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Abstract

The hydrology of near-surface glacier ice remains a neglected aspect of glacier hydrology despite its role in modulating meltwater delivery to downstream environments. To elucidate the hydrological characteristics of this near-surface glacial “weathering crust”, we describe the design and operation of a bespoke capacitance-based piezometer that enables rapid, economical deployment across multiple sites and provides an accurate, high-resolution record of near-surface water level fluctuations. Piezometers were employed at ten northern hemisphere glaciers, and through the application of standard bail-recharge techniques, we derive hydraulic conductivity (K) values from 0.003 to 3.519 m d⁻¹, with a mean of 0.185 ± 0.019 m d⁻¹. These results are comparable to those obtained in other discrete studies of glacier near-surface ice, and for firn, and indicate that the weathering crust represents a hydrologically inefficient aquifer. Hydraulic conductivity correlated positively with water table height but negatively with altitude and cumulative short-wave radiation since the last synoptic period of either negative air temperatures or turbulent energy flux dominance. The large range of K observed suggests complex interactions between meteorological influences and
differences arising from variability in ice structure and crystallography. Our data demonstrate a greater complexity of near-surface ice hydrology than hitherto appreciated, and support the notion that the weathering crust can regulate the supraglacial discharge response to melt production. The conductivities reported here, coupled with typical supraglacial channel spacing, suggest that meltwater can be retained within the weathering crust for at least several days. This has implications not only for the accuracy of predictive meltwater runoff models, but we also argue for biogeochemical processes and transfers that are strongly conditioned by water residence time and the efficacy of the cascade of sediments, contaminants, microbes and nutrients to downstream ecosystems. Since continued atmospheric warming will incur rising snowline elevations and glacier thinning, the supraglacial hydrological system may assume greater importance in many mountainous regions and, consequently, detailing weathering crust hydraulics represents a research priority since the flow-path it represents remains poorly constrained.
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1. Introduction

Most glacial runoff occurs during the summer melt season and typically fluctuates according to diurnal energy balance oscillations (Hock et al., 2005). It has often been assumed that the snow-free glacier surface imparts minimal delay between meltwater generation and its delivery to englacial, subglacial and proglacial environments (e.g. Fountain and Walder, 1998). However, meltwater storage at an ablatng glacier surface has been inferred from geophysical data (e.g. Irvine-Fynn et al., 2006, Moore et al., 1999) and meltwater budgets (e.g. Irvine-Fynn, 2008; Larson, 1978; Smith et al., 2017). Discrepancies in the timing and volume of modelled ablation and observed meltwater discharge have also been observed for snow-free supraglacial catchments in alpine (e.g. Munro, 2011) and ice sheet (e.g. McGrath, et al., 2011, Rennermalm, et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2017) settings. Consequently, there has been a growing recognition of the glacial “weathering crust” (Muller & Keeler, 1969): the shallow (typically 0.01 - 2 m) layer of porous ice which typifies ablating glacier surfaces, which has been referred to as "honeycomb" or "coral" ice (e.g. Cutler and Munro, 1996; Zeng et al., 1984). Despite the recent surge in interest in supraglacial hydrology evident in the literature, (e.g. Gleason et al., 2016; Karlstrom et al., 2013, 2014; Mantelli et al., 2015; McGrath et al., 2011; Rippin et al., 2015; Smith, et al. 2015; St. Germain and Moorman, 2016; Yang et al., 2016; Yang and Smith, 2013), a detailed
understanding of the hydraulic conductivity ($K$) and permeability ($\kappa$) of the weathering crust, and their variation in space and time is still lacking (Irvine-Fynn et al., 2011a; Karlstrom et al., 2014; Cook et al., 2016a).

The porous weathering crust ice layer develops as a function of three primary drivers: (i) subsurface melt caused by incident solar radiation (Müller and Keeler, 1969; Munro, 1990); (ii) heat flow within interstitial spaces that further contributes to declining ice crystal cohesion (Hoffman et al., 2014; Mader, 1992; Nye, 1991), and (iii) kinetic energy and frictional heat transfers from water flow through interstitial flowpaths (Koizumi and Naruse, 1994). The depth of the weathering crust that develops during synoptic clear sky conditions is related to Beer’s Law (Cook et al., 2016a; Oke, 1987), which defines an exponential increase in bulk ice density with depth (LaChapelle, 1959) from ~300-400 kg m$^{-3}$ to 870-917 kg m$^{-3}$ over length scales between a few centimetres to several decimetres or more (Brandt and Warren, 1993; Müller and Keeler, 1969; Schuster, 2001; Shumskii, 1964; Smith et al., 2017). Factors controlling the depth of weathering crust development include the coefficient of extinction of shortwave radiation ($SWR_{\infty}$), itself governed by ice type, crystal size, impurity and air bubble content and their emergence rates, and the zenith angle, intensity and duration of solar radiation receipt. Clear skies lead to glacier surface energy balance dominated by radiative fluxes, which promote weathering crust growth, in some cases of stagnating ice to a depth in excess of 2 m (Fountain and Walder, 1998; Larson, 1977). Reduced incident radiation (e.g. due to cloud cover) and high precipitation cause turbulent energy to dominate the glacier surface energy balance, promoting surface lowering which reduces the thickness of the weathering crust (Müller and Keeler, 1969; Shumskii, 1964). Variations in the thickness and porosity of the weathering crust at synoptic and seasonal time-scales likely results lead to temporal and spatial variability in supraglacial hydraulic permeability, conductivity and meltwater storage potential. The dynamic properties of this near-surface porous media likely influence meltwater transfer, modulating the lag time between in situ meltwater production and associated runoff signals (Karlstrom et al., 2014; Munro, 2011; Smith et al., 2017).

Hydraulic conductivities between $10^{-2}$ and $10^{-6}$ m s$^{-1}$ ($10^3$ and $10^{-2}$ m d$^{-1}$) for differing depths, sample times and general surface topographies have previously been measured for glaciers in Alaska and Norway (Larson, 1977; Theakstone and Knudsen, 1981; Wakahama, 1978; Wakahama, et al., 1973). In contrast, theoretical estimates based on assumed values for near-surface ice properties suggest a permeability of $\sim 10^{-10}$ m$^2$ for the Llwellyn Glacier, Juneau Ice Field, Canada (Karlstrom et al., 2014). However, as Theakstone and Knudsen (1981) cautioned, rigorous comparisons of these types of data should not be made, due to marked contrasts in geographical location, climatic setting, glacier
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morphology, and experimental methods. Rather, these limited observations emphasise the need to use a standardised approach to characterising glacier surface hydraulic conductivity across a range of study areas to understand the processes controlling shallow-subsurface glacier hydrology.

In addition to controlling and modulating meltwater fluxes, the importance of weathering crust hydrology is of primary concern for understanding ice surface nutrient and sediment fluxes and supraglacial microbial ecology. Redistribution of fine supraglacial debris and dust across an ablating ice surface is commonly described (e.g. Adhikary et al., 2000; Hodson et al., 2007; Oerlemans et al., 2009; Porter et al., 2010; Irvine-Fynn et al., 2011b), while hydrological flowpaths in the glacier near-surface control the export of microbes and associated nutrients to extraglacial environments (Cook et al., 2016a; Irvine-Fynn et al., 2012; Hotaling et al., 2017). The weathering crust is now recognised as an ecosystem in its own right (e.g. Hodson et al., 2008; Stibal et al., 2012; Irvine-Fynn and Edwards, 2014; Cook et al., 2016a, 2016b). The hydrological characteristics of the weathering crust influence microbial activity in cryoconite (Edwards et al., 2011; Hodson et al., 2007) and the increased residence time afforded by percolation within the interstitial voids of the weathering crust affords microbiota, fine inorganic and organic particles, dissolved nutrients and viruses opportunities for interaction and turnover in spite of the low growth rates and metabolic activities associated with cold environments (Rassner et al., 2016). Furthermore, legacy contaminant and particulate impurity transport through glacier systems (e.g. Bogdal et al., 2009; Hodson, 2014; Lokas et al., 2016) and their accumulation in downstream environments (e.g. Bettinetti et al., 2016; Bizzotto et al., 2009; Bogdal et al., 2010) must be influenced by hydrological flow through the porous near-surface ice – a process which remains a contemporary research imperative (Grannas, et al., 2013). For these reasons, with recognition of understanding the hydraulic conductivity of the weathering crust assumes significance in the hydrology, biogeochemistry, ecotoxicology and ecology of supraglacial systems.

Therefore, to address the critical research gap weathering crust hydrological characteristics represent, we undertook the first multi-site study to assess hydraulic conductivity using a consistent methodology adapted from terrestrial hydrology. Traditional terrestrial hydrological techniques developed for groundwater investigations can be applied to glacial environments (e.g. Derikx, 1973; Sharp, et al., 1998). Soil and bedrock aquifers are porous media with a depth-limited storage capacity, making their measurement techniques transferable to the analogous supraglacial weathering crust (Hodgkins, 1997; Irvine-Fynn and Edwards, 2014; Lliboutry, 1996; Nye, 1991). A novel electronic piezometer was used to monitor water levels and recharge rates in auger holes at high temporal resolution to derive hydraulic conductivity (K) values. We describe the findings from eight valley glaciers distributed across the Northern Hemisphere, and two sites at the western margin of the
Greenland Ice Sheet, and elucidate potential drivers of weathering crust development and hydraulic properties.

2. Materials and methods:

To examine the hydraulic conductivity, \( K \), of the glacial weathering crust we employed piezometer-based techniques adapted from those used to measure groundwater transfers (Freeze and Cherry, 1979; Amoozegar and Warwick, 1996). Recently, a similar approach has been used to examine the firn aquifer on the Greenland Ice Sheet (see Miller et al., 2017).

2.1 Electronic piezometer design

Capacitance piezometers have been well-described in the literature (e.g. Wilner, 1960; Ross, 1983; Baxter 1996; Reverter et al., 2005). Here, a complementary metal-oxide semiconductor (CMOS) device (e.g. Texas Instruments, USA item TLC555CP) was configured in a circuit that acts as an oscillator with an output frequency determined by the capacitance of capacitor \( C_1 \) and the resistance of resistor \( R_2 \) (Figure 1a, Figure 1c). The capacitor was created using a 0.6 m length of 50 mm polypropylene tubing inside which was placed a 50 cm length of 1 mm aluminium angle and a looped 0.25 mm (30 AWG) Kynar insulated silver-plated copper wire (Figure 1b). The Kynar wire is kept taut by anchoring the wire with a 3 mm nylon bolt at the top of the aluminium angle, and with a 25 mm × 4 mm stainless steel extension spring secured with a nylon bolt at the base of the aluminium angle (see also Ross, 1983). Regular holes are drilled around the circumference of the tube along its length, to allow uninterrupted ingress and egress of water. The frequency of the output signal scales in proportion to capacitance; as the water level rises capacitance is reduced, output frequency increases and vice versa. To reduce heat transfer between the device and ice surface, tubes are coated in adhesive silver foil. This foil cover was found to reduce the exposed tube temperatures by 0.5 °C when subjected to typical mountain environment conditions. The addition of a frequency to voltage convertor (e.g. Texas Instruments, USA LM2907N) produces a single-ended voltage output of between 1.0 and 2.8 V which, here, is logged using a self-powered USB ‘Track-it’ Data Logger (Monarch Instruments, USA). The circuitry and battery are housed at the top of the piezometer within the plastic tube and require minimal weatherproofing. The design of the circuit means that output frequency is independent of supply voltage, therefore there is negligible variation to the output signal due to battery depletion, making the sensors well suited to deployment in remote environments where regular battery changes may not be possible. Piezometer output is close to linear (Figure 1d-f) and is not influenced by electrical conductivity (EC), suspended sediment concentration (SSC) or temperature levels within the limits commonly observed in supraglacial environments. Calibration of individual piezometers is
simply a matter of recording voltage at a variety of known, incremental water levels and applying a linear function to the resultant datasets.

2.2 Electronic piezometers: data processing

Aquifer hydraulic conductivity \( (K) \) is commonly assessed using piezometer tests, which quantify the nature of hydrological recovery of an auger hole following a disturbance to the water level, either where auger holes are emptied (bail test) or artificially overfilled (slug test) (Amoozegar and Warwick, 1986; Moore, 2002; Freeze and Cherry, 1979). A notable issue with the application of slug testing in the glacial environment is caused by the low permeability (e.g. Lliboutry, 1971, Lliboutry, 1996, Nye, 1991) and density gradient (e.g. Muller and Keeler, 1969) of ice when compared with a soil aquifer for which the test was designed. By introducing additional water to an auger-hole, the water table would artificially rise and water would flow through the unsaturated, higher porosity weathering crust, and likely result in an overestimation of in situ \( K \). The bail-recharge method was considered more appropriate for use in the supraglacial environment, although water flow into the auger-hole occurs isotropically from three-dimensions as a ‘false’ water head is generated by the empty hole (Figure 2; Moore, 2002). However, by considering the rate of water level rise, this phenomenon can be eliminated mathematically with several solutions proposed, including the formulation by Bouwer and Rice (1976):

\[
K = \frac{Q \ln \left( \frac{R_e}{r_w} \right)}{2 \pi L y} \tag{Eq. 1}
\]

where \( Q \) is the water flow into the auger-hole \((\text{cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1})\), and the remaining length terms (all in cm) include \( L \), the height of the well through which water enters, \( y \) is the vertical distance between the water surface in the auger hole and the equilibrium water table, \( R_e \) is the effective radius over which \( y \) is dissipated, and \( r_w \) is the radius of the auger-hole. For the equation to be valid, a single auger-hole is required and it is specifically applicable to partially penetrating, unsealed wells in unconfined aquifers, such as the weathering crust. \( Q \) can be defined through knowledge of the auger-hole dimensions and the recharge rate detailed in the output from the piezometer as the water level recovers. Whilst \( R_e \) can be determined empirically using axisymmetric node networks (Bouwer and Rice, 1976), the term \( \ln \left( \frac{R_e}{r_w} \right) \) can be determined using an approximation given as:

\[
\ln \left( \frac{R_e}{r_w} \right) = \left[ 1.1 \ln \left( \frac{R_e}{r_w} \right) + \frac{A + B \ln \left( \frac{(D - h)}{r_w} \right)}{L/r_w} \right]^{-1} \tag{Eq. 2}
\]
for which $D$ is the distance between the water table in the aquifer and the impermeable ice representing the base of the aquifer, and $h$ the depth of the water in the auger hole (both in cm). $A$ and $B$ are dimensionless constants, determined using the ratio $L/r_w$ (see Bouwer and Rice, 1976). One condition of the empirical approximation presented in Equation 4 is that $0 < (D-h)/r_w \leq 6$; if these conditions are not met, $(D-h)/r_w$ is adjusted to equal 6.

Following the derivation of $K$, primary ice permeability ($\kappa$) can be calculated, after Bear (1972):

$$\kappa = \frac{K \mu}{\rho_w g}$$  
Eq.3

where $\rho_w$ is the density of water (taken as 1000 kg m$^{-3}$), $g$ is acceleration due to gravity (0.981 m s$^{-2}$) and $\mu$ is the dynamic viscosity of water (in Pa S). Water viscosity is temperature dependent (Figure 3) and, in the range of interest characteristic for supraglacial water temperatures ($< 2$ °C; Isenko et al (2005)) it is useful to note that viscosities are 1.4 to 1.8 times that at 20 °C.

### 2.3 Hydrological data collection

Bail-recharge tests were conducted at ten sites across the northern hemisphere cryosphere bridging a range of latitudes and climatic settings (Table 1; Figure 4). At Haut Glacier d’Arolla, Switzerland, and Fountain Glacier Bylot Island (HACH and FGBI, respectively), holes were drilled at strategic locations along transects or semi-randomised grids within a defined supraglacial micro-catchment, whilst on the K- Transect of western Greenland (GRDS), nine holes were distributed across a 30 × 30 m grid. At other sites including those in Sweden (SGSE, RGSE), Austria (RMOS, GBOS), at the Greenland Ice Sheet margin (GRKM) and Svalbard (PBSV, FFSV) experiments were conducted opportunistically using glacier-wide randomised grid sampling or short transects over smaller, hydrologically active areas.

At all sites, 36 cm deep auger-holes were drilled using a 5 cm diameter Kovacs drill. The auger-hole depth enabled the upper 30 cm of the weathering crust to be examined, since there is a 6 cm ‘dead space’ at the base of the piezometer. Auger-holes were emptied using a biOrb™ manual syphon with a 5 cm nozzle head. The piezometer was inserted immediately, and recharge monitored at 2 s intervals. In cases where auger-holes were reused during a single day, ablation resulted in some widening of the uppermost 5 cm of auger-hole, but this had negligible influence upon the bail-recharge experiments due to the water table typically found ~14 cm from the glacier surface. The representativeness of the 36 cm deep auger-holes is assessed in Section 3.1 below.

Time-series of auger-hole water column height were converted to recharge water volume and corrected to account for water displacement arising from piezometer installation. Recharge curves were manually examined and divided into three distinct stages (Figure 5): (i) Stage 1 is a linear stage
which represents pressure driven recharge as a result of the artificial water head generated by the presence of the bailed auger-hole within the weathering crust; (ii) Stage 2 is a non-linear decreasing stage (i.e., recharge rate falls with time/rise in auger-hole water level), identified as representing a reduction in the influence of pressure-driven flow from three dimensions, and representing the flow of water through an undisturbed weathering crust (i.e., the idealised water table in Figure 2). Stage 3 is a linear stage with a gradient of 0, at which point water in the auger-hole is equilibrated with the level of the water table in the surrounding weathering crust (Figure 5).

Hydraulic conductivity, $K$, was calculated using Equations 1 and 2, where recharge rate derived from Stage 2 defines $Q$, and the stable water level at Stage 3 substituted for $y$. To ensure $y \neq 0$, the Stage 3 auger-hole recharge data was filtered and limited to 0.01 V below the voltage observed for the static equilibrium water table water depth. In the discrete cases where the auger-hole exhibited ‘incomplete recharge’, either $y$ was defined using a repeat or proximal measurement within 10 minutes of the curtailed measurement, or a mean water table depth for the specific glacier was used.

In the absence of detailed weathering crust density profiles with depth, we parameterised $D$ (Eq. 4) to be 40 cm which ensured the ratio $L/R_w$ equalled 14.4; consequently, following Bouwer and Rice (1976)’s condition that for $7 < L/R_w < 16$, constants $A$ and $B$ (Equation 3) are defined as 2 and 0.25, respectively. The uncertainty related to this assumption was negligible: in cases where $D$ exceeds 40 cm, there is no change in the estimated $K$, while if $D-h$ was reduced to the smallest possible value within the piezometer’s measurement capabilities, there is an underestimate in $K$ of only 6.5%. To quantify the uncertainties that resulted from the manual definition of Stage 2 in the recharge curve, a subsample of 25 recharge curves were selected randomly, covering all glaciers and a representative range of recharge rates. By identifying potential errors in the location of the transition between Stages 1 and 2 in this subsample, uncertainty in the calculated $K$ was estimated as ± 4.8%, and again considered negligible.

2.4 Ancillary data collection

Automated weather stations (AWSs) were installed locally at all sites apart from GBOS and RMOS. In a few cases missing data was interpolated using data from the nearest alternative weather station. Where SWR$_{in}$ data was unavailable it was modelled (Irvine-Fynn et al., 2014) and a cloud cover correction applied using observations from local weather stations (see Greuell et al., 1997). Modelled data correlated well with measured values during the period for which directly measured SWR$_{in}$ was available ($r^2 = 0.81$). Using these data, cumulative energy input (MJ m$^{-2}$) from SWR$_{in}$ since the last freeze event (i.e. temperature < 0 °C) was calculated to explore the qualitative observations of Muller
and Keeler (1969) regarding weathering crust development processes. For glaciers with full meteorological data, meltwater production (M) was modelled using a point-based energy balance model (Brock and Arnold, 2000) at all auger-hole sites for each glacier, with a modification applied to arctic glaciers to account for the high solar azimuth (Irvine-Fynn et al., 2014).

3. Results

3.1 Piezometer evaluation

Firstly, to assess the representativeness of the 36 cm auger-holes, comparisons were made with proximate holes with depths of 16 and 26 cm at FGBI and GRDS, with additional 46 cm deep auger holes at the former site (Figure 6). Auger-holes were located within ~0.5 m of each other over a visually similar ice type, to minimise the influence of hole-to-hole disturbance and mitigate spatial variations in ice structure. Shapiro-Wilk tests highlighted the hydraulic conductivity data were not normally distributed at either site. For FGBI, an independent samples median test highlighted no significant difference in median values of K between different hole depths (p < 0.05). However, a Kruskall-Wallis test indicated a difference in distribution of K values across the four contrasting auger-hole depth groups (p < 0.05), with the bounds of total ranges and interquartile ranges decreasing with an increase in auger-hole depth. Dunn’s post-hoc testing indicated that only the 46 cm and 16 cm groups were significantly differently distributed from each other (p < 0.05). Similarly, for GRDS, an independent samples median test indicated that median K were significantly different between the three groups (p < 0.05). A Kruskall-Wallis test indicated that distribution of K across the three depth groups was significantly different (p < 0.05), with Dunn’s post hoc testing indicating the presence of a pairwise significant difference in data distribution only between the 26 cm and 36 cm groups (p < 0.05). However, there is no significant difference between any of the depth groups and the overall median for GRDS.

As there is no systematic significant difference between medians for auger-holes of 16, 26, 36 and 46 cm in depth, any of these depths could have likely been selected as a methodological optimum. A shallow hole would require a smaller volume of water to fill, and would enable a greater frequency of measurements to be recorded in a fixed period and may increase clarity of temporal trends, especially over a diurnal timescale. However, when the water table is low, shallow holes may be unsuitable as they may be perched above the water table, resulting in an inability to assess hydraulic conductivity. Conversely, a deeper auger-hole (e.g. 46 cm) would be unlikely to have such an issue, but would take longer to fill reducing the frequency of K measurements. As such we recommend and adopted 36 cm
as an optimum auger-hole depth as a compromise to maximise the frequency of data collection for assessment of weathering crust hydraulic parameters.

To ascertain the repeatability of the bail-recharge method, rapid (< 15 minutes) repeat measurements were undertaken at four sites (PBSV, SGSE, GRKM and GRDS). All repeat measurements were recorded within a maximum 30 minute window to minimise any temporal variations in $K$. During these repeats, a constant equilibrium water table depth was assumed (range within ±5 % of the mean) to prevent undesirable influence of a falling water table due to aquifer drainage upon $K$. Relative standard deviation ($n = 19$) across the four sites was 40.9%. Of note, the contrast in medians reported for varied auger-hole depths also all fell within this error associated with repeatability. Whilst this may appear initially to represent a high level of uncertainty in our estimates of $K$, typical ranges of $K$ in groundwater studies cover a range of thirteen magnitudes (Freeze and Cherry 1979) and quantification of $K$ to within one order of magnitude is usually sufficiently precise for most analyses Younger (2009). Our calculated relative standard deviation falls within this acceptable range, and as such, we are confident that our single-measure method provided suitably reliable and precise estimates of $K$ within the weathering crust.

3.2 Quantification of and controls upon $K$

A total of 280 successful recharge experiments were conducted on 10 northern hemisphere glacier ablation zones. Twenty-five ‘unsuccessful’ experiments were reported in which holes were not refilled to > 6 cm depth; these were typically associated with cloudy and/or rainy conditions, but had no clearly systematic cause, and occurred apparently randomly across all glacier sites. Mean $K$ across the eight field sites was $0.185 \pm 0.019 \text{ m d}^{-1}$ ($\sigma = 0.310 \text{ m d}^{-1}$, range = 0.003 – 3.519 m d$^{-1}$). Mean permeability was $0.384 \pm 0.060 \text{ m m}^{-2}$ (with a range from 0.018 – 3.451 m d$^{-1}$). Neither hydraulic conductivity nor permeability data were normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk, $n = 280$ and 111, respectively, $p < 0.05$). Ranges and medians of $K$ at each glacier plotted with site latitude as a variable (Figure 7) highlighted a potential relationship between latitude and $K$: a statistically significant, weak positive correlation existed between the variables (Spearman’s $r = 0.140$, $p < 0.05$, $n = 280$).

To interrogate the environmental factors that may define $K$, specifically examining differing stages of weathering crust development, further non-parametric correlations were undertaken between $K$ and potential explanatory variables. Such variables included water table height, as measured from the base of the 36 cm auger-holes according to the Stage 3 piezometer recharge records. The potential for the water table to be influenced by the melt rate and ingress of surface water into the weathering crust was further considered by using site altitude and the energy balance model melt output ($M$) for the 1-hour time period preceding the observation of $K$ as explanatory variables. Further, based on
Muller and Keeler’s (1969) conceptual model of weathering crust development, cumulative SWR$_{in}$ receipt since (i) freezing, (ii) the previous rainfall event, and (iii) the period of dominant turbulent fluxes were calculated as variables.

Freezing of interstitial meltwater is may reduce interstitial pore size and decrease the hydraulic conductivity of the weathering crust. However, given the latent heat released during the refreezing of interstitial meltwater (see Paterson, 1994), a period of freezing air temperature for hours or even days is unlikely to result in complete re-freezing of the liquid component of the weathering crust. However, it is important to note that such a cold wave propagates downwards (Paterson, 1994; Irvine-Fynn et al., 2011a) so any refreezing will occur in the less dense, more porous upper weathering crust and hence may have a greater influence on $K$ than would be expected. Rainfall events and cloudy periods, where turbulent fluxes dominate the energy balance equation (see Hock, 2005) are identified as crucial for "resetting" of the weathering crust surface (Muller and Keeler, 1969). Observations of summer rainfall are limited within our dataset, however we assume that precipitation, as measured at local AWSs, is in the form of rain either supported by in situ observations or as defined by air temperatures in excess of 4 °C. For the available data, two periods of rainfall were identified, one at HACH, comprising a 10 hour period of overnight rainfall (17 mm total) and another at SGSE/RGSE, where 2.4 mm of rain fell in eight hours. Melt modelling data are used to determine the ratio of SWR$_{in}$:turbulent fluxes at each glacier site, with a period of dominant turbulent energy flux (DTEF) defined as when > 50 % of energy for melt is supplied by turbulent fluxes for a duration of at least three hours. This duration is selected to ensure that the predominant weather pattern is that of a cloudy sky, rather than a low sun angle and high air temperatures which can occur during sunrise and sunset. Available meteorological data allowed for determination of this variable at GRDS, & SGSE/RGSE. For GRDS, two DTEF periods were observed, both between midnight (00:00) and 07:00 when the solar azimuth was low: total melt during the two periods was 1.71 and 0.57 mm water equivalent (w.e.). A more marked period of DTEF was observed at RGSE and SGSE, with a 37 and 39 hour DTEF period with 27.06 and 25.03 mm w.e. of melt respectively. With freezing, rainfall and DTEF periods being indicative of (at least partial) resetting of the weathering crust, cumulative SWR$_{in}$ should identify the subsequent increase in near-surface ablation, the disaggregation of ice crystals and increasing porosity and hydraulic conductivity.

The following significant (p < 0.01) monotonic correlations are highlighted between $K$ and the following independent variables (Table 2): a) negative correlation with cumulative SWR$_{in}$ since freezing; b) strong negative correlation with cumulative SWR$_{in}$ since previous DTEF period; iii) weakly negative correlation with altitude, c) strongly positive correlation with water table height.
Similar analysis was undertaken for permeability ($\kappa$; Table 3) for PBSV and HACH located at each extreme of the latitudinal range of field sites within this study. Mean auger-hole water temperatures of $0.57 \pm 0.02$ °C and $0.17 \pm 0.01$ °C, and ranges of $0.20 - 0.90$ and $0.10 - 0.40$ °C, respectively. This yielded permeability values ranging over 3 orders of magnitude from 0.018 and 3.45 m$^2$. However, with auger-hole water temperature data only available for two glaciers, our interpretations are limited. By estimating a mean water temperature for all other glaciers, any correlations with environmental variables would simply mirror those reported for $K$ (see Eq. 5).

4. Discussion

Ablating glacier surfaces are characterised by a porous ice weathering crust which may influence meltwater, sediment, microbial cell and nutrient storage and transport (Hodson et al., 2007; Munro, 2011; Irvine-Fynn et al, 2012; Edwards et al., 2011; Stibal et al., 2012). Here, we have presented data from a low-cost capacitance piezometer which, to our knowledge, is the first comprehensive set of measurements across multiple glacier sites using a standardised methodology to describe $K$ for weathering crust ice.

4.1 Application of piezometer and Darcian flow model to the weathering crust

The piezometer described provides high-resolution water level data. The application of the piezometer in supraglacial environments enabled quantification of the hydraulic properties of the weathering crust and was used to test the applicability of Darcy’s Law to the weathering crust. Darcy’s Law describes diffuse water flow through a homogenous porous media and is not applicable where flow is confined to or influenced by discrete conduits (‘karstic flow’: Moore, 2002). Karstic flow would cause the recharge curves to show irregular or abrupt step-changes where water suddenly enters a conduit (e.g. Hartmann, et al., 2014). This characteristic or phenomenon in the recharge curves was not observed in our data, indicating that flow through the weathering crust appears to be effectively homogenous at the synoptic scale and that Darcy’s Law can be applied broadly with confidence to weathering crust hydrology.

4.2 Hydraulic conductivity of the weathering crust

At the ten sites examined across the northern hemisphere, mean weathering crust $K$ was $0.185 \pm 0.019$ m d$^{-1}$. This value is equivalent to those reported for sandstone ($10^{-1} - 10^1$ m d$^{-1}$), or stratified clay soil ($10^{-1} - 10^2$ m d$^{-1}$) (Bear, 1972) and hence, hydrologically, the glacial weathering crust can be considered as a poor, impervious aquifer. This also compares well, albeit an order of magnitude lower, to the recent $10^0 - 10^2$ m d$^{-1}$ estimates for the hydraulic conductivity of firn on alpine glaciers (e.g. Fountain
1989; Schneider, 1999) and the Greenland Ice Sheet (e.g. Miller et al., 2017). Our $K$ values are the same order of magnitude as those reported for ablating glacier ice by Cook et al. (2016a), and similar to the lower-order estimates given by previous site-specific studies (e.g. Karlstrom, et al. 2014; Larson, 1977; Theakstone and Knudsen, 1981; Wakahama, 1978; Wakahama, et al., 1973). Our estimated ranges of weathering crust hydraulic conductivity still encompassed the values derived from Medenhall and Llewellyn Glaciers (Juneau Icefield, Alaska/British Columbia) despite the absence of such a maritime environment in the study sites reported here.

The estimates of $K$ in the weathering crust approaching that of sandstone or clay would see surprising given the degrading near-surface ice surface would suggest a higher porosity and potentially an increased hydraulic conductivity. However, hydraulic permeability and conductivity are also governed by the scale of and linkage between void spaces in a porous medium (Bear, 1972). Both the angularity of ice crystals and the immobile viscous water layers that surround them (Nye, 1991) reduce the hydraulic conductivity through, respectively, increasing micro-scale flowpath tortuosity and reducing permeability. Water movement in the uppermost 2 m of a glacier is typically driven upward due to the near-surface water pressure gradient (Llibourty, 1996) that can be influenced by meteorological conditions and is complicated further by the capillary force that retains and restricts water flow (Bear, 1972), allowing flow in opposition to the gravity- and slope-driven directions. Moreover, observations of local water tables identified in open cryoconite holes suggests that the water table is commonly several centimetres to three decimetres below the ice surface (Cook et al., 2016a,b), and so $K$ is retrieved for depths below the most porous surface ice. Combined with the near-surface density gradient, these mechanical conditions may in part explain the low $K$ identified for the apparently porous weathering crust. Studies conducted in the 1970s and 1980s used contrasting methods, including dyes such as ink (Wakahama, et al., 1973) and fluorescein (Theakstone and Knudsen, 1981). Ink and tracer dyes such as fluorescein and rhodamine are highly dispersive within water (Smart and Laidlaw, 1977); therefore, the use of dyes may result in an overestimation of $K$, as the tracer will likely have dispersed through the sub-surface water column rather than acting conservatively and matching the water flow rate. Theakstone and Knudsen's (1981) work focused on the quantification of meltwater flow rates through the supraglacial drainage network, and they only estimated the delay to flow caused by the weathering crust as a component of this. Despite this difference in emphasis, our upper estimates for $K$ coincide with Theakstone and Knudsen’s median estimates, while difference compared to the $K$ value reported for Medenhall Glacier (Karlstrom et al., 2014) may simply be due to the particular environmental and climatic setting, solar radiation receipt and synoptic progress through individual melt seasons.
One issue arising with the use of pumped wells (e.g. Larson, 1977) for the estimation of $K$ in glaciological environments is that the technique requires the addition of water, which causes a local increase in water table height. As SWR in receipt decreases with depth in the near-surface (Cook et al., 2016a) it is expected that pore size, permeability and $K$ will also decrease. The inverse is also true, so by introducing a false rise in the water table, $K$ is measured through more porous ice which is typically above the equilibrium water table and hence not necessarily describing $K$ for the true transmission of meltwater at a given point in time, and generating artificially elevated estimates of its value. To emphasise this assertion, our data show that an increase in water table height correlates with an increase in $K$ and highlight the need to consider methods of describing hydraulic conductivity cautiously.

4.3 Controls upon hydraulic conductivity of the weathering crust

In the weathering crust, the mechanism for pore enlargement is hypothesised as the cumulative receipt of subsurface SWR in and internal melt of ice (Cook et al., 2016a; Hoffman et al., 2014; Müller and Keeler, 1969). This is evidenced by the lower bulk density and greater intergranular pore space of the weathering crust when contrasted with un-weathered glacier ice (LaChapelle, 1959, Nye, 1991). This enlargement of inter-crystalline pores would result in an increase in hydraulic conductivities. The energy available for weathering crust development is constrained by latitude, typically with more intense SW in and higher summer season air temperature even at elevation in lower latitudes. Latitude is weakly positively correlated with $K$, but Figure 7 indicates that the highest $K$ values are observed in the 67 – 72°N latitude band. This relationship is complicated by regional climatology, synoptic meteorology and local altitude and topography (Barry, 2013). For example, summer cloud cover and precipitation are common in both the Alps (e.g. Rudolph et al., 2011) and High-Arctic Svalbard (e.g. Førland and Hanssen-Bauer, 2000), and these conditions are known to reduce or minimise weathering crust development (Muller and Keeler, 1969). Shading by surrounding terrain will also affect SWR in receipt and moderate the formation and evolution of a porous surface ice layer, while particularly in mid- and high-latitudes, as a consequence of solar geometry, glacier orientation and surface slope may become more influential. While sites characterised by higher katabatic wind speeds may experience elevated turbulent energy fluxes that reduce the efficacy of weathering crust development.

The analyses seeking to identify such additional potential controlling factors on $K$ in terms of cumulative SWR in receipt since any partial or complete ‘resetting’ of the weathering crust resulted in less intuitive conclusions. The negative correlations between $K$ and SWR in since last freezing and DTEF periods indicated that as the weathering crust developed, there was a reduction in the hydraulic conductivity.
permeability. This was unexpected as low radiative and high turbulent energy transfers, such as cloudy periods, often including rainfall, have been anecdotally linked with weathering crust removal (Müller and Keeler, 1969). Consequently, such synoptic conditions were expected to be associated with lowered $K$, as was evident from several of the ‘failed’ recharge experiments. Here, to explain the apparently inverse relationship between $K$ and cumulative SWR$_{in}$ we suggest that the development and rise of a water table is not necessarily coincidental with progressive ice crystal disaggregation; the rise in the water table may lag behind the creation of intergranular void space implying a low water table is associated with low $K$ values. Supporting this argument is the observation that $K$ is not correlated solely with the melt that might be expected to increase the water table height and hence hydraulic conductivity. This implies that additional processes are occurring, which preclude any direct relationship between melt rate and $K$: for example, there could be refreezing at depth within the weathering crust and reduction of liquid water volume, or the low transmission rates incur delay as pore spaces are filled. Here, there may be analogies with the progress of the wetting front in a snowpack (e.g. Marsh and Woo, 1984) or infiltration to frozen soil (e.g. Gray et al., 2001), but to develop this level of process understanding would require further investigation.

The additional complexity hydrology itself may impart on defining $K$ is best evidenced by the positive relationship between $K$ and water table height. Observations from cryoconite holes suggests there is a variable water table height within the weathering crust both at sub-diurnal and synoptic time-scales (e.g. Cook et al., 2016a). These variations may arise from the bulk density increase with depth within the weathering crust, or because of a changing local base-level. Once the ability of the weathering crust to transport water is exceeded by the melt input the water table will rise into the increasingly more porous near-surface ice, and the piezometer derived $K$ value increases. Hydraulic conductivity may, in this scenario, also rise if the base-level for the drainage pathway remains broadly the same due to the dampened response of the supraglacial stream network to peak melt (e.g. Munro, 2011; Smith et al., 2015, 2017) and the hydraulic gradient increases. However, as the weathering crust is drained as melt rates and associated water inputs reduce overnight, and the supraglacial stream base level drops, the water table and pressure head falls, hence $K$ is reduced. Our feedback loop between meltwater input, water table height and $K$ would explain why $K$ and melt do not directly correlate as a response time is required, dependant on infiltration rate, for the water table level to rise.

Our data from 10 glacier sites shows that $K$ exhibited values over a range of four magnitudes (relative standard deviation of ~180%) and even upon individual glaciers there is a high local-scale variability (Figure 7). While the relationships described above provide some indications of conceivable causes in the variability in $K$ values, there are clearly complex interactions between potential driving meteorological variables, which are problematic to disentangle without further study. However, one
further aspect which influences the fabric of the weathering crust and hence the nature of the pores within is the microscale ice-structure, which is difficult to characterise and quantify and is not included within this dataset. Ice structure and fabric will directly condition pore size and shape, interstitial connectedness and tortuosity, and therefore likely influence the hydraulic behaviour of the weathering crust. Ice structure and fabric can vary across a range of length scales (see Hambrey and Lawson, 2000; Hudleston 2015). Consequently, crystal size, packing and orientation may play an important role in defining the rate and location at which water infiltrates and is transferred through the weathering crust, by controlling potential pore size, shape and geometry once crystal boundaries are preferentially melted to form pores.

Our data highlight the hydrological properties of the weathering crust are influenced and conditioned by an array of influential factors, ranging from the meteorological conditions and their synoptic progression prior to evaluation of $K$ and to the hydrological and structural characteristics of the near-surface ice itself. We also hypothesise that glacier ice dynamics and net ablation may have the capacity to modulate the weathering crust and its hydrological behaviour: glaciers exhibiting higher ice emergence rates may offset the evolution of a deeper porous surface layer, and enhanced rates of ablation and runoff may lead to an abundance of rills and streams which through energy transfers and evolving topographic variability can degrade the weathering crust and slow its vertical evolution and spatial extent. Such hydrological disturbance may also be affected by glacier surface slope (e.g., Hodson et al., 2007; Mantelli et al., 2015; Rippin et al., 2016). Here, it is clear that that more systematic surveys of $K$ under constrained environmental parameters, and over extended time-frames, are required to better define the primary drivers and rates of weathering crust development and its spatial and vertical extent at (sub) catchment to glacier scales.

4.4 Hydrological role of the weathering crust and relevance to impurity transport

This study highlights a typically overlooked component of the supraglacial hydrological system. Near-surface glacier ice has traditionally been considered as essentially impermeable (e.g. Hodgkins, 1997) with an abrupt, almost immediate hydrological response time (e.g. Fountain and Walder, 1998). Our data emphasises the weathering crust as a hitherto neglected yet important aspect of supraglacial hydrology. The presence of a water table at depth below the ice surface emphasises the potential for short-term meltwater storage, retention and delay in runoff. We propose that as surface ice ablates during the ablation season, under clear sky conditions, the weathering crust develops (Muller and Keeler, 1969) and meltwater is routed through this near-surface layer. As our data show, meltwater flow through the weathering crust can be relatively slow, yet supraglacial stream discharge response to peak melt typically occurs within < 12 hours (Munro, 2011). The hydraulic conductivities calculated
here, coupled with typical $\leq 10^1$ m channel spacing upon glacier surfaces (e.g. Karlstrom et al., 2014), imply that a parcel of meltwater could remain within the weathering crust for a minimum of 34 hours. Therefore, our observations directly support the notions of hydrological delay and water storage within the weathering crust conjectured by Munro (2011) and Smith et al. (2017).

At synoptic and diurnal time-scales, we hypothesise that in response to the energy balance, additional ‘new’ meltwater enters the weathering crust causing the water table to rise, which positively influences $K$, and either overrides or displaces ‘old’ stored or retained meltwater. This type of water turnover is common for rainfall events in terrestrial environments (e.g. Brutsaert, 2005; Lu and Godt, 2013). When melt production exceeds the infiltration rate of the weathering crust, or the water table rises to the surface, it would be expected that saturated sheet flow might occur over the surface; however, due to the complex nature of glacier surfaces, sheet flow is uncommon and was not observed during our observation periods, and drainage via rills and small streams evolves quickly (e.g. Mantelli et al., 2015). However, the observation of $K$ being dependent on water table elevation suggests the hydraulic properties exhibit a gradient with depth in the near-surface which is also spatially and temporally variable. The proportions of meltwater that may be delayed at a variety of time-scales in their delivery to supraglacial rill and stream networks and the subsequent modulation of channel hydrographs remain undefined.

The presence of a near-surface aquifer on ablating glacier surfaces with a low hydraulic conductivity may also have significant implications for the transfer of impurities across exposed ice and affect biogeochemical cycling. Here, we argue that based on contemporary understanding there is a need for future research to explore a range of these potential affects. Considering the characteristic and ubiquitous presence of fine inorganic dust (e.g. Takeuchi, 2002; Oerlemans et al., 2009), microbes (e.g. Hodson et al., 2008; Stibal et al., 2012; Edwards and Irvine-Fynn, 2014) and other particulate impurities and contaminants (e.g. Hodson, 2014) on glacier surfaces, the poor hydraulic conductivity of the weathering crust may have important implications on the transport rate of such particulates. To date, there has been no clear or detailed assessment of the rates at which impurities are transferred over ablating ice surfaces. Irvine-Fynn et al. (2012) reported inefficient transport processes through, and storage of microbial cells within the near-surface of an Arctic glaciers. Here, the low $K$ values reported for numerous glaciers align well with such an assertion of inefficient water transfer. However, the relationship between impurity transport and $K$ is unlikely to be a simple linear function due to the potential of the weathering crust to act as mechanical filter, preventing transfer of particles with diameters in excess of pore sizes, or bio- and physio-chemical processes resisting or accentuating impurity transport (e.g. Mader et al., 2006; Jepsen et al., 2006, 2010; Dolev et al., 2017). Therefore, fluctuations in the water table and of varied hydraulic conductivity at diurnal or synoptic time-scales,
or over space, may be crucial in defining the character of impurities transported through or from a glacier’s surface. Indeed, recent work has suggested that water flux and the hydraulic delivery of dissolved nutrients within meltwater to surface microbial habitats may be a crucial influence for microbial community structure and activity (e.g. Edwards et al., 2011; Dubnick et al., 2017), and controls downstream ecology and characteristics (e.g. Singer et al., 2012; Wilhelm et al., 2013). Furthermore, when combined with typical in situ doubling times of the water-borne cryospheric microbial communities of < 60 days, and in some instances < 5 days (Anesio et al., 2010), and clear evidence of their capacity to influence nutrient cycling (Scott et al., 2010), the potential for the supraglacial weathering crust as a microbial habitat (Irvine-Fynn and Edwards, 2014) merits further investigation. Specifically, the retention of mineral dust and microbes within the weathering crust holds the potential to contribute to supraglacial biogeochemical cycles. For example, increased residence time within the weathering crust permits greater interactions between dust, dilute nutrients, low-density bacterial hosts and their viral parasites (Rassner et al 2016). The hydraulics of the weathering crust, and the recognition of ‘old’ and ‘new’ meltwaters, may hold potential influence on the transfer rates for solutes and dissolved organic compounds or contaminants within the glacier system. However, the in situ fate of supraglacial solutes, organic compounds and contaminants during the ablation season still remains poorly characterised.

As both Grannas et al. (2013) and Hotaling et al. (2017) concluded, there remains a pressing need to better constrain the nature and variability of supraglacial hydrological flowpaths particularly to define their impact on contaminant and impurity transfer, microbial communities and biogeochemical function both for glacier surfaces and glacier-fed ecosystems. This is particularly significant under the spectre of projected future changes to glacier and ice sheet runoff regimes (e.g. Franco et al., 2013; Bliss et al., 2014). In many glacierised regions, atmospheric warming, rising snowlines and expanding ablation areas may result in extensive supraglacial hydrology even as total glacier areas decline. Similarly, glacier thinning and cooling in higher latitudes (e.g. Irvine-Fynn et al., 2011a; Delcourt et al., 2013) may also promote an increasing dominance of supraglacial hydrology. Consequently, understanding the influence that the weathering crust has on modulating supraglacial runoff and its characteristics is important to improve predictive hydrological models. This assessment of weathering crust hydrology presents a first step to better characterising this commonly overlooked supraglacial flowpath, and exploring the controls that dictate spatial and temporal variation in hydraulic conductivity of near-surface glacier ice.
5. Conclusions

We present a robust but simple piezometer probe design that permits low-cost, high-resolution, repeatable water level monitoring. The economical nature of the piezometer design, combined with its limited power requirements, make it ideally suited to spatially widespread deployment in remote locations and for hydrological applications beyond those described here. We describe a field methodology that allows spatially widespread monitoring of glacier weathering crust water level fluctuations at multiple sites. Data collected from a spatially extensive suite of field sites allows examination of weathering crust $K$, and we quantify a mean $K$ of 0.185 m d$^{-1}$ which is an equivalent value to that seen for sandstone and firm and, therefore, leads us to regard the weathering crust as a hydrologically poor, impervious aquifer that can delay water transfer through the supraglacial hydrological system and acting as a transient, multi-day storage reservoir within this network. Our data show unequivocal evidence for spatially and temporally varying supraglacial storage and regulation of meltwater hypothesised by Munro (2011) and Smith et al. (2017). This role of the weathering crust as a regulator of meltwater egress has the potential to impact not only on meltwater discharge but also the supraglacial ecosystem, through influencing the transport and residence time of microbes, fine mineral grains, contaminants and associated nutrients. Such impurity and biogeochemical fluxes, and their basin-scale export, have consequent impacts upon the supraglacial and down-stream environments at a range of spatial and temporal scales. Our analysis demonstrates that the precise nature of the controls that drive the hydrological characteristics of the weathering crust are clearly complex and multi-faceted. Although water table height clearly exerts a fundamental control on apparent hydraulic conductivity, detailed investigation of the evolution of the weathering crust, and the role of hyper-local ice structure and crystallography and consequent impacts on near-surface sedimentary- and eco- systems likely represents a fruitful avenue for further investigation.
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Table I. Summary of glacier sites sampled within the study. Daylight hours and solar zenith ranges are reported for the fieldwork period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glacier Name</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Fieldwork Period</th>
<th>Glacier Code</th>
<th>Latitude (°N)</th>
<th>Area (km²)</th>
<th>Elevation (m asl)</th>
<th>Daylight hours (decimal)</th>
<th>Max daily solar zenith (°)</th>
<th>Climate and thermal regime</th>
<th>Further reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greenland Ice Sheet (S6)</td>
<td>Greenland</td>
<td>22/07/2014 – 29/07/2014</td>
<td>GRDS</td>
<td>67.08</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>~1100</td>
<td>19.5 – 20.6</td>
<td>41.9 – 43.4</td>
<td>Polar maritime Non-temperate</td>
<td>(Abermann, et al., 2009, Fischer, 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaisbergferner</td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>08/09/2014</td>
<td>GBOS</td>
<td>46.83</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>2460 – 3390</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>Alpine continental Temperate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Station</td>
<td>RMOS</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>2450 – 3000</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>Alpine</td>
<td>Continental Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotmoosferner</td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>11/09/2014</td>
<td>RMOS</td>
<td>46.82</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>2450 – 3000</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>Alpine</td>
<td>continental Temperate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haut Glacier d'Arolla</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>19/07/2015 – 28/07/2015</td>
<td>HACH</td>
<td>45.98</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>2550 – 3500</td>
<td>14.9 – 15.3</td>
<td>63.0 – 64.5</td>
<td>Alpine</td>
<td>Temperate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Correlation matrix highlighting monotonic relationships with hypothesised controls upon hydraulic conductivity ($K$) of the weathering crust. Values shown are Spearman’s $r$ with significant values (two-tailed) marked: $p<0.05^*$, $p<0.01^{**}$. Sample number, $n$, is indicated in brackets for instances indicated by # with missing cases or lacking data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glacier</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Cum. SWR$_{in}$</th>
<th>Cum. SWR$_{in}$</th>
<th>Cum. SWR$_{in}$</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Water Table</th>
<th>Melt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0°C</td>
<td>Precip</td>
<td>DTEF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBSV</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.398**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.321*</td>
<td>0.547**</td>
<td>0.520**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFSV</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.786*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGBI</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.375*</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGSE</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.835**</td>
<td>-0.488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGSE</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-0.050</td>
<td>-0.050</td>
<td>-0.050</td>
<td>0.428*</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRDS</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.133 (30)</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.639**</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRKM</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBOS</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>0.809*</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMOS</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.378</td>
<td>-0.204</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HACH</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.112 (19)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.306*</td>
<td>-0.253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>-0.404**</td>
<td>0.134 (62)</td>
<td>-0.658** (73)</td>
<td>-0.256**</td>
<td>0.710**</td>
<td>-0.52 (129)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table III. Correlation matrix highlighting monotonic relationships with hypothesised controls upon permeability ($\lambda$) of the weathering crust. Values shown are Spearman’s $r$ with significant values (two-tailed) marked as thus: $p<0.05^*$, $p<0.01^{**}$. Latitude is not considered as independent variables due to a lack of data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glacier</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Cum. SWR&lt;sub&gt;n&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Water Table</th>
<th>Melt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PBSV</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.398**</td>
<td>-0.321*</td>
<td>0.548*</td>
<td>0.519**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HACH</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.304*</td>
<td>-0.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>-0.165</td>
<td>-0.291**</td>
<td>0.574**</td>
<td>0.415**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Probe design and calibration: (a) image of a water-level probe including a centimetre scale; (b) cartoon schematic of the probe design; and (c) wiring diagram for the probe circuitry. Probe voltage outputs at given water levels under specific water conditions, for the typical supraglacial conditions (X) and for other variable conditions of electrical conductivity (d), suspended sediment load (e) and temperature (f), with the black line indicating a linear regression ($r^2 > 0.99$) and the greyed area reflecting the 95% confidence bound.
Figure 2. The role of auger-hole drilling on the water table and idealised hydraulic head. The drilling and bailing of an auger hole causes a localised drop in the water table (with radius up to 2 m) altering the hydraulic gradient. Note that the hydraulic gradient indicated corresponds with the water table of the same line style.
Figure 3. Dynamic viscosity, $\mu$, of water as controlled by temperature in the range $-1 \degree C \geq t \geq 20 \degree C$ (after Kestin et al., 1978). Note, the area of interest, $0.1 \degree C \geq t \geq 2 \degree C$, aligning with observed auger-hole temperatures, is highlighted with a solid line.
Figure 4. A hemispheric location map of glaciers sampled within this study. Letter codes are identified within Table I.
Figure 5. (a) An idealised recharge curve related to a schematic cross-section of the auger-hole (b). In panel (b) each dashed line indicates the position of an idealised water table; during Stage 1, anisotropic, pressure driven flow dominates due to the large hydraulic head generated by the presence of an auger-hole (in black) generated sink in the water table. Through Stage 2, this influence is reduced (although still prevalent) but influence of this false water head decreases as the hole fills (aligning with the non-linear stage in panel (i). At Stage 3, the water level in the borehole is equilibrated with the surrounding water table and recharge stops as the auger-hole becomes equilibrated with the surrounding weathering crust water table.
Figure 6. change in K with auger-hole depth for (a) FGBl and (b) GRDS, indicating median for each site (solid vertical line) of 0.183 and 0.220 m d$^{-1}$, respectively. Sample sizes (n) are noted on the right of the diagram. *Note one outlying data point > 1.5 m d$^{-1}$. 
Figure 7. Box-and-whisker plot showing hydraulic conductivity of holes of 36 cm depth across all glaciers within the sample set, with latitudes displayed in degrees North of the equator. Sample sizes (n) are noted on the right of the diagram. *Note, the x axis is limited to 1.5 m d⁻¹, with one outlying point above this limit at GBOS, with a value of 3.519 m d⁻¹.