characterize microsatellite markers for non-model species. The 16 novel polymorphic microsatellite loci for T. florinii characterized based on MiDdRAD-seq data will be important for investigating genetic diversity and population structure, and these results in turn will provide crucial information for conservation and management of this endangered yew tree.
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