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ABSTRACT: The links between structural glaciology, glacial debris entrainment and transport have been established in a number of different glacier settings. Here we document the structural evolution of a temperate Alpine valley glacier (Vadrec del Forno, Switzerland) and demonstrate that individual flow units within the glacier have very different structural and debris characteristics. The glacier consists of a broad accumulation area with multiple basins feeding a relatively narrow tongue and is formed from six distinct flow units. Each flow unit has its own characteristic structural assemblage. Flow units that narrow rapidly down-glacier are dominated by primary stratification that has evolved into longitudinal foliation. In contrast, wider flow units preferentially develop an axial planar foliation. Glacier structure plays a limited role in the entrainment of debris, which is more strongly influenced by ice-marginal rockfall and avalanche inputs onto the glacier surface. However, once entrained, glacier structure controls the reorientation and redistribution of debris within the ice mass. By taking a whole-glacier approach to describing glacier structure and debris transport, we conclude that individual flow units are unique with regard to structure and debris transfer. Copyright © 2013 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
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Introduction

The aim of this paper is to investigate the structural evolution of a temperate Alpine valley glacier (Vadrec del Forno, Switzerland), and to demonstrate that individual glacier flow units exert a strong control on glacier structure and the entrainment and transport of debris. Many sediment–landform associations or landsystems preserve evidence of glacier structure, but on the whole the relationship with the source glaciers has only been defined in a few cases. Further work is important for reconstructing former glacier limits and thermal regime (Evans, 2003).

Recent research has focused primarily on the structural controls of debris transport in high-Arctic polythermal and cold-based glaciers. In particular, structural analysis of glacial sediments has been effectively applied to a number of valley glaciers in Svalbard (Bennett et al., 1996; Hambrey et al., 1996, 1999, 2005; Boulton et al., 1999; Hambrey and Glasser, 2003b; Hubbard et al., 2004). These studies have highlighted the importance of foliation, folding, and thrusting in controlling debris transport in polythermal and cold-based glaciers and how these processes constrain proglacial landform development. In contrast, there are comparatively few studies of temperate valley glaciers. Previous studies have commonly regarded debris transport as part of a larger scale glacial system (Drewry, 1972; Boulton and Eyles, 1978; Small, 1987; Kirkbride, 1995; Evans, 2003), or have focused only on the formation of certain debris-related glacial features (Boulton, 1967; Drewry, 1972; Eyles and Rogerson, 1978; Small et al., 1979; Gomez and Small, 1985; Kirkbride and Spedding, 1996; Anderson, 2000). Nevertheless, a few studies have addressed structural controls on debris transport in contrasting temperate valley glaciers, notably Austerdalsbreen in Norway and the Berend Glacier in the Canadian Rockies (Eyles and Rogerson, 1978), Haut Glacier d’Arolla in Switzerland (Goodsell et al., 2005a, 2005b), Glacier de St. Sorlin in France (Roberson, 2008), and the lower Fox Glacier in New Zealand (Appleby et al., 2010).

Vadrec del Forno differs from the previously studied temperate glaciers in having multiple accumulation basins that produce converging flow units, each mainly supplied with blocky granite clasts from precipitous head- and side-walls (Figure 1). Furthermore, years of negative mass balance have revealed ice-surface structures in remarkable detail. By applying structurally based sediment analysis techniques to this previously unstudied temperate valley glacier, we determine the ongoing structural evolution, debris-distribution processes, and dynamic history of the glacier, and thereby provide a basis for comparison with other Alpine valley glacier systems.

Study Area

Vadrec del Forno (46°17′N to 46°20′N, 9°40′E to 9°43′E) is a north-flowing temperate Alpine valley glacier, located in Val Forno near Passo del Maloja, southeast Switzerland. The glacier is approximately 5.3 km in length (Swiss Glacier Monitoring Network, 2013) and consists of a broad accumulation area that comprises two distinct basins feeding a relatively...
narrow tongue, with a third joining the tongue halfway along its length (Figure 2). The two main accumulation basins have a maximum ice-surface altitude of c. 3200 m a.s.l., with the third tributary basin reaching an altitude of 2860 m a.s.l. The glacier snout terminated at 2240 m a.s.l in 2011. Between 1911 and 2011 the glacier receded 1.9 km, having advanced only for one season (1934–1935) since records began (Swiss Glacier Monitoring Network, 2013). The glacier terminates as a gently sloping convex snout, heavily pitted from both supraglacial and subglacial meltwater erosion. The immediate proglacial area is a braid-plain, flanked by uneven rubble slopes of basal and supraglacial debris. The bedrock geology of upper Val Forno and the mountains surrounding the glacier consist almost entirely of porphyritic granodiorite of Oligocene age (30 Ma), belonging to the Bregalia – Lorio Intrusive Complex that straddles the border of Switzerland and Italy (Trommsdorff and Connolly, 1996). The rocks are characterized by widely spaced joints, but there is no obvious structural feature controlling the location of the glacier.

Field observations
Three-dimensional structures were identified in the field according to their dimensions, orientation, and cross-cutting relationships, using criteria outlined by Goodsell et al. (2005b). Structures identified on Vadrec del Forno included primary stratification, longitudinal foliation, axial planar foliation, folds, and various fracture systems. Three-dimensional measurements of structures were recorded using a compass/clinometer. The following attributes of each structure were recorded: length, shape, whether it was open or closed, evidence of displacement, debris content and size, ice-crystal size, ice colour, ice-crystal shape and alignment, and dip angle and dip orientation. From these data, the overall glacier structure and its sequential development were determined.

Sedimentary facies on the glacier surface
Samples of 50 clasts from 37 individual sediment facies were collected from a variety of locations on and around Vadrec del Forno (Figure 2), following the approach of Benn and Ballantyne (1994). The morphology of sediment features was recorded, including height, length, orientation, slope angle, and shape. Matrix descriptions including colour, degree of sorting, clast size-range, character of bedding, and the percentage of constituent grain sizes, were also documented. For each clast sampled the lithology, shape (following Hubbard and Glasser, 2005), roundness (following Powers, 1953), and texture (faceting, grooves, striations, and chipped edges), were recorded. Clast characteristics are recorded in RAC40 scatterplots following Benn and Ballantyne (1994). Sedimentary facies derived from glacier ice are categorized according to the modification by Hambrey and Glasser (2003a) of Moncrieff’s (1989) non-genetic classification of poorly sorted sediments.

Structural Composition of Vadrec del Forno
A range of planar structures and fractures were observed; these are organized sequentially from equilibrium line to snout using structural geological notation. Their attributes are given in

Figure 1. View of the upper section of Vadrec del Forno from Capanna del Forno. Photograph taken August 2011.
Table T1 along with their interpretation. These components are discussed in turn below.

**Flow units**

Vadrec del Forno is formed of six flow units, each of which can be traced back to its respective sub-accumulation basin (Figure 2). Flow units are characterized by relatively wide bands of arcuate planar structures (Figure F3).

Prominent longitudinal anastomosing layers of coarse clear, coarse bubbly, and fine clear ice are found at flow-unit boundaries, becoming increasingly debris-rich down-glacier. This is especially true in the middle reaches of the glacier where medial moraines eventually form towards the snout. The central flow units can be traced directly from their respective sub-accumulation areas to the glacier snout; however, the lateral flow units become increasingly debris-covered and indistinct towards the terminus.

**Arcuate planar structures (S₀)**

Description

Irregular but continuous arcuate planar structures are observed primarily in the upper reaches of the glacier (Figure 3). Ice facies commonly consist of alternating layers of coarse bubbly, coarse clear, and fine bubbly ice, which tend to plunge gently up-glacier. Large-scale asymmetric folding occurs around flow-parallel fold axes with the strongest folding coinciding with flow-unit boundaries (Figure 4). Parasitic folds commonly occur on larger fold limbs. Structures present in the centre of flow units reflect the geometry of their individual sub-accumulation basins, becoming increasingly arcuate down-glacier.

Interpretation

Continuous arcuate planar structures are interpreted as primary stratification (S₀). The different ice facies reflect the layering of snow and firm preserved during firnification. Despite modification by melt and refreezing, the ice descriptions are consistent with previous interpretations of initial snowpack formation (Wadham and Nuttall, 2002). Low-density coarse bubbly ice, often observed in relatively thick layers, represents winter snow accumulation that has undergone partial melt and refreezing. High-density coarse clear ice represents the refreezing of meltwater and slush accumulation at the base of the snowpack. Generally thinner strata consisting of small (<5 mm) fine ice crystals represents summer snow accumulation.
Longitudinal planar structures (S₁)

Description
Steeply dipping longitudinal planar structures are evident across the majority of the glacier tongue (Figure 3). These structures are orientated parallel to and are most discernible at, flow-unit boundaries. Ice-facies composition is very similar to primary stratification (S₀), consisting of alternating layers of coarse clear, coarse bubbly, and fine-grained ice (Figure 4). Individual layers rarely exceed several tens of centimetres in thickness. Less frequent sub-centimetre-thick layers of fine white ice usually occur at flow unit boundaries.

Interpretation
Steeply dipping longitudinal planar structures are interpreted as longitudinal foliation (S₁). The similar ice facies composition of primary stratification (S₀) and longitudinal foliation (S₁) suggests that the latter represents a transition from the former. Longitudinal foliation evolves when transverse primary stratification becomes re-orientated by ductile flow. This occurs primarily in areas of converging flow which are dominated by simple shear regimes and longitudinal extension (Hambrey, 1977a; Hambrey and Lawson, 2000). However, fine white ice layers are not observed in primary stratification. Formation of these thin layers is most likely the result of crystallographic

Table I. Description and interpretation of structures, including sequential status and spatial distribution on Vadrec del Forno

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuous layers initially parallel to equilibrium line; increasingly wavy down-glacier</td>
<td>Primary stratification (S₀)</td>
<td>S₀</td>
<td>Ubiquitous in accumulation area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attenuation of S₀ layering with fold axes parallel to inferred flow</td>
<td>Folding of stratification (S₀)</td>
<td>F₁</td>
<td>Ubiquitous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anastomosing layers of coarse bubbly, coarse clear, and fine-grained ice; length of layers measured in metres, thickness a few centimetres</td>
<td>Longitudinal foliation (S₁)</td>
<td>S₁</td>
<td>Well developed at flow-unit boundaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As above but intersecting folded S₀</td>
<td>Axial planar foliation (S₁)</td>
<td>S₁</td>
<td>Primarily found in flow unit 3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open fractures, up to a few metres wide. Continuous planar traces parallel to these and extending into them, comprising of coarse clear ice with crystals developed orthogonal to fracture margin and commonly showing a suture defined by bubbles in the middle</td>
<td>Transverse/ diagonal crevasses and crevasse traces (S₂)</td>
<td>S₂</td>
<td>Ubiquitous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Splaying crevasses and crevasse traces</td>
<td>S₃</td>
<td>Develops 100 metres from terminus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Terminal arcuate crevasses and crevasse traces</td>
<td>S₄</td>
<td>Develops 20 metres from terminus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Structural map of ductile features, including arcuate planar structures (stratification) and longitudinal foliation.
modification of ice layers when experiencing simple shear. A second type of longitudinal planar structure was also observed in Vadrec del Forno. Axial-planar foliation cross-cuts primary stratification in a geometrically similar fashion to slaty cleavage seen in folded sedimentary rocks (Hambrey et al., 2005). Unlike the formation of longitudinal foliation described above, axial-planar foliation is not derived from pre-existing structures. It is less common on the glacier than longitudinal foliation, yet forms preferentially where primary stratification is preserved relatively far down-glacier.

Fractures and continuous planar traces (S2)

Description
Multiple sets of fractures are evident across the entire surface of the glacier (S2) (Figures 5, 6, and 7). Continuous planar traces are common features in all flow units; however, open fractures are currently confined to the upper basins and lateral margins of the glacier. No open fractures exceeding 1 m in width were observed. Planar traces often extend for many tens of metres in length; however, no open crevasse exceeding c. 10 m was observed. Open fractures and planar traces cross-cut primary stratification and foliation development.

Interpretation
Open fractures and continuous planar traces are interpreted as crevasses and crevasse traces respectively. Open crevasses form either in the relatively steep accumulation basins in response to extending flow (Figure 6), or on the glacier’s flanks resulting from shear stresses at the lateral margins. Fractures resulting from extending flow develop normal to the principal tensile stress tensor, producing linear traces transverse to flow which become increasingly convex down-glacier over time. Fractures on the lateral margins of the glacier configure themselves as chevron crevasses, which are linear fractures aligned obliquely up-glacier (Benn and Evans, 2010). Two main processes lead to crevasse trace genesis: (i) refreezing of meltwater in open crevasses; (ii) propagation of tensional veins whereby new ice crystals grow parallel to the principal tensile stress tensor (Hambrey et al., 2005). The majority of crevasse traces appear in the upper reaches of the glacier, within or close to areas of open fractures. This along with the presence of so many crevasse traces in comparison with open crevasses suggests that crevasse traces primarily form as tensional veins, either as fractures in their own right, or as continuations of open crevasses. The presence of crevasse traces near the glacier snout, despite undergoing substantial ablation, suggests that fracture propagation must be relatively deep, possibly reaching the bed. This phenomenon has been noted on other valley glaciers (Hambrey and Müller, 1978). Additionally the cross-cutting relationship between crevasse traces and other structures highlights the fact that fracture formation succeeds primary stratification and foliation development.

Longitudinal fractures (S3)

Description
Longitudinal fracture sets comprising open vertical fractures extending up to 50 m in length and no greater than 1 m in width are found exclusively at the glacier snout.

Interpretation
Longitudinal fractures are interpreted as splaying crevasses (S3). As the tongue narrows as a result of ablation, the lateral margins...
Figure 5. Structural map of brittle features: crevasses and crevasse traces.

Figure 6. Brittle fracture related structures: (A) open crevasses in the upper accumulation area as a result of extending flow; (B) a partially healed, water-filled crevasse; note the ice crystals growing perpendicular to the crevasses edge; (C) open arcuate crevasses on the true right of the glacier. It is suspected that the enlargement of an abandoned subglacial cavity is responsible for crevasse formation.
of the glacier no longer abut against the lateral moraines. Subsequently at the glacier’s snout some extension transverse to glacier flow can occur where the glacier is no longer confined by its lateral moraines, allowing longitudinal fractures to open parallel to the main glacier flow direction.

**Arcuate fractures (S4)**

**Description**

On the true-right of the glacier are a series of arcuate fractures orientated concave down-glacier. The fractures are c. 100 m in length and range from 0.5 to 2 m in width. They are situated behind a small ice cliff on the snout of the glacier (Figure 6).

**Interpretation**

Arcuate fractures are interpreted as crevasses which have opened as a result of the enlargement of a subglacial cavity (S4). Fracturing usually occurs on the lateral margins of a glacier as a result of shear stresses, or in zones of extending flow. As neither process is active in the vicinity of the arcuate fractures, and the area is unaffected by valley morphology, the enlargement of a subglacial cavity is inferred. This process, which is increasingly common on alpine glaciers as recession and, increasingly stagnation, leads to a reduction in internal deformation that would otherwise result in cavity closure. At the time of the field study, subglacial meltwater preferentially emerged from the terminus on the true-left of the glacier. This suggests that the cavity on the true-right of the glacier was once a subglacial conduit, and that it was subsequently abandoned.

**Circular/elliptical void structures**

**Description**

A variety of circular/elliptical void structures were observed at Vadrec del Forno. Their size varies, ranging from a few tens of centimetres in length through to c. 4 m. Two types of void were observed: fully healed, and water-filled. Healed structures rarely exceed c. 1 m in length, consisting of blue ice crystals c. 10–15 cms long, growing perpendicular to the structure’s edge. All other void structures were water-filled.

**Interpretation**

Circular/elliptical crystal structures are interpreted as crystal quirks, following Stenborg (1968). Their formation represents the recrystallization of voids originally existing as moulins or englacial drainage features (Goodsell et al., 2005b).

**Sedimentary Facies at the Ice Surface**

Three ice-surface sedimentary facies were observed on Vadrec del Forno: angular gravel, subangular gravel, and gravelly muddy sand. Differentiation between sedimentary facies was achieved using clast roundness, clast-surface texture, particle-size distributions, degrees of sorting, and RA/C40 indices (Table II and Figure 8). A fourth facies of little volumetric significance, but nevertheless forming prominent mounds, comprises silt nodules.
Sedimentary facies categorized according to the modification by Hambrey and Glasser (2003a) of Moncrieff Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subfacies</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Sorting</th>
<th>Clast roundness</th>
<th>Structural association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subangular gravel</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subangular gravel</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>A, SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subangular gravel</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>SA, SR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subangular gravel</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular gravel</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>VA, A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular gravel</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>A, SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular gravel</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SA, SR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular gravel</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interpretation
The angular properties and supraglacial distribution indicate that the angular gravel facies is rockfall debris that has been concentrated at flow-unit boundaries. Rockfall from the walls of the upper basin becomes entrained in primary stratification (S0) during lamination. Subsequent folding (F1) of primary stratification concentrates entrained debris at flow-unit boundaries. Surface ablation reveals the septa between flow units eventually forming medial moraines (Figure 9).

Subangular gravel
Description
The second most volumetrically abundant sedimentary facies is subangular gravel (Figure 9). Like the angular gravel facies, subangular gravel is primarily associated with lateral and medial moraines. However, this facies also includes samples collected from some englacial debris layers. Subangular gravel is characterized by poorly sorted debris with a wide clast-size distribution. The gravel fraction is dominant, usually exceeding 80% by volume. The remaining fractions consist mainly of sand with some silt. RA values are moderate (c. 20–60%) with relatively low A0 values (c. 10–40%) (Figure 8).

Interpretation
The similar characteristics of angular and subangular gravel facies suggest that they share a common process of formation. However, some clasts in the subangular gravel facies have undergone a greater degree of edge-rounding. Rockfall debris becomes entrained in primary stratification (S0) and deforms during the folding phase (F1). During deformation, or subsequent to supraglacial re-emersion, the angular clasts undergo a phase of edge-rounding as clasts collide and abrade against one another, producing the subangular gravel. A sandy gravelly mud facies found in avalanche deposits is broadly similar to the rockfall-derived facies. Avalanche deposits are also poorly sorted. However, the gravel fraction was respectively low (c. 50%) with the sand fraction also achieving a relatively high percentage (c. 20%). Despite sharing a similar source material to rockfall debris, avalanche deposits are subject to a greater degree of modification. Snow avalanches, also referred to as ‘snowflows’, are viscous flows of material that transports debris by a combination of turbulent suspension and bedload traction (Blikra and Nemec, 1998). The resulting fracturing and abrasion of clasts against one another, combined with the mixing of debris, snow, and meltwater, preferentially forms finer sediment fractions.
Gravelly muddy sand

Description
The least volumetrically abundant sediment facies found on the glacier is gravelly muddy sand (Figure 9). This sediment facies was observed only on the glacier margins, and is much more common in the proglacial zone. The sediment is generally well-sorted and contains clast sizes ranging from silt to small cobbles. The sand fraction commonly dominates the sediment facies (c. 35–80%), with mud (c. 10–50%) and gravel (c. 10–30%) in lesser abundance. RA values are low (c. 0–15%) with low to moderate C40 values (c. 0–40%) (Figure 8). Two suites of ice-cored debris cones located on opposite sides of the glacier comprised the majority of supraglacial gravelly muddy sand deposits (Figure 9): (i) debris cones on the true left of the glacier were located next to an open crevasse which had a c. 1–2 cm thick layer of sediments-rich ice frozen around the structure’s edge. The debris cover of the cones contained sub-millimetre sedimentary stratification. (ii) Debris cones on the true right of the glacier were located next to an abandoned sediment-clogged moulin system. No sedimentary stratification was observed for this suite of debris cones.

Interpretation
The rounded clasts and moderately well-sorted nature of the gravelly muddy sand deposits suggest a glaciofluvial origin. This is further supported by the dominance of the sediment facies in the proglacial zone. The high sand fraction combined with low RA values is indicative of a relatively high-energy fluvial environment, such as the subglacial hydrological system. A well-developed subglacial hydrological system explains the lack of entrained subglacial sediments. High basal melt-rates remove debris that became entrained in the basal ice. Some limited gravelly muddy sand debris was observed at the snout of the glacier, resulting from localized re-entrainment of subglacial sediments. Supraglacial gravelly muddy sand deposits were primarily observed in a number of ice-cored debris cones located on the glacier’s margins (Figure 9). Ice-cored debris cones form as a result of debris cover insulating the underlying ice. Differential ablation results in the more rapid lowering of the surround ice surface, producing an ice mound (Drewry, 1972). However, a number of different processes may provide a source for the debris cover. Debris cones are often derived from fine sediments washed out of lateral and medial moraines which become concentrated in supraglacial streams. Thickening of sediment in abandoned pools, bars, or moulin systems is commonly sufficient to insulate the underlying ice (Drewry, 1972). However, other mechanisms include thrusting of subglacial sediments to form debris-charged ridges at the glacier surface (Jansson et al., 2000; Glasser et al., 2003), concentrations of aeolian-derived dust and volcanic tephra (Krenke, 1958), and rockfall debris. Two different origins for the suites of debris cones on opposite sides of Vadrec del Forno are suggested:

(i) Debris cones on the true left of the glacier appear to have had basal sediments injected onto the ice surface via an open crevasse. This was inferred from observations of the

Figure 8. (A) RA/C40 scatterplot of clast characteristics; (B) Powers roundness histograms for ice-surface sediment facies.
supraglacial debris cover originating from an open crevasse which had a layer of sediment-rich ice frozen around its edge. High basal water pressures and a crevasse which propagates to the bed would be required. ‘Spring events’ have been documented for other Alpine glaciers (Mair et al., 2003). Rapid inputs of meltwater are introduced to a poorly developed subglacial hydrological system after the winter season. Subsequent high basal water pressures

Figure 9. Sedimentary facies, dominated by granodiorite clasts: (A) large solitary angular block; (B) subangular block emerging parallel to primary stratification (S0); (C) the emergence of a medial moraine; (D) subangular gravel (note the foliated ice running horizontally across the picture); (E) gravelly muddy sand; (F) debris cone of gravelly muddy sand on the true right of the glacier; (G) relatively large build-up of dark silt in an abandoned supraglacial stream; (H) basal ice debris found in the snout of the glacier.
can decouple the glacier from its bed, resulting in an increase in flow velocity (Mair et al., 2003). Increases in basal water pressure at the glacier margins may have been sufficiently high to inject sediment-rich water on to the ice surface.

(ii) Debris cones on the true right of the glacier are located next to an abandoned sediment-clogged moulin system. The moulin-fill forms a substantial englacial debris package. Surface ablation reveals the moulin-fill as supraglacial debris cover, protecting the underlying ice from further ablation, resulting in the formation of an ice-cored debris cone.

Silt nodules
Black balls of silt are of limited extent on the surface of the glacier (Figure 9). These nodules comprise relatively fine sediments (<1 mm) and rarely exceed c. 10 cm in width. Silt deposits are commonly found near active and abandoned supraglacial streams, and tended to have reasonably high moisture contents. The silt is thought to originate from aeolian-derived dust and organic material, which has become trapped in surface ice (Oerleman et al., 2009). Surface ablation entrains trapped fines into supraglacial streams where the silt fraction is deposited in stagnant pools.

Discussion
Glacier-wide systems
A glacier-wide approach is required to fully understand the structural impacts of an ice mass upon debris distribution. Smaller-scale structure-debris relationships can be observed in all ice masses to varying degrees; however, larger-scale variables give individual glaciers their unique characteristics.

Adaptively describing a glacial system can be problematic as larger-scale systems tend to be over-generalized. To overcome this, the ice mass must be separated into a number of smaller constituent parts. Previous studies have attempted to separate the glacier system into different longitudinal stress regimes, e.g. extending and compressing flow (Nye, 1952; Allen et al., 1960). This study suggests a different approach, adopting flow units as the basic components of a glacier system. Flow units originate from their own individual sub-accumulation basins. Each basin has unique characteristics, which will be reflected in its corresponding flow unit.

Transverse and longitudinal stress regimes play an important role in the formation of brittle structures in ice masses, especially crevasses and crevasse traces (Hambrey and Müller, 1978; Harper et al., 1998; Nath and Vaughan, 2003). Stress regimes vary greatly across the length and breadth of a glacier (Harper et al., 1998). Stress also varies within flow units. Ductile structures, such as foliation and folding reflect cumulative strain, acquired as a ‘parcel’ of ice passing through different stress regimes. These structures may reflect either simple shear (e.g. longitudinal foliation), or pure shear (e.g. transverse foliation, as on other glaciers) (Hambrey and Milnes, 1977; Hooke and Hudleston, 1978; Hambrey and Lawson, 2000), depending on the location of the ‘parcel’ of ice within a flow unit. However, a flow unit broadly experiences uniform extending and compressing flow when compared with the whole-glacier system. It is further inferred that ice velocities of individual flow units on the Vadrec del Forno vary. Differences in the dip angles of structures suggest that there are velocity variations between flow units (Figure 7). Therefore, by describing the ice dynamics for a number of parallel flow unit systems within an ice mass, the differences in extending and compressing flow across the glacier can be deduced.

Interactions between flow units on Vadrec del Forno are considered to be relatively unimportant with regard to structure-sediment relationships. Flow-unit inputs vary as a result of the unique characteristics of their corresponding sub-accumulation basins. Throughs such as ice velocity and stress regimes also differ between flow units. Consequently, each flow unit has its own characteristic structural assemblage. This can be seen on Vadrec del Forno where unique flow evolutions have preferentially formed longitudinal and axial planar foliation in flow units 2 and 3a, respectively. Debris inputs into separate flow units also generally remain within their corresponding system. Simple shear at flow unit boundaries concentrates debris into septa; however, very little debris is intersected between flow units. This suggests that medial moraines are not a product of inter-flow unit relationships; they are a result of separate flow unit processes simultaneously occurring at a mutual boundary between neighbouring systems.

Flow unit differences
Although Vadrec del Forno is a relatively small ice mass, it has a suite of structures at least as complex as many larger ice masses (Table III). The six constituent flow units of the glacier T3 are inherently different from one another, and this is reflected in the different sedimentological attributes.

Despite the differences between flow units, each of the constituent parts of Vadrec del Forno are structurally dominated by the evolution of primary stratification (S0) into longitudinal or axial planar foliation (S1), accompanied by folding (F1) with axial planes parallel to foliation. Longitudinal and axial planar foliations have different origins. Longitudinal foliation (S1) is inherited from primary stratification (S0) as it becomes folded (F1). Continuing deformation in pure and simple shear regimes attenuates the limbs of the folds, eventually transposing primary...
stratification ($S_0$) into longitudinal foliation ($S_1$); a similar process as observed in metamorphic rocks (Hobbs et al., 1976; Hambrey and Lawson, 2000). Often, the only visible evidence of the original primary stratification once transposed is isolated remnant fold hinges (Hambrey, 1977a). Axial planar foliation on the other hand does not evolve from pre-existing structures. Foliation as a new structure has been observed in this study, as well as in surge-type temperate glaciers (Lawson et al., 1994), temperate Alpine valley glaciers (Goodsell et al., 2003b), and polythermal glaciers (Hambrey et al., 1999, 2005). In a similar manner to longitudinal foliation, axial planar foliation is often longitudinally orientated. However, unlike longitudinal foliation, axial planar foliation has a clear axial planar relationship with primary stratification ($S_o$) cross-cutting the stratification (Figure 4). Superficially, this resembles the folding/slaty cleavage relationship found in low-grade metamorphic rocks such as mudstones. However, the exact mechanism of formation is unknown (Hambrey and Lawson, 2000). Differences in the dominance of longitudinal or axial planar foliation between flow units on Vadrec del Forno suggests that the primary type of foliation formed is location-dependent. The dominant force associated with longitudinal foliation development is strong lateral compression where flow converges and simple shear occurs at flow-unit boundaries (Hambrey and Lawson, 2000; Hambrey and Glasser, 2003b; Goodsell et al., 2005b). This suggests that lateral compression and simple shear regimes differ between flow units at least in the convergence zone. Comparison of flow-unit widths down-glacier highlights differences in the amount of lateral compression (Figure 10). Flow unit 2 in particular narrows rapidly down-glacier, whereas flow unit 3a has less pronounced narrowing. Higher rates of simple shear are inferred in these flow units that have the most pronounced rate of lateral narrowing (e.g. flow unit 2). As a result, primary stratification ($S_0$) is quickly transposed into longitudinal foliation ($S_1$) in these flow regimes. This effect is further exacerbated by pure shear experienced in the centre of the flow unit. Conservation of mass dictates that when an object undergoes lateral compression, longitudinal or vertical extension must result to preserve its volume (volume change as a result of melt is considered to be comparatively negligible). Increased longitudinal extension aids attenuation of primary stratification ($S_o$) as it transposes into longitudinal foliation ($S_1$), increasing the rate of formation. In flow units that experience less pronounced narrowing (e.g. flow unit 3a), cumulative strain values are inferred to be lower allowing primary stratification to be preserved further down-glacier. Axial planar foliation tends to dominate these flow units. This suggests that high cumulative strain values and the rapid formation of longitudinal foliation destroy any evidence of axial planar foliation. Thus on Vadrec del Forno axial planar foliation is observed only in flow unit 3a.

### Structural controls on debris entrainment and transfer

Glacier structure has limited control over debris entrainment in Vadrec del Forno, in marked contrast to other glaciers, especially those of polythermal regime in Svalbard (e.g. Midtre Lovénbreen, Finsterwalderbreen, Bakaninbreen, Kongsvegen; cf. Hambrey et al., 1999). Entrainment by open crevasses is comparatively rare, involving insignificant amounts of debris. High basal melt rates further restrict subglacial entrainment processes (Glasser and Hambrey, 2002). The main input of debris into Vadrec del Forno is controlled by the spatial distribution of rockfall debris in the upper basins of the glacier. Rockfall occurrence is primarily determined by the character of the granodiorite bedrock. Rockfall events are spatially non-uniform, despite the relatively homogeneous nature of the bedrock. It is, therefore, likely that freeze–thaw processes acting on joint systems are the origin of many rockfall events, dictating the spatial distribution of debris input into the glacier.

The strongest structure–debris association is with primary stratification and subsequent folding. Debris is entrained within the snow-pack and progressively buried forming discontinuous structural layers within the ice mass. Once the debris package is confined within the structure of the glacier, the adjacent ice and debris strata remain parallel despite modification by ductile folding ($F_1$), suggesting that sediment redistribution and reorientation within a glacier is primarily controlled by glaciological structure.

Once debris re-emerges on the surface of the glacier as a result of ablation, other ice structures can cause limited re-entrainment of debris. Opening of chevron crevasses at the lateral margins of the glacier results in englacial re-entrainment of debris by filling of crevasses. Structurally controlled supraglacial fluvial processes also lead to re-entrainment of debris. Supraglacial drainage channels preferentially form in areas of

---

**Figure 10.** Variations in width measurements for flow units 1b, 2, and 3a. Inset: overview map of Vadrec del Forno with the location of width measurements taken.
steeply dipping longitudinal foliation ($S_1$), as do moulins which often form at the intersection of a crevasse trace (Stenborg, 1968; Hambrey, 1977b). Medial moraines also emerge in areas of steeply dipping longitudinal foliation (Hambrey and Glasser, 2003b; Goodsell et al., 2003b), supplying debris to supraglacial streams. Only relatively small debris particles ($<4 \text{mm}$ in diameter) can be re-entrained in this way because of the minimal entrainment capability of small supraglacial streams. However, limited entrainment of larger clasts can be achieved by moulin expansion, since the maximum size of clasts that are able to be entrained by moulin expansion is dependent upon the size of the moulin. However, clasts with an a-axis length of up to 50 cm were observed being entrained in this manner on Vadrec del Forno.

**Conclusions**

The investigation of the structure of Vadrec del Forno and debris-entrainment characteristics has yielded a number of similarities with, and differences from, other valley glaciers. By focusing on how multiple flow units interact, this paper adds to our understanding of debris-entrainment and transport processes in temperate valley glaciers.

The structure of Vadrec del Forno is dominated by the evolution of primary stratification ($S_0$) into longitudinal or axial planar foliation ($S_1$). Structural differences between flow units suggest that the formation of foliation is location-dependent. Comparison of flow-unit widths down-glacier indicates that longitudinal foliation-dominated flow units experience greater cumulative shear strain. Flow units that experience less cumulative shear strain preserve primary stratification ($S_0$), enabling axial-planar foliation to develop. Glacier structure plays a limited role in the entrainment of debris at Vadrec del Forno, unlike at other, especially polythermal, glaciers. Lithological variables determine the location and amount of debris-input to the glacier. Primary stratification ($S_0$) encloses supraglacial debris, entraining it into englacial positions. Once entrained, primary stratification ($S_0$) dictates how debris is redistributed and reorientated within the ice mass, through folding ($F_1$), especially at flow-unit boundaries. Englacial debris layers remain parallel to primary stratification ($S_0$). Transposition of primary stratification ($S_0$) to longitudinal foliation ($S_1$) occurs under simple shear at flow unit boundaries. Englacial debris becomes concentrated and orientated parallel to steeply dipping longitudinal foliation. Ice ablation reveals seeps between flow units as medial moraines. Glacier structure controls re-entrainment of supraglacial debris at flow-unit boundaries. Medial moraines, supraglacial streams, and moulins preferentially form in areas of near-vertical longitudinal foliation. Fluvial reworking of gravels entrains fine particles. Transported debris in supraglacial streams is re-entrained by moulins. Some larger clasts can be englacially re-entrained by moulin expansion.

Flow units originate in their own individual sub-accumulation basins, and thus reflect the attributes and inputs in their corresponding basins. Characteristics such as basin size, altitude and snow input determine the dominance of the flow unit within the whole glacier system. Individual flow units are unique with regard to structure and debris transfer.
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