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Abstract
The genus *Parapterulicium* was first introduced to accommodate two Brazilian species of coralloid fungi with affinities to Pterulaceae (Agaricales). Despite the coralloid habit and the presence of skeletal hyphae, other features, notably the presence of gloeocystidia, dichophyses and papillate hyphal ends, differentiate this genus from Pterulaceae *sensu stricto*. Fieldwork in Brazil resulted in the rediscovery of two coralloid fungi identifiable as *Parapterulicium*, the first verified collections of this genus since Corner’s original work in the 1950s. Molecular phylogenetic analyses of nrITS and nrLSU sequences from these modern specimens revealed affinities with the /peniophorales clade in the Russulales, rather than Pterulaceae. The presence of distinctive hyphal elements, homologous to the defining features of /peniophorales, is consistent with the phylogenetic evidence and thus clearly distinguished *Parapterulicium* and its type species *P. subarbusculum* from Pterulaceae, placing this genus within /peniophorales. *Parapterulicium* was also found to be polyphyletic so *Baltazaria* gen. nov. is proposed to accommodate *P. octopodites*, *Scytinostroma galactinum*, *S. neogalactinum* and *S. eurasiaticogalactinum* also within /peniophorales.
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Introduction

Pterulaceae Corner is a diverse but poorly known family of mostly tropical coralloid fungi within order Agaricales Underw. (Dentinger and McLaughlin 2006), recognised mainly by their coralloid/filiform basidiomes with a dimitic hyphal structure (Corner 1952a, 1952b, 1957, 1970).

To date, only three of the five Pterulaceae genera have been included in molecular phylogenetic analyses, viz. *Pterula* Fr., *Deflexula* Corner and *Pterulicium* Corner (Dentinger and McLaughlin 2006, Dentinger et al. 2009). The remaining genera, *Parapterulicium* Corner and *Allantula* Corner, are known only from a few scant specimens collected by Corner as the basis of his taxonomic proposal; these are poorly preserved and impractical for molecular studies. *Allantula* (meaning ‘sausage’ in ancient Greek), characterised by decumbent, intercalary swellings resembling minute sausages, is known only from the type specimen (Corner 1952a) and has not been recollected despite several recent attempts at the type locality (Parque Nacional da Tijuca, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) by the present authors. *Parapterulicium* was described for two coralloid species from Brazil that resembled Pterulaceae in their filiform statues and dimitic hyphae, but differed in the presence of gloeocystidia and dichophyses.

Corner (1952a) suggested some similarity of *Parapterulicium* to *Lachnocladium* Lév. based on the shared features of dichophyses and gloeocystidia combined with the lack of clamps. However, due to the small filiform basidiomes, branching pattern, colourless dimitic hyphae and corticioid patch, Corner referred the genus to Pterulaceae instead of Lachnocladiaceae. Corner’s emphasis of skeletal hyphae as a synapomorphy for Pterulaceae has been shown previously to be incorrect with the reclassification of *Actinceps* Berk. & Broome (=*Dimorphocystis*) (Dentinger and McLaughlin 2006), although this feature remains a defining feature of Pterulaceae.

During recent field expeditions in four Brazilian states, two coralloid fungi morphologically assignable to *Parapterulicium* spp. were collected, providing fresh material for molecular phylogenetic analysis. Here we present results that show *Parapterulicium* is paraphyletic and evolutionarily related to Peniophoraceae Lotsy and Lachnocladiaceae D.A. Reid in the Russulales Kreisel ex P.M. Kirk, P.F. Cannon & J.C. David, rather than Pterulaceae in the Agaricales. We propose taxonomic changes precipitated by these results and provide a re-evaluation of distinctive morphological features, such as variations in skeletal hyphae that may be considered phylogenetically informative in light of this discovery.

Methods

Collections and morphological observations

The new collections of *Parapterulicium* are deposited at FLOR, INPA and RB. Herbarium acronyms follow Index Herbariorum (Thiers continuously updated). Macroscopic analyses were conducted following the traditional methods of Largent (1986).
Microscopic analyses were adapted from Largent et al. (1977) for pterulaceous fungi, where, instead of sectioning the basidiomes with a razor, part of the fungus was dissected with the aid of two small diameter needles. The dissections were mounted in 5% KOH, H2O, Melzer’s reagent, Congo red or 1% phloxine and then observed with an Olympus CX21 (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) light microscope equipped with 10x, 40x and 100x objective lenses, the latter being used with immersion oil. Macro- and microscopic illustrations were based on pictures taken in the field with a Nikon D90 DSLR camera (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) and on photos taken during microscopic observations. The colour codes follow the Munsell Soil Color Charts (Munsell 1975). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were obtained using a Hitachi S-4700 field emission scanning electron microscope (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) and the air-dried specimens were directly stuck on the carbon tab on the stubs without any treatment. The stubs were coated with gold and platinum and examined and photographed at 5 kV.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification, cloning and sequencing

DNA was extracted from dried basidiomes by first grinding with a mortar and pestle in the presence of liquid nitrogen, followed by purification using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Partial sequences of the nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacers (nrITS) and nuclear ribosomal large subunit (nrLSU) were amplified by PCR using the primer pairs ITS8F-ITS6R (Dentinger et al. 2010) and LR0R-LR7 (Vilgalys and Hester 1990), respectively and following the cycling conditions in the original publications. PCR products were purified using 2 units of Exonuclease I (Thermo Fisher Scientifics) and 1 U FastAP Thermosensitive Alkaline Phosphatase (Thermo Fisher Scientifics) per 1 µl of PCR product, incubated at 37 °C for 15 min, followed by denaturing at 85 °C for 15 min. The samples were then sent for Sanger sequencing at the IBERS Aberystwyth Translational Genomics Facility. Sequences and chromatograms were checked, assembled and edited using GENEIOUS 10.0.2 (Kearse et al. 2012). Samples presenting indels were cloned using pGEM-T Easy Vector Systems (Promega) into Subcloning Efficiency DH5α Competent Cells (Invitrogen). Five clones from each PCR were then amplified and sequenced as above. The sequences generated in this study have been submitted to GenBank (Table 1).

Phylogenetic analysis

Prior to the inclusion in the datasets, the clones were aligned to generate one or two consensus sequences of each cloned species. Substitutions were replaced by the respective ambiguous code and, in the cases where indels were found, two different sequences were generated. To assess the global phylogenetic position of *Parapterulicium* within Agaricomycetidae, a dataset containing the nrLSU sequences of 886 Agaricomycetidae taxa was created by adding the sequences generated in this study to the dataset of Moncalvo...
Table 1. Species from clade /peniophorales and their GenBank accession numbers of ITS and nrLSU sequences. Newly generated sequences are shown in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa</th>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>GenBank Accession no.</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Asterostroma cervicolor</em></td>
<td>KHL9239</td>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>AF506408</td>
<td>AF506408 Larsson and Larsson (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Asterostroma macrosporum</em></td>
<td>TMI 25697</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>NR119394</td>
<td>– Suhara et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Asterostroma muscicola</em></td>
<td>TMI 25860</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>AB439551</td>
<td>AB439551 Suhara et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Baltazaria eurasiaticogalactina</em></td>
<td>CBS 666.84</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>AY293211 Binder et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Baltazaria galactina</em></td>
<td>NH4863</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>AF506466</td>
<td>AF506466 Larsson and Larsson (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Baltazaria neogalactina</em></td>
<td>CBS 758.86</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>– Unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Baltazaria octopodites</em></td>
<td>FLOR 56442</td>
<td>São Paulo – Brazil</td>
<td>MH260024</td>
<td>MH260043 MH260044 MH260045 MH260046 This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Baltazaria octopodites</em></td>
<td>FLOR 56449</td>
<td>São Paulo – Brazil</td>
<td>MH260025</td>
<td>MH260047 This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Baltazaria octopodites</em></td>
<td>FLOR 56460</td>
<td>Santa Catarina – Brazil</td>
<td>MH260032</td>
<td>MH260050 This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Baltazaria octopodites</em></td>
<td>FLOR 63715</td>
<td>Paraná – Brazil</td>
<td>MH260042</td>
<td>MH260060 This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Baltazaria octopodites</em></td>
<td>INPA 280140</td>
<td>Amazonas – Brazil</td>
<td>MH260038</td>
<td>MH260056 MH260057 MH260058 MH260044 MH260045 MH260046 This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Confortobasidium olivaceolateral</em></td>
<td>FP90196</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>AF511648</td>
<td>AF511648 Larsson and Larsson (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dendrophora albobadia</em></td>
<td>TDeAB1029</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>AF119522</td>
<td>AF119522 Hsiau and Harrington (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dichostereum durum</em></td>
<td>FG1985</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>AF506429</td>
<td>AF506429 Larsson and Larsson (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dichostereum effuscatum</em></td>
<td>GG930915</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>AF506390</td>
<td>AF506390 Larsson and Larsson (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dichostereum granulosum</em></td>
<td>NH7137/696</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>AF506391</td>
<td>AF506391 Larsson and Larsson (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dichostereum pallescens</em></td>
<td>NH7046/673</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>AF506392</td>
<td>AF506392 Larsson and Larsson (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Duportella lassa</em></td>
<td>SP6129</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>KJ509191</td>
<td>KJ509191 Spirin and Kout (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Entomocorticium sp.</em></td>
<td>FL_19</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>KJ620518</td>
<td>KJ620518 Bracewell and Six (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gloeiothele lanellosa</em></td>
<td>CBS404.83</td>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>AF506487</td>
<td>AF506487 Larsson and Larsson (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gloeiothele torrendii</em></td>
<td>JB18615</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>AF506455</td>
<td>AF506455 Larsson and Larsson (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lachnocladiaceae</em></td>
<td>S1PMB7</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>AB365531</td>
<td>AB365531 Osono et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lachnocladiaceae</em></td>
<td>S335WS151</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>AB365532</td>
<td>AB365532 Osono et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
et al. (2002), as adapted by Dentinger and McLaughlin (2006). The analyses of this dataset demonstrated the placement of *Parapterulicium* within the Russulales. See Suppl. material 1: Agaricomycetidae analysis, for details and results of these analyses.

A more focused dataset for higher resolution phylogenetic analysis was created by removing duplicate species from the Russulales dataset of Chen et al. (2016) and adding the new sequences generated in this study alongside 29 GenBank sequences and one from CBS-KNAW database to represent all currently recognised families of Russulales, as well as all the genera of Lachnocladiaceae and Peniophoraceae with sequences available. Four sequences of *Sistostrema* Schumach. were used as outgroup. The Russulales dataset contained 135 sequences and was divided in four partitions: ITS1, 5.8S, ITS2 and nrLSU. A list of accession numbers of the sequences added to Chen et al. (2016) dataset is presented in Table 1; the complete list can be found in Suppl. material 1: SuppTable 1 and in Dentinger and McLaughlin (2006) for the Agaricomycetidae dataset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa</th>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>GenBank Accession no.</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>nrITS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lachnocladium cf. brasiliense</em></td>
<td>CALD 161213-1</td>
<td>Espírito Santo – Brazil</td>
<td>MH260037 MH260055</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KM 57848</td>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>MH260034 MH260052</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lachnocladium schwefinfurthianum</em></td>
<td>KM 49740</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>MH260033 MH260051</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lachnocladium sp.</em></td>
<td>KHL10556</td>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>AF506461 AF506461</td>
<td>Larsson and Larsson (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BK171002-23</td>
<td>Belize</td>
<td>DQ154110 DQ154110</td>
<td>Unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Metulodontia nivea</em></td>
<td>NH13108</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>AF506423 AF506423</td>
<td>Larsson and Larsson (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Parapterulicium subarbusculum</em></td>
<td>FLOR 56456</td>
<td>Rio de Janeiro – Brazil</td>
<td>MH260026 MH260048</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Parapterulicium subarbusculum</em></td>
<td>FLOR 56459</td>
<td>Rio de Janeiro – Brazil</td>
<td>MH260027 MH260028 MH260029 MH260030 MH260031</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Peniophora incarnata</em></td>
<td>NH10271</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>AF506425 AF506425</td>
<td>Larsson and Larsson (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Peniophora nuda</em></td>
<td>FPL4756</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>AF287880 AF287880</td>
<td>Hibbett et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Scytinostroma alatum</em></td>
<td>CBS 762.81</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>AF393075 Binder and Hibbett (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Scytinostroma caudisporum</em></td>
<td>CBS 746.86</td>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>AY293210 Binder et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Scytinostroma portentosum</em></td>
<td>EL11-99</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>AF506470 AF506470</td>
<td>Larsson and Larsson (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Vararia insolita</em></td>
<td>CBS 667.81</td>
<td>Ivory Coast</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>AF518665 Hibbett and Binder (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Vararia investiens</em></td>
<td>TAA161422</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>AF506484 AF506484</td>
<td>Larsson and Larsson (2003)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The ITS1 and ITS2 datasets were aligned using MAFFT v7.311 (Katoh and Standley 2013) using the E-INS-i algorithm and the 5.8S and nrLSU datasets were aligned using the L-INS-i algorithm in MAFFT. The alignments were examined and adjusted manually using MEGA 7 (Kumar et al. 2016) and trimmed to remove uneven ends.

The best-fit evolutionary models were estimated for each partition separately using JMODELTEST v2.1.3 (Darriba et al. 2012; Guindon and Gascuel 2003) following the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). Bayesian Inference (BI) under the best-fit models was implemented using MRBAYES v3.2 (Ronquist et al. 2012) with two independent runs, each one with four chains and starting from random trees. Chains were run for 10^7 generations with tree sampling every 1000 generations. The burn-in was set to 25% and the remaining trees were used to calculate a 50% majority consensus tree and Bayesian Posterior Probability (BPP). The convergence of the runs was assessed on TRACER v1.7 (Rambaut et al. 2018) to ensure the potential scale reduction factors (PSRF) neared 1.0 and the effective sample size values (ESS) were sufficiently large.

Maximum-likelihood analysis was performed with IQTREE v1.6.3.b (Nguyen et al. 2015). The best-fit evolutionary models for this analysis were estimated by the built-in ModelFinder (option -m MF+MERGE) allowing the partitions to share the same set of branch lengths but with their own evolution rate (-spp option) (Chernomor et al. 2016; Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017). Branch support was assessed with 1000 replicates of ultrafast bootstrapping (Hoang et al. 2018).

Nodes with BPP ≥0.95 and/or BS ≥75 were considered strongly supported.

Alignments and phylogenetic trees are deposited in Treebase (ID: 22642).

Results

Phylogenetic analysis

A total of 37 sequences were generated in this study (19 nrITS and 18 nrLSU). The final alignment consisted of 135 sequences with 2295 characters. The BI analysis converged all runs as indicated by the effective sample sizes (ESS) of all parameters above 2000 and the potential scale reduction factors (PSRF) equal 1.000 for all the parameters. The two Parapterulicium species were placed with strong support into /peniophorales sensu Larsson and Larsson (2003) as shown in the Russulales tree (Fig. 1).

The clade /peniophorales recovered in the Russulales tree and the genera which it comprises are consistent with the neighbour-joining analyses of Larsson and Larsson (2003). However, the ML tree presented here shows better resolution of the sub-clades.

Five main clades highlighted in Fig. 1 are /lachnocladiaceae (previously /asterostromataceae), Baltazararia, /varariaceae, /peniophoraceae and /metulodontia.

Clade /lachnocladiaceae (BS=99; BPP=1)
Lachnocladium formed a well-supported clade with Scytinostroma, Vesiculomyces E. Hagstr., Gloiothele Bres., Asterostroma Massee, Vararia ocholeuca (Bourdot & Galzin)
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Donk, *Scytinostroma ochroleucum* Donk, *Scytinostroma odoratum* (Fr.) Donk and *Baltazaria* gen. nov. (BS=99; BPP=1).

*Baltazaria* (BS=92; BPP=1)

This clade represents the newly proposed genus (see below). It contains the sequences of *P. octopodites*, *S. eurasiaticogalactinum*, *S. neogalactinum* and *S. galactinum*. The presence of *P. octopodites* here rendered *Parapterulicium* paraphyletic necessitating reclassification.

Clade /varariaceae (BS=75; BPP=0.98)

This clade includes *Parapterulicium subarbusculum*, *Dichostereum* Pilát and *Vararia* P. Karst. The inclusion of *Parapterulicium* sequences enhanced support for this clade, which was also recovered by Binder et al. (2005). The monophyly of *Dichostereum* typified by *D. durum* (Bourdot & Galzin) Pilát is strongly supported (BS=98; BPP=1). However, *Vararia* was rendered paraphyletic and will require a more thorough investigation to resolve its classification.

*Parapterulicium subarbusculum*, the type species of the genus, was nested within a strongly supported clade, which also contains *Vararia insolita* Boidin & Lanq. (BS=98; BPP=0.99). The reclassification of *Vararia insolita* may be warranted if future data support its placement here. *Parapterulicium subarbusculum* is also clustered with environmental sequences derived from subtropical leaf litter in Thailand (Osono et al. 2009). *Parapterulicium* spp. are not known outside of South America, but this suggests this species may be more widespread in subtropical and tropical regions than presently acknowledged.

Clade /peniophoraceae. (BS=100; BPP=1)

The clade /peniophoraceae includes *Peniophora*, *Duportella* Pat., *Dendrophora* (Parmasto) Chamuris and *Entomocorticium* H.S. Whitney, Bandoni & Oberw. These genera require special attention for detailed morphological and molecular studies to resolve the paraphyly of *Peniophora*, by either proposing new genera or synonymising *Dendrophora* and *Entomocorticium*. In all analyses performed in this study, there was no clear resolution for this group.

Clade /metulodontia (BS=95; BPP=1)

The clade contains *Metulodontia* Parmasto and *Confertobasidium* Jülich. Following Larsson and Larsson (2003), this well supported clade was recovered in all analyses performed.

**Taxonomy**

*Parapterulicium subarbusculum* Corner, Ann. Bot., 16: 288 (1952)

**Fig. 2**

**Description.** Basidiomes coralloid/filiform, up to 35 mm high, branched, erect, monoxial with adventitious branches, yellow (10YR 8/6), solitary or gregarious. Stipe up
to 13 × 0.3–0.7 mm, glabrous, concolorous with the rest of the basidiomes, attached to a small resupinate base up to 3 mm wide. Branches up to 1.3 × 0.2 mm, tapering upwards, rarely with branchlets.

Habitat: On dead twigs, petioles, leaves or seeds in the forest.

Hyphal system dimitic. Generative hyphae up to 7 µm wide thin-walled, without clamps. Skeletal hyphae 2–7 µm wide, thick-walled (up to 1.3 µm), rarely branched. Abundant dextrinoid dichophyses, up to 30 µm wide, slightly thick-walled (0.5–1 µm), branching with filiform ends, tips less than 0.5 µm wide.

Resupinate patch not well-developed in the studied material but with abundant dichophyses.

Basidia not observed.

Gloeocystidia up to 65 µm long, clavate to lanceolate/subulate, thin-walled, with numerous internal droplets, IKI-.

Basidiospores (12–)13.4–16.8(–17) × 3–3.5 µm (n = 19), hyaline, smooth, ellipsoidal, subfusiform, apex obtuse, base acute with small apiculus (0.3 µm), thin-walled and slightly amyloid, scarce in all the collected samples.


**Distribution.** Brazil. Rio de Janeiro: Rio de Janeiro (Corner 1952a, Type)

**Notes.** This species is recognised in the field by its characteristic resupinate disc at the base of the stipe (Fig. 2b, c). Corner (1952a) described *P. subarbusculum* from a single specimen collected in November 1948 on Corcovado in Rio de Janeiro and, based on its coralloid habit and dimitic hyphal system, placed the genus in Pterulaceae. The presence of gloeocystidia, slightly amyloid spores and dextrinoid dichophyses corroborates its placement in Russulales. It appears to be relatively common, though apparently overlooked.

*Baltazaria* C.A. Leal-Dutra, Dentinger & G.W. Griff. gen. nov.
Mycobank No: MB825233

**Etymology.** In honour of Dr. Juliano Marcon Baltazar, Brazilian mycologist and authority on neotropical corticioid fungi.

**Type species.** Baltazaria galactina (Fr.) C.A. Leal-Dutra, Dentinger & G.W. Griff.

**Diagnosis.** Basidiomes corticioid, adherent to effused, coriaceous/membranaceous when fresh, hard when dry, usually white, cream or pale ochreous. Context densely homogeneous with thick-walled and dextrinoid skeletal-binding hyphae, sometimes bearing rows of short papillae or skeletonodendrohyphidia. Global distribution.

**Notes.** The diagnosis of Boidin and Lanquetin (1987) for Scytinostroma eurasiacogalactinum and *S. neogalactinum* describes both species with the same morphological characters as *S. galactinum* (Fr.) Donk but with reproductive incompatibility between the
Figure 2. *Parapterulicium subarbusculum*: a–c basidiomes in the field. The detail in c shows the developing corticioid patch d basidiospores e dichophyses f gloeocystidia g, h SEM images of dichophyses; i. SEM images of basidiome surface with abundant dichophyses. Scale bars: a–c = 1 cm; d–f, i = 10 µm; g, h = 5 µm.
species and different distributions. In the discussion on the *S. galactinum* complex, the authors mention the branched skeletal hyphae that starts with conspicuous 2–3 branched short projections and then become longer, a feature resembling the *Parapterulicium octopodites* papillate skeletal hyphae (Fig. 3d–h). Moreover, the description of *S. galactinum* by Lentz and Burdsall (1973) mentions the hymenium with conspicuous skeletodendrohyphidia. However, Bernicchia and Gorjón (2010) claimed the species does not present dendrohyphae; instead, the authors describe the presence of skeletal-binding hyphae. It is likely that the papillate skeletal hyphae described by Corner (1952a), the short and branched projections described by Boidin and Lanquetin (1987) and the skeletodendrohyphidia described by Lentz and Burdsall (1973), are nothing more than early developmental stages of the skeletal-binding hyphae described by Bernicchia and Gorjón (2010).

**Baltazaria galactina** (Fr.) C.A. Leal-Dutra, Dentinger & G.W. Griff., comb. nov.
Mycobank No: MB825235


= *Thelephora suaveolens* Moug. ex Fr., Elench. fung. (Greifswald) 1: 208 (1828).

= *Stereum suaveolens* (Moug. ex Fr.) Fr., Epicr. syst. mycol. (Upsaliae): 553 (1838) [1836-1838].


Description in Lentz and Burdsall (1973).

**Baltazaria eurasiaticogalactina** (Boidin & Lanq.) C.A. Leal-Dutra, Dentinger & G.W. Griff., comb. nov.
Mycobank No: MB825236


Description in Boidin and Lanquetin (1987).

**Baltazaria neogalactina** (Boidin & Lanq.) C.A. Leal-Dutra, Dentinger & G.W. Griff., comb. nov.
Mycobank No: MB825237


Description in Boidin and Lanquetin (1987).
**Baltazaria octopodites** (Corner) C.A. Leal-Dutra, Dentinger & G.W. Griff., comb. nov.

Mycobank No: MB825234

Fig. 3

**Basionym.** *Parapterulicium octopodites* Corner, Ann. Bot., 16: 286 (1952)

**Description.** Basidiomes resupinate (Fig. 3b), 0.1–0.5 mm thick, membranaceous, covering leaves and twigs, hymenophore smooth, white (2.5Y 8/2) to pale yellow (2.5Y 8/4), forming rhizomorph-like structures up to 7 cm high and scarcely to profusely branched that may be confused with coralloid basidiomes (Fig. 3a, b).

Substrate: On dead twigs and leaves.

Hyphal system dimitic, profusely interwoven. Generative hyphae 2–5 µm wide, thin-walled, without clamps. Skeletal hyphae 2–6 µm (up to 10 µm in KOH) wide, walls dextrinoid, up to 1.5 µm thick, strongly swelling in KOH (up to 4.5 µm). Termini of hymenial skeletal hyphae papillate, presenting short protuberances 2–10 × 1.5–2.5 µm, sometimes ramified resembling skeletonodendrohyphidia.

Putative hymenium with abundant basidioles up to 25 × 6 µm, clavate, growing immersed in the papillate hyphae.

Gloeocystidia up to 80 × 8–14 µm, clavate to lanceolate, thin-walled, densely multiguttulate or with abundant granular contents. Present in all parts of the basidiomes, including the corticioid form.

Basidiospores and basidia not observed.


**Notes.** The dimitic hyphal system, the papillate surface at the ends of the skeletal hyphae and the gloeocystidia agree perfectly with Corner’s original descriptions (Corner 1952a). Corner (1952a) described this species from a collection where no fertile structures were observed; the new collections were also sterile. As no spores or fertile basidia were found, the term putative hymenium is given to the region with abundant basidiole-like structures. Furthermore, the lack of sexual characters observed in *B. octopodites*, combined with the undeveloped binding-skeletal hyphae, might indicate that this species is only known by young basidiomes or non-reproductive growth forms (i.e. explorative rhizomorphs). This is the first record of *B. octopodites* from the States of Amazonas, Paraná, Santa Catarina and São Paulo.
Figure 3. Baltazaria octopodites: a, b basidiomes in the field (INPA280140 and FLOR56460), the detail in a shows the anchorage point in the leaf, the whitish resupinate area in b shows the corticioid portion of the fungus c gloeocystidia d skeletal hyphae, skeletal hyphae inflated in KOH (third from the right) and generative hyphae (first and second from the right) e–h SEM images of papillate skeletal hyphae. Scale bars: a–b = 1 cm; c–d = 10 µm; e–h = 1 µm).
Discussion

The /lachnocladiaceae clade was named /asterostromataceae by Larsson and Larsson (2003), who also proposed a deeper molecular study involving Lachnocladium to find the exact placement of the genus. In this study, Lachnocladium spp., typified by L. brasiilienne (Lév.) Pat., formed a strongly supported (BS=99; BPP=1) clade with the previously called /asterostromataceae, which includes Scytinostroma, Vesiculomyces, Gloiothele, Asterostroma, Vararia ochroleuca, Scytinostroma ochroleucum, Scytinostroma odoratum and the new genus Baltazaria. Thus, we decided to name the clade /lachnocladiaceae to suggest the need for a thorough study on the morphology of these genera to re-circumscribe Lachnocladiaceae. Binder et al. (2005) recovered this clade but did not include Lachnocladium. Scytinostroma, typified by S. portentosum (Berk. & M.A. Curtis) Donk, forms a clade with robust support with S. caudisporum Boidin, Lanq. & Gilles and S. alatum Lanq. (BS=99; BPP=1), meaning the other species of Scytinostroma sampled in this study (S. ochroleucum, S. odoratum, S. eurasiaticogalactinum) require reclassification. Monophyly of Asterostroma and Gloiothele is also strongly supported (BS=100; BPP=1), including the type species A. apatum (Berk. & Broome) Massee (= A. muscicola) and Gloiothele lamellosa (Henn.) Bres., respectively.

Future studies of Lachnocladiaceae may recommend Baltazaria be classified in its own family. However, we view our study as incomplete and it would therefore be premature to erect a new family at this time.

The most distinctive feature of B. octopodites is the papillate skeletal hyphae that form one or two rows of short, round and sometimes branched projections, similar to some skeletodendrohyphidia of B. galactinum (Lentz and Burdsall 1973). Another notable characteristic of this species is the hyphal swelling seen in KOH, which is also found in some species of Peniophora Cooke, Dichostereum and Vararia (Stalpers 1996; Stalpers and Buchanan 1991). In addition, the multigutellulate gloeocystidia present in P. subarbusculum and B. octopodites might have the same origin as those in Russula Pers. and Auriscalpium Gray, which were shown by McLaughlin et al. (2008) to be a likely synapomorphy of Russulales. Taken together, alongside the molecular evidence presented in this study, these corroborating morphological features add strong support to the reclassification of these fungi and suggest that aforementioned hyphal features may be unifying characters for /peniophorales.

All collections of B. octopodites made to date are sterile with no spores or basidia observed. Although the hymenium might have been missed due to developmental idiosyncrasies, such as ephemeral nocturnal production (Corner 1950, McLaughlin and McLaughlin 1972), the function of the filiform projections, believed to be coralloid basidiomes, may not be for sexual reproduction. Instead, they may function as exploratory appendages, similar to mycelial cords and rhizomorphs in other fungi (e.g. Crinipellis Pat./Marasmius Fr., Armillaria (Fr.) Stude etc.) or as a strategy for binding substrate materials together (Cairney 1991; Hedger et al. 1993; Snaddon et al. 2011). This characteristic, combined with the fact that no spores have been reported, raises the possibility that an independent sexual form, similar to the resupinate basidiomes of
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Scytinostroma, may exist. Considering these assumptions, B. octopodites might be more common than previously thought, since it is probably overlooked during fieldwork, mistakenly identified as a rhizomorph.

A third species of Parapterulicium, P. simplex, is still known only from type material originally collected in Argentina (Corner 1957). It would be prudent to include this species in a full revision of the genus, which would require targeted fieldwork at the type locality. We anticipate that, despite the rarity of their documentation, these filiform fungi are abundant and widespread.
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