

## Aberystwyth University

A frozen asset

Irvine-Fynn, Tristram David; Edwards, Arwyn

Published in: Cytometry Part A

DOI: 10.1002/cyto.a.22411

Publication date: 2014

Citation for published version (APA): Irvine-Fynn, T. D., & Edwards, A. (2014). A frozen asset: The potential of flow cytometry in constraining the glacial biome. *Cytometry Part A*, 85(1), 3-7. https://doi.org/10.1002/cyto.a.22411

**Document License** Unclear 1

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Aberystwyth Research Portal (the Institutional Repository) are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Aberystwyth Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.

You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Aberystwyth Research Portal

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

tel: +44 1970 62 2400 email: is@aber.ac.uk

### Cytometry Journal of the International Society for Autometer of Cytometer

# A Frozen Asset: The Potential of Flow Cytometry in Constraining the Glacial Biome

### TO THE EDITOR:

Today, around two thirds ( $\sim$ 51M km<sup>3</sup>) of Earth's freshwater is locked away in glaciers, ice caps and ice sheets (1). Yet, wholesale recognition that these frozen assets constitute Earth's largest freshwater ecosystem is only recent (2). Considering the global scale of this glacial habitat, and its important role in Earth's climate system, it should neither be neglected nor overlooked. Here, we evaluate a cytometric definition of this globally significant, icy biome.

A sceptical reader more familiar with marine and terrestrial biomes may dismiss the notion of a glacial ecosystem on the grounds that, being "as pure as driven snow," this icy world is not significantly contaminated by microbes. Yet, long-standing evidence points to microbial habitats in unlikely, cold environments including clouds, precipitation, and snow-cover (3); while cores from the Earth's two ice sheets reveal the prevalence of immured microbes in glacier ice, with concentrations in the order of  $10^2 - 10^7$  cells/mL in ice of 750 ka in age (4-6). Assuming these microbial abundances are broadly representative, a total of between  $4 \times 10^{25}$  and  $7 \times 10^{29}$  cells may lie entombed in glacial ice. However, this is a conservative assessment for the glacial biome because the elevated biomass associated with active habitats at the ice surface and glacier bed remains poorly constrained and eukaryote communities are excluded. In comparison, Whitman et al. (7), omitting glacierized environments, reported global estimates for Bacteria and Archaea abundance in all non-glacial freshwater  $(1.3 \times 10^{25} \text{ cells})$ , and rainforest, tundra, and alpine soils (Table 1). The comparable magnitude and associated uncertainties of estimates of glacial ice biomass illustrate its potential importance, and motivates continuing investigation.

Microbial ecologists have typically preferred to concentrate cells on membrane filters and enumerate cells stained for nucleic acids using epifluorescence microscopy. However, the low concentrations of cells typical of individual samples from snow, glacial ice, or meltwaters, coupled with their presence in an aqueous media, would seem to readily lend flow cytometry (FCM) as an ideal analytical tool for the quantification of cells associated with glacial samples. Nevertheless, despite a much longer history of application in other aquatic habitats (8), and its capability for multi-parameter interrogation of heterogeneous microbial populations at the single-cell level (9), FCM has yet to become an established tool within glacial ecology.

Notwithstanding infrequent application, the potential utility of FCM for probing the glacial cryosphere has been demonstrated by the small, but growing, number of glacial and snowpack studies. Initially, FCM enumerations employed Hoechst 33342 to discern microbes in ice from depths of  $\sim$ 3 km below the Antarctic ice sheet surface (6). Subsequently, studies applied SYTO stains to enumerate microbes and explore cell size distributions for samples drawn from the GISP2 ice core (5), while measurements of cell concentrations in Tibetan glacier snowpacks (10) have paralleled the perspective that SYBR Green is a more effective nucleic acid stain for freshwater samples. However, these snapshots of communities within glacial ice or snow are not a true reflection of the complexity of community and habitat dynamics that characterize the glacial biome.

While both water and cellular mobility in deep ice may be limited (11), the dynamic and porous nature of a glacier's surface potentially facilitates the translocation of cells and nutrients. The atmosphere–ice interface exhibits complex topography and its hydraulic conductivity evolves as

Received 4 March 2012; revised 13 September 2013; Accepted 18 October 2013

Grant sponsor: Climate Change Consortium of Wales (C3W);

Grant sponsor: NERC Grant, Grant number: NE/K000942/1.

Published online 22 November 2013 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com) DOI: 10.1002/cyto.a.22411

© 2013 International Society for Advancement of Cytometry

Correspondence to: Tristram Irvine-Fynn, Department of Geography and Earth Sciences (DGES), Aberystwyth University, Aberystwyth, SY23 3DB, United Kingdom. E-mail: tdi@aber.ac.uk or Arwyn Edwards, Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences (IBERS), Aberystwyth University, Aberystwyth, SY23 3DA, United Kingdom. E-mail: aye@aber.ac.uk

**Table 1.** Quantities of cells and associated macronutrients and macromolecules for a variety of Earth's biomes, including estimations for annual riverine and glacial runoff volumes. The first-order estimate for cells in glacier ice uses a median concentration from published data.

UNITS	RAINFOREST SOIL <sup>a</sup>	TUNDRA & ALPINE SOIL <sup>a</sup>	FRESHWATER <sup>a</sup>	RIVERINE RUNOFF (Year <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a,b</sup>	OCEANIC PHOTIC ZONE <sup>a</sup>	GLACIER ICE	GLACIER RUNOFF (Year <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>c</sup>	UNCERTAINTY (±%)
Cells	$1.0 \times 10^{27}$	$2.0 \times 10^{28}$	$1.3 \times 10^{25}$	$4.6 \times 10^{25}$	$3.6 \times 10^{25}$	$3.6 \times 10^{29}$	$3.2 \times 10^{21}$	_
Gg C Gg N <sup>e</sup>	$4.2 \times 10^{3}$	$4.0 \times 10^{4}$ $8.4 \times 10^{4}$	$2.6 \times 10^{10}$ $5.5 \times 10^{10}$	$9.1 \times 10$ $1.9 \times 10^2$	$1.5 \times 10^2$	$7.2 \times 10^{-1.5} \times 10^{6}$	$6.5 \times 10$ $1.3 \times 10^{-2}$	23
Gg P <sup>e</sup> Gg DNA <sup>f</sup>	$\frac{1.2 \times 10^3}{3.2 \times 10^3}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.4 \times 10^4 \\ 6.4 \times 10^4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.6\times10^{1}\\ 4.2\times10^{1}\end{array}$	$5.5 \times 10^{1}$ $1.5 \times 10^{2}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.3 \times 10^{1} \\ 1.2 \times 10^{2} \end{array}$	$4.3 \times 10^{5}$ $1.2 \times 10^{6}$	$3.8 \times 10^{-5}$ $1.0 \times 10^{-2}$	30 31
Gg RNA <sup>f</sup> Gg Protein <sup>f</sup>	$3.6 \times 10^{3}$ $2.3 \times 10^{4}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.3\times10^4\\ 4.6\times10^5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.7\times10^1\\ 3.0\times10^2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.7\times10^2\\ 1.1\times10^3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.3\times10^2\\ 8.3\times10^2\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.3\times10^6\\ 8.3\times10^6\end{array}$	$1.2 \times 10^{-2}$ $7.3 \times 10^{-2}$	2 1

<sup>a</sup>Source: (7) Whitman et al., Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998;95:6578-6583.

<sup>b</sup>Source: (1) Oki and Kanae, Science 2006;313:1068–1072.

<sup>c</sup>Source: (13) Irvine-Fynn et al., Environ Microbiol 2012;14:2998–3012.

<sup>d</sup>Assuming 20fg C/cell: (7) Whitman et al., Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998;95:6578–6583; (11) Price, FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2007;59:217–231. <sup>e</sup>C-ratios from: Fagerbakke et al., Aquat Microbiol Ecol 1996;10:15–27; Vrede et al., Appl Environ Microbiol 2002;68:2965–2971.

<sup>f</sup>C-ratios from: Simon and Azam, Marine Ecol Prog Ser 1989;51:201–213.

the crystalline structure weathers and degrades with both incident radiation and meltwater enlarging the interstitial spaces (Fig. 1). Although incident solar shortwave radiation may penetrate to 10 m, limits of this porous ice "photic zone" to < 2 m are more typical. Under ablating conditions, the meltwater influx to, and flow within, this depth-limited aquifer is highly variable, allowing storage in and release from the interstitial voids. Consequently, with concentrations of  $10^2-10^3$  cells/mL in glacier ice but >  $10^6$  cells/mL in the interstitial meltwater (12), the redistribution of microbes is highly plausible.

One of the first FCM-based studies exploring the changing aspects of the glacier photic zone ecosystem focused on the export of cells from the surface of a High-Arctic glacier (13). Findings included (i) a temporal change in the proportion of bacterial cell-sized particles exhibiting green autofluorescence; (ii) an inverse non-linear relationship between meltwater discharge and cell abundance, with in-stream concentrations significantly lower than those of the near-surface ice; and (iii) disparity between melting glacier surface cell inputs (from airborne deposition and ice melt) and output (in meltwater runoff) implying a retention of cells and "biological darkening" of the ice surface. Combined, these observations indicate complex glacier surface transport processes mediate the delivery of cells, DNA, and organic matter to aquatic and terrestrial downstream habitats.

Since microbes are present on glacier surfaces world-wide (2), and by crudely assuming that the study by Irvine-Fynn et al. (13) is representative of the global cryosphere, it is tempting to extrapolate a first-order estimate of the export of *Bacteria* and *Archaea* from glacier surfaces worldwide. Currently, outside Antarctica, seasonally melting ice extends over  $\sim 7.3 \times 10^5$  km<sup>2</sup>, suggesting between  $10^{21}$  and  $10^{26}$  cells may be contained in the porous glacial photic zone. Surprisingly, this compares particularly well to the  $4 \times 10^{25}$  cells found in the  $\sim 200$  m deep photic zone of the Earth's oceans (7). Moreover, with a global area-weighted mean glacier mass balance

for 1983–2003 of -0.3 m w.e.  $a^{-1}$  (14) and assuming a 70-day ice-melt season, we estimate an annual delivery of  $3.15 \times 10^{21}$  cells from glacier ice to downstream environments. While this number may represent only <0.1% of the Earth's annual fluvial export of *Archaea* and *Bacteria* to the oceans, and is ignorant of snowmelt contributions, the cellular delivery represented as quantities of nutrients and macromolecules may be locally important for deglaciating catchments (Table 1). Although these data are speculative, they emphasize the need for catchment- or glacier-scale studies to improve on the current lacuna in understanding of cell mobility, budgets, and potential release of bioavailable nutrients and macromolecules to the extra-glacial environments.

Clearly, ambiguities remain regarding the microbes and products that enter, reside in, and leave the glacier habitat. Yet innovative application of FCM has the potential to enable novel insights into the glacial biome, with focus in three distinct areas.

Firstly, to date, discrete studies have surveyed individual glacier surfaces or subsurfaces, explored temporal variations or compared a limited number of catchments across an environmental gradient. Consequently, our existing perceptions of Earth's glacial biome are fiercely extrapolative, as is the case for other aquatic systems: if the calculations presented above, and in Table 1, serve no other purpose, they aptly illustrate this present state-of-the-art.

Resolving the fundamental mechanisms governing heterogeneity in microbial community composition and functions across multiple spatial and temporal scales is one key challenge for understanding microbial ecosystems. Here, we contend that to catalyze such a transition for glacial ecology, intensive sampling and characterization of microbial populations, processes, and interactions on spatial and temporal scales spanning many orders of magnitude is merited. In the first instance, this might be achieved by intensive and extensive comparative studies of many catchments—a tactic which demands a standardized methodological approach. As such, considering its highthroughput and reproducibility, FCM is the model platform for quantifying inputs, throughputs, and outputs of microbial



**Figure 1.** Conceptual model of the glacier surface habitats, highlighting the photic zone, porous ice layers and the perched water table which all represent opportunities for vertical and lateral microbial translocation, with decreasing likelihood as depth from surface increases (redrawn from Müller F, Keeler CM. Errors in short-term ablation measurements on melting ice surfaces. *J Glaciology* 1969;8(52):91–105). Cryoconite is a semi-stable accumulation of inorganic and organic dust, itself harbouring a microbial community. Planimetric images for the key habitats are shown (image area approximately  $30 \times 30$  cm) with surface reflectance plots (solid line) contrasted to a clean glacier ice reflectance reference (dashed greyed line) for ultraviolet to infrared wavelengths. Note the influence biologically active communities have upon glacier surface reflectance.

biomass from different components of glacial systems over time and space.

Secondly, advances in FCM technology pave the way for multi-parameter interrogation of particle and cell properties, extending the utility of FCM far beyond merely counting cells. Multiple combinations of laser excitation and detector arrays may be used simultaneously to examine samples, either unstained or stained. Autofluorescence signals from unstained populations may prove informative of sub-population dynamics (13,15). As previously summarized (16), fluorescence emission is potentially the most sensitive photonic probe in the absence of sample preparation and/or destruction: polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) can be observed using deep UV (<250 nm) excitation, longer wavelength UV ( $\sim375$  nm) cedes fluorescence in the visible wavelengths from microorganism metabolites (e.g., flavin adenine dinucleotide), and visible spectrum excitation yields fluorescence in the red to NIR (>500 nm) range for a variety of microbial pigments (e.g., phycobiliproteins and chlorophylls). This analytical potential is aided by the diminished natural autofluorescence of inorganic, mineral particles (15). Moreover, the diverse range of molecular probes available offer an additional wealth of possibilities, but these must be subject to careful validation. For example, a fundamental question for any environmental microbiologist relates to the growth states and viability of a microbial community so the application of proprietary "Live/ Dead" stains combined with FCM is not uncommon. In the glacial context, caution must be advised, as populations under stress may yield anomalous results (13,17).

In addition to physiological probes, phylogenetic stains may be useful. These necessitate fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) with oligonucleotides targeting ribosomal RNA prior to FCM. This is a well-established methodology in other aquatic environments, but FISH has hitherto only seen limited use in glacial environments (18). Since pyrosequencing reveals temporal shifts in the relative abundance of higher phylogenetically ranked bacterial taxa present in supraglacial habitats (19), FISH-FCM would appear a promising methodology for the high-throughput quantification of phylogenetic groups within glacial samples. Furthermore, deeper understanding of links between taxonomic composition and functionality in glacial communities is required. Flow activated cell sorting (CS) may prove particularly useful, for example, in conducting FISH-CS of experimental microcosms subjected to stable isotope probing. Sorted subpopulations may then be analyzed using metagenomics or NanoSIMS methods to yield further phylogenetic or functional information at the sub-population or individual cell level.

Using FISH-CS for selecting subpopulations or even single cells for down-stream genomics analyses faces challenges. These principally relate to the post-sorting amplification of nucleic acids by either PCR or whole genome amplification which is prone to contamination or fixative inhibition. One promising advance is the method by Yilmaz et al. (20) who reported FISH and FISH-CS of bacterial populations without fixation, thus improving the quality of nucleic acids for later analyses. It remains to be seen whether this convenient method is readily Finally, the development of portable, rugged field instruments opens the possibilities for near real-time FCM of glacial samples. This strategy minimizes artifacts from storage (or fixation) and lends itself to intensive or continuous monitoring of cell fluxes, readily coupled to environmental monitoring campaigns and further facilitating collation of comparable and collaborative suites of data related to the glacial biome.

In conclusion, the recent adoption of cytometric methods within glaciology has revealed Earth's icy reservoirs to harbor a wealth of microbial life. However, much remains unknown in terms of processes, diversity, and dynamics of the glacial ecosystem both in the surface photic zone and at depth. We have demonstrated that FCM has a currently untapped potential to advance our understanding in the emerging field of glacier microbial ecology. Continuing FCM progress, driven by the cytometry community, promises to answer critical questions on microbial abundance, community, dynamics, and function within Earth's frozen freshwater assets.

### **A**CKNOWLEDGMENTS

TIF and AE acknowledge all their collaborators and mentors in the burgeoning field of glacial ecology. Dr Rob Bryant is thanked for loan of a spectrometer used to generate plots in Figure 1. Drs Sara Rassner and Andrew Thomas are thanked for critical comments on the first draft of the manuscript. Two anonymous reviewers are thanked for their respective inputs. The authors apologize for any apparent citation omissions due to space constraints.

### Tristram D. L. Irvine-Fynn\* Centre for Glaciology (CfG) and Interdisciplinary Centre for Environmental Microbiology (iCEM) Department of Geography and Earth Sciences (DGES) Aberystwyth University Aberystwyth, SY23 3DB United Kingdom Arwyn Edwards Centre for Glaciology (CfG) and Interdisciplinary Centre for Environmental Microbiology (iCEM) Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences (IBERS) Aberystwyth University Aberystwyth, SY23 3DA United Kingdom

### LITERATURE CITED

- Oki T, Kanae S. Global hydrological cycles and world water resources. Science 2006; 313:1068–1072.
- Hodson AJ, Anesio AM, Tranter M, Fountain AG, Osborn AM, Priscu J, Laybourn-Parry J, Sattler B. Glacial ecosystems. Ecol Monogr 2008;78:41–67.

- Kol E. Kryobiologie: Biologie und limnologie des schnees und eises I. In: Elster H-J, Ohle W, editors. Kryovegetation. Stuttgart: E Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung (Nagele & Obermiller); 1968. 216 p.
- Christner BC, Mosley-Thompson E, Thompson LG, Reeve JN. Bacterial recovery from ancient ice. Environ Microbiol 2003;5:433–436.
- Miteva VI, Brenchley JE. Detection and isolation of ultrasmall microorganisms from a 120,000-year-old Greenland glacier ice core. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005;71: 7806–7818.
- Karl DM, Bird DF, Bjorkman K, Houlihan T, Shackelford R, Tupas L. Microorganisms in the accreted ice of Lake Vostok, Antarctica. Science 1999;286: 2144–2147.
- Whitman WB, Coleman DC, Wiebe WJ. Prokaryotes: The unseen majority. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998;95:6578–6583.
- Wang Y, Hammes F, De Roy K, Verstraete W, Boon N. Past, present and future applications of flow cytometry in aquatic microbiology. Trends Biotechnol 2010;28:416– 424.
- Davey HM, Kell DB. Flow cytometry and cell sorting of heterogeneous microbial populations—The importance of single-cell analyses. Microbiol Rev 1996;60:641– 696.
- Liu Y, Yao T, Jiao N, Kang S, Xu B, Zeng Y, Huang S, Liu X. Bacterial diversity in the snow over Tibetan Plateau Glaciers. Extremophiles 2009;13:411–423.
- Price PB. Microbial life in glacial ice and implications for a cold origin of life. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2007;59:217–231.

- Mader HM, Pettitt ME, Wadham JL, Wolff EW, Parkes RJ. Subsurface ice as a microbial habitat. Geology 2006;34:169–172.
- Irvine-Fynn TDL, Edwards A, Newton S, Langford H, Rassner SM, Telling J, Anesio AM, Hodson AJ. Microbial cell budgets of an Arctic glacier surface quantified using flow cytometry. Environ Microbiol 2012;14:2998–3012.
- Dyurgerov MB, Meier MF. Glaciers and the changing Earth system: A 2004 snapshot. INSTAAR Occassional Paper 2005;58:118.
- Price PB, Bay RC. Marine bacteria in deep Arctic and Antarctic ice cores: A proxy for evolution in oceans over 300 million generations. Biogeosciences 2012;9:3799–3815.
  Storrie-Lombardi MC, Sattler B. Laser-Induced Fluorescence Emission (L.I.F.E.): in
- Storie-Lonibardi MC, Satter D, Lase-Induced Fuorescence Emission (L.I.F.E.): in situ nondestructive detection of microbial life in the ice covers of Antarctic lakes. Astrobiology 2009;9:659–672.
- Davey HM, Hexley P. Red but not dead? Membranes of stressed Saccharomyces cerevisiae are permeable to propidium iodide. Environ Microbiol 2011;13:163–171.
- Foreman CF, Sattler B, Mickuchi JA, Porazinska DL, Priscu JC. Metabolic activity and diversity of cryoconites in the Taylor Valley, Antarctica. J Geophys Res 2007;112: 11.
- Hell K, Edwards A, Zarsky J, Podmirseg SM, Girwood S, Pachebat JA, Insam H, Sattler B. The dynamic bacterial communities of a melting High Arctic glacier snowpack. ISME J, 2013;7:1814–1826.
- Yilmaz S, Haroon MF, Rabkin BA, Tyson GW, Hugenholtz P. Fixation-free fluorescence in situ hybridization for targeted enrichment of microbial populations. ISME J 2010;4:1352–1356.