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Abstract

The slow rusting wheat cultivars viz., Tukuru and Pavon-76 were hybridized with a widely adopted Pakistani wheat cultivar “Inqilab-91” to incorporate durable rust resistance. Specific primers were used to validate the presence of durable rust resistance in a bulked segregating population. The F1 plants were backcrossed with either parent to obtain BC1F1 populations which were then self-pollinated to get four F2 populations. A total of 102 randomly selected back-crossed BC1F1 plants were used for bulk segregant’s analysis. Genomic DNA was extracted from randomly selected individual plants and aliquots of DNA of each sample were mixed to constitute bulk pools of each population. Durable resistance gene Lr34/Yr18 was heterozygous in populations 1, showing amplification of both the diagnostic csLV34a allele and the null csLV34b alleles. Population 2 amplified homozygous csLV34a alleles, while populations 3 and 4 amplified the null allele. The Lr46/Yr29 gene was identified in population 3 and 4. Compared to Inqilab-91 the Population 1 indicated 33-67% and Population 2 indicated 56-78% lower infection type while population 3 and 4 indicated 22-44% lower infection type to yellow rust. All the populations and parents showed the prevalence of Sr2 and absence of Lr37/Yr17/Sr38 gene complex. © 2017 Friends Science Publishers
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Introduction

Hundreds of fungal disease resistance genes have been described in various cereal species. These genes can be generally classified based on their specificity and durability (Sucher et al., 2016). Vertical resistance is quickly eroded as the rust virulent races being selected and increased in nature. The slow rusting genes that are weakly expressed at seedling stage but show optimum expression in the adult plant stage have also been identified. These genes express a moderate level of resistance, represented as smaller amount of uredinia of various sizes encircled by variable size of chlorotic tissue (Caldwell, 1968). The main feature of these genes in combination is that they present resistance to all identified rust races, with no established race specificity, while individually they don’t exhibit complete resistance. These genes also give long lasting durable rust resistance as their virulent forms have not yet been identified. Two of these genes, Lr67 and Lr34, have been cloned and, respectively encode a hexose transporter (Moore et al., 2015) and a putative ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter (Krattinger et al., 2009; Dakouri et al., 2010; Sucher et al. 2016).

The well-known and categorized of all the race nonspecific genes “Lr34” (Dyck, 1987) is present in wheat germplasm round the world (Kolmer et al., 2008). Wheat varieties having Lr34 also have a specific phenotype of leaf tip necrosis, expressed independent of rust infection. Though races virulent to Lr34 have not been identified but the varieties containing Lr34 alone don’t show elevated level of resistance. Supplementary genes for resistance are essential for wheat cultivars to express an effective resistance. Various genes in combination with Lr34 have shown durable resistance. Hard red spring wheat varieties having combinations of Lr13, Lr16, Lr23 and Lr34 have shown modest to higher level of resistance for more than thirty years (Kolmer and Jin, 2007). Because of the durability and non-specificity of its resistance against multiple pathogens, Lr34 has become one of the most important disease-resistance genes in wheat worldwide and has been utilized since the early 20th century (Fang et al., 2017).
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Some additional adult plant leaf rust resistance genes include Lr68, Lr67 and Lr46 (Singh et al., 1998; Hiebert et al., 2010). The gene Lr46 is analogous to that of Lr34 in its effect at adult plant stage, however at seedling stage it is weaker in effect compared to Lr34 (Martinez et al., 2001). Wheat cultivars having a combination of adult plant genes Lr34, Lr46 and Lr68 demonstrated durable type of resistance (Kolmer, 2013). Similarly, stem rust resistance in wheat provided by the gene Sr2 have stayed effective around the world for over fifty years. The resistance conferred by Sr2 is linked to highly varied disease symptoms; it is a recessive gene and expresses itself mainly at the adult plant stage (Spielmeyer et al., 2003).

Developing a variety with durable resistance can be challenging as resistance is often quantitative in nature, and highly affected by the environment (Klarquist et al., 2016). Molecular markers for the identification of these genes make the selection and handling of genetic stock easier during the course of a breeding programme. These markers are in fact the indicators of presence or absence of specific genes (William et al., 1998). Among various molecular marker techniques microsatellites or simple sequence repeat (SSR) have been the markers of choice for identification analysis (Manifesto et al., 1998; Szabo and Kolmer, 2007; Kolmer, 2013). These markers can be utilized to expedite the introgression of resistance genes into novel cultivars. When initiating a marker assisted selection (MAS) project for a trait of interest there are several considerations (Anderson et al., 1993). Parental selection may also be complicated for MAS because different recurrent parents differ in their strengths and weaknesses in having various traits. The reasons to transfer slow rusting resistance genes into a popular variety in a planned fashion instead of identifying a totally new variety are: (1) popular varieties have unique combinations of numerous genes to achieve their wide adaptability and acceptability by millions of farmers; (2) it is comparatively easy to add a few more genes in such cultivars; (3) a planned transfer ensures selection for slow-rusting genes-based resistance; and (4) the strategy is highly economical, as only a few crosses need to be made. In wheat marker assisted selection will be most efficient in F2 or first back cross because these are the generations of maximum segregation (Sorrells, 1998; Singh et al., 2012).

The main objectives included in this study were (a) to transfer the adult plant resistance found in Tukuru and Pavon-76 into a widely adopted wheat cultivar Inqilab-91, (b) to identify suitable segregating populations having slow rusting genes, (c) to screen the segregating populations for certain race specific genes using DNA markers to envisage the durability of rust resistance in the populations.

Materials and Methods

Three wheat varieties Tukuru and Pavon-76 and Inqilab-91 were used as parent material in this study. The data from various rust trap nurseries specified that Tukuru and Pavon-76 carrying slow rusting genes, Lr34/Yr18 and Lr46/Yr29 were effective when combined with other genes of rust resistance (Rattu et al., 2012). Inqilab-91 was the most widely adopted and high yielding wheat cultivar previously grown in Pakistan but is now susceptible to rust having a race specific gene Yr27. The virulence of Yr27 virulence is widespread in this region (Hovmøller, 2013). Both Lr34/Yr18 and Lr46/Yr29 gene combinations from Tukuru and Pavon-76 were exploited to hybridize with Inqilab-91.

Hybridization Strategy

The slow rusting wheat varieties Tukuru and Pavon-76 were crossed with susceptible Inqilab-91. The F1 plants were backcrossed with either parent to produce 4 BC1F1 populations. The plants of the BC1F1 populations were selfed to produce BC2F3 populations in Tukuru and Pavon-76 back grounds as, Population 1: Tukuru/Inqilab-91//Inqilab-91, Population 2: Tukuru/Inqilab-91//Tukuru, Population 3: Pavon-76/Inqilab-91//Inqilab-91 and Population 4: Pavon-76/Inqilab-91//Pavon-76. A total of 102 randomly selected back crossed F2 plants were used for bulk segregants analysis, including 24 plants from population 1, 45 plants from population 2, 17 plants from population 3 and 16 plant from population 4.

DNA Extraction and Quantification

Leaf samples of each back crossed F2 population and their parents were collected from the field at Faculty of Agriculture, Rawalakot, Azad Kashmir and stored at -80°C. The samples were taken to the Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences, Aberystwyth University, Wales, United Kingdom for the extraction of DNA. DNA from each sample was extracted separately using standard protocol in a 2% hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, 1.4 mM NaCl, 20 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). The DNA pellet was re-suspended in 50 μL TE buffer (1 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0).

Genomic DNA of the samples and parents was quantified with the help of microplate spectrophotometer (Epoch, Program: Gen. 51.10). Ten times (10x) dilution of genomic DNA was made to increase their volume for the convenient mixing. Aliquots of genomic DNA containing 50 ng/μL DNA of each sample was mixed to constitute bulk pools of each population for bulk segregants analysis. The pools were quantified once again and 10x dilutions were made.

Purity of genomic DNA in each sample was counter checked by performing PCR with barcoding primers. The DNA samples showing missing/fuzzy bands were discarded and DNA extraction process was repeated.

PCR Amplification and Analysis

Master Mix for each PCR reaction constituted 5.83 μL
bionix (Bioline), 5.72 μL HPLC water, 0.041 μL forward and reverse primer each. Total volume for each PCR reaction was 10 μL comprising 9.5 μL of master mix and 0.5 μL of 50 ng/μL template DNA. The PCR reactions were performed in thermal cycler (My Cycler, BIORAD) following standard procedure. Specific conditions for each primer were optimized before carrying out the real experiment.

The primer sequences for the various DNA markers were assessed from the MAS website: (http://maswheat.ucdavis.edu/Index.htm). Genomic DNA was amplified with primers to validate the presence or absence of rust resistant genes in different populations and their parents.

PCR products of CAPS markers csSr2, KSUD14 STS, S30-13 and AGA7-759 were washed and re-precipitated before enzyme digestion. The PCR products of csSr2 were cleaved with BspHI (for Sr2), KSUD14 STS with MspI (for Lr21) while that of S30-13 and AGA7-759 (for Lr51) were restricted with BamHI. Two μL of 10x reaction buffer and 0.5 μL of BspHI/MspI/BamHI (Thermo Scientific®) were added to each re-suspended PCR product. The samples were incubated at 37°C for thirty minutes.

**Gel Electrophoresis**

Electrophoresis was done to resolve the amplified products using BIORAD Wide mini-Sub Cell GT., apparatus. A 3% agarose gel was made by dissolving 2 g of high gelling agarose and 1 g of low gelling agarose powder in 100 mL of 1x TAE buffer. The gels were stained with 1 μL of gel stain (Lonza GelStar™, Rockland, USA), 1 μL of 6x loading dye (Promega) was added to 10 μL PCR products and mixed by vortex. The gels were placed in 10 μL of samples. A 5 μL of 100bp DNA ladder (Promega) was run along with the samples. The gels with variable bands were visualized using gel documentation system (BioRad, ChemiDoc™).

PCR products having alleles with smaller band differences were separated and visualized on 6% acrylamide gels using a vertical electrophoresis apparatus (BIORAD, Mini). The gels were run at 80V until blue line of the dye reached the bottom of the gel. Gel stain (GelStar™) was used for the detection of DNA on vertical gels by post staining. In 50 mL of 1x TAE buffer 5 μL of gel stain was added. The stain was gently poured above the exterior of the gel, incubated for 30 min at room temperature and visualized on gel documentation system.

**Phenotyping of Parents and BC1F2 Populations**

All the BC1F2 populations along with the parents were planted inside a trap nursery and scored for yellow rust (Yr) response. The cultivar Morocco was used as susceptible check. The yellow rust severity was recorded based on the modified Cobb scale (Peterson et al., 1948).

**Results**

The populations 1 and 2 derived after a single backcross from the parent Tukuru showed the presence of Lr34/Yr18 allele. The varieties Inqilab-91, Pavon-76 and Populations 3, 4 derived from them showed csLV34b a null allele for Lr34/Yr18. Only Pavon-76 and the populations derived after a backcross from it (3 and 4) indicated the presence of Lr46/Yr29 genes (Table 1). All the populations and parents showed Hope type allele of Sr2. The parents and the populations illustrated the absence of horizontal resistance gene Lr37/Yr17/Sr38 (Fig. 2).

Under field conditions the plants in population 1 showed an infection type of 30-60 MRMS while those in population 2 showed 20-40 MRMS. In population 3 and 4 having Lr46/Yr29 gene an increase of 22-44% resistance against yellow rust was observed (Fig. 3).

Presence/absence matrix for vertical resistance genes indicated that the genes Lr32, Lr39 and Lr51 were present in all the genotypes except Pavon-76. The stem rust resistance gene SrCad was present in Pavon-76. The gene Lr50 was not detected in population 2 (Table 2). Tree diagram based on horizontal and vertical resistance genes indicated that Population 3 and 4 while Population 1 and the parent Tukuru were similar (Fig. 5).

**Discussion**

**Authentication of Lr34/Yr18 in Inqilab-91 Derived Populations**

Both the Tukuru derived populations (1 and 2) showed the presence of Lr34/Yr18 allele (Fig. 2). The genes were in heterozygous form in population 1 showing the presence of both the alleles, i.e., 150bp csLV34a and 229bp csLV34b PCR products. The 229bp product related to csLV34b is a null allele for Lr34/Yr18 (Kolmer et al., 2008). The population 1 derived its csLV34a allele from the female parent Tukuru and csLV34b allele from male parent Inqilab-91. Careful selection is required utilizing morphological marker of leaf tip necrosis (LTN) to fix the Lr34/Yr18 genes in this population. The Population 2 exhibited a smaller PCR product corresponding to a Tukuru derived csLV34a allele in homozygous form indicating that the durable resistance genes Lr34/Yr18 were fixed in this population. Since the genes were fixed in population 2, the population 1 having the heterozygous allele may be discarded because it is segregating and stability concerns in succeeding generations.

The populations 3, 4 and their parent cultivars Inqilab-91 and Pavon-76 showed csLV34b a null allele for Lr34/Yr18. Singh (1993) also reported the absence of Lr34/Yr18 genes in Pavon-76. The varieties Jepatico-73R and Opata-85 were positive checks for the presence of Lr34/Yr18 genes produced csLV34a.
Table 1: Presence/absence matrix for durable rust resistance genes in parents and BC1F2 populations of wheat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lr34/Yr18</th>
<th>Lr46/Yr29</th>
<th>Sr2</th>
<th>Lr37/Yr17/Sr38</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tukuru</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population 1</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population 2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inqilab-91</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population 3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population 4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavon-76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Presence/absence matrix for race-specific genes in parents and BC1F2 populations of wheat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lr21</th>
<th>Lr32</th>
<th>Lr39</th>
<th>Lr50</th>
<th>Lr51</th>
<th>SrCad</th>
<th>Sr28</th>
<th>SrWeb</th>
<th>YrR61</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tukuru</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population 1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population 2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inqilab-91</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population 3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population 4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavon-76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1:** Crossing plan to incorporate durable rust resistance in wheat cultivar Inqilab-91.

**Fig. 2:** PCR amplification for durable rust resistance genes in parents and BC1F2 populations of wheat (A. Lr34/Yr18, B. Lr46/Yr29, C. Sr2, D. Lr37/Yr17/Sr38).

from Pavon-76. The genes were reported to be present in Pavon-76 by Singh et al. (1998) that had stayed resistant ever since its release in 1976. The cultivar Inqilab-91 which is the other parent of these populations showed the absence of Lr46/Yr29 genes. The cultivar Tukuru and its derived populations (1 and 2) indicated the lack of Lr46/Yr29 allele.

**Exploration of Additional Slow Rusting Genes**

**Validation of Sr2:** The marker csSr2 obtained from the Sr2 locus identifies 3 alleles of Sr2 accurately. 1) ‘null’ allele or lack of amplification 2) Marquis type allele: two fragments (225bp and 112bp) following amplification and cutting by means of BspHI enzyme. This allele is linked to non-Sr2 wheats 3) Hope type allele: three fragments (172bp, 112bp and 53bp) following amplification and cutting by means of BspHI enzyme. This allele is diagnostic to Sr2 (BGRI, accessed on 10-12-2016).

All the populations and parents showed Hope type allele of Sr2 indicated by the production of three fragments after restriction digestion with BspHI (Fig. 2). This gene is fixed in the population and can easily be maintained in the segregating generations by utilizing morphological marker of pseudo-black chaff. Presence of Sr2 in Pavon-76 has previously been reported by Njau et al. (2012).

**Authentication of Yr17/Lr37/Sr38**

The genes for rust resistance, Lr37, Sr38 and Yr17 found in a fragment of *Triticum ventricosum* (Tausch) Cess. chromosome 2NS are trans-located to *Triticum aestivum* chromosome 2AS (Helguera et al., 2003). All the parents and the populations illustrated the absence of 2NS translocations and hence Lr37/Yr17/Sr38 genes (Fig. 2). The genes can be introduced into Inqilab-91 by exploiting appropriate sources. Though, virulence for Lr37 and Yr17 have been known to occur in various countries (Robert et al., 1999) including Rawalakot and Azad Kashmir where moderate to complete susceptibility has been observed in isogenic lines (personal observation) but these genes are still resistant to a broad range of races and is effective when combined with other genes of rust resistance (Helguera et al., 2003).
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Field Response against Yellow Rust

Since the individual plants of back crossed F₂ populations were segregating hence the yellow rust response data indicated a variable reaction under field conditions. The populations who had Lr46/Yr29 gene exhibited more yellow rust severity compared to ones having Lr34/Yr18. The plants in population 1 showed 30-60 MRMS reaction while those in population 2 showed 20-40 MRMS reaction (Fig. 3). When compared to susceptible parent Inqilab-91 (90S) the population 1 and 2 having Lr34/Yr18 indicated 33-67% and 56-78% increase in yellow rust resistance respectively, while the population 3 and 4 having Lr46/Yr29 indicated 22-44% increase in yellow rust resistance. This huge increase in resistance might be the result of possible transfer of some minor genes along with the slow rusting genes. The presence of 3 or 4 minor genes in addition to non-race specific genes has already been reported in both the parents Tukuru and Pavon-76 (Singh et al., 2001).

Screening of Populations and Parents for Race-Specific Genes

Resistance conferred by race specific genes is short lived and quickly overcome by the pathogen in a wide spread cultivation of cultivar carrying that gene; however these genes could be employed in combination with other resistance genes specifically the slow rusting genes.

Conclusion

Based on molecular data the segregants of population 2 comprising Lr34/Yr18, Sr2, Lr32, Lr39 and Lr51 genes were the most appropriate ones to be exploited in succeeding generations as Lr34/Yr18 was in homozygous form. The population 2 was back crossed once with the moderately resistant Tukuru, highlighted the significance of back cross to secure the gene of interest in the succeeding generation. Crossing Inqilab-91 with resistant parent resulted in low infection type populations. Slow rusting genes from succeeding generations of population 2 and 3 and/or 4 could be combined in a single genotype through convergent crossing. Inclusion of more slow rusting genes
like *Lr37/Yr17/Sr38* in Inqilab-91 back ground might be more fruitful in producing durable resistance having a low infection type.
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